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#### Abstract

MOLECULAR GENETIC ANALYSES IN ORIGANUM (LAMIACEAE) TAXA IN TÜRKİYE

Medicinal and aromatic plants (MAPs) belonging to the genus, Origanum L. (Lamiaceae), are called "oregano". They are economically important and beneficial for trade, medicine, food, cosmetics, and ornamental purposes with their bioactive compound diversity and richness. Although Türkiye is the gene center for generation, speciation, and diversification of oregano throughout the world, their uncontrolled consumption and other factors threaten their status. According to Ietswaart (1980), there are ten morphological sections in the genus. Of these, 25 taxa (including 13 endemics) and 13 hybrids from eight sections grow naturally in Türkiye. The cross-pollinating and gynodioecious nature of oreganos makes their taxonomic classification difficult. In this dissertation, molecular markers (EST-SSRs and SRAPs) were used to assess the complex evolutionary relationships in a herbarium and the Turkish national AARI Gene Bank collection. Cross hybridization due to high gene flow was found to be the main source of genetic diversity within both collections. In both collections, the highest gene flow was observed between two sections, $A N A$ and $B R E$, with diecious flowers which supports their frequent hybridization when compared to gynodioecious oreganos in nature. The Aegean and Mediterranean regions had the highest gene flow among all regions, while five province pairs had the highest gene flow among all provinces. In conclusion, molecular markers were shown to be a useful tool for examinations of genetic diversity and evolution in oregano.


## ÖZET

## TÜRKIYE'DE ORIGANUM (LAMIACEAE) TAKSONLARINDA MOLEKÜLER GENETİK ANALİZLER

Origanum (Lamiaceae) cinsine ait tıbbi ve aromatik bitkiler, kekik olarak adlandırıırlar. Biyoaktif bileşen çeşitlilikleri ve zenginlikleri ile ticaret, tıp, gıda, kozmetik ve dekoratif amaçlar için ekonomik olarak önemli ve faydalıdırlar. Türkiye dünyada kekiğin üretimi, türleşmesi ve çeşitlenmesi için gen merkezi olsa da, kontrolsüz tüketimi ve diğer faktörler türünü tehlikeye sokmaktadır. Ietswaart'a göre (1980), bu cinse ait 10 morfolojik seksiyon vardır. Bu 10 seksiyon içerisinden sekizine ait 25 takson (13'üu endemik olmak üzere) ve 13 hibrit Türkiye'de doğal olarak yetişmektedir. Çapraz döllenme ve doğası gereği hem dişi hem erkek üreme organı taşıyan çiçekli bireylerin varlığı kekiklerin taksonomik sınıflandırmalarını zorlaştırmaktadır. Bu tezde moleküler belirteçler (EST-SSR ve SRAP markörleri) bir herbaryum ve bir Ege Tarımsal Araştırma Enstitüsü (ETAE) Gen Bankası ulusal Türk kekiği koleksiyonlarındaki kompleks evrimsel ilişkileri belirlemek için kullanıldı. Yüksek miktarda gen akışına bağlı olarak çapraz hibritleşmeler bu koleksiyonlarda genetik çeşitliliğin ana kaynağı olarak belirlendi. Her iki koleksiyonda da kendileşen türlere nazaran en yüksek gen akışı her ikisi de dış döllenen $A N A$ ve $B R E$ seksiyonları arasında tespit edildi. Ege ve Akdeniz bölgeleri tüm bölgeler arasında en fazla gen akışına sahipken, birbiriyle eşleşen beşer il diğer illere göre en fazla gen akışına sahip olarak belirlendi. Sonuç olarak, moleküler belirteçler kekikte genetik çeşitliliği ve kekiğin evrimini araştırmada yararlı olarak gösterilmiştir.

This dissertation is dedicated to my one and only family; my eternally beloved mother, father, and sister.

## PREFACE

Wild native oregano species are one of the most economically and biochemically valuable perennial culinary herbs and are under threat due to the high endemism frequency within the genus Origanum. Oregano species grow on rocky, calcareous, and limestone soils at certain heights above the sea level and under certain climatic conditions and ecosystems.

Türkiye has geographical importance for oregano species because it is the homeland for its known ancestor genus, Satureja, during the Pliocenic era (Ietswaart, 1980). Moreover, the country has a variety of species from eight of the ten Origanum sections spread throughout its geography. Among the eight oregano sections that naturally grow in Türkiye, species of sections Amaracus, Majorana and Origanum were suggested to be the origins of speciation within the genus Origanum (Ietswaart, 1980). The major factor that created the additional sections from these three ancestral groups is assumed to be hybridization (Ietswaart, 1980). Most species belonging to genus Origanum undergo frequently homoploid hybridization events that maintain the same chromosome number with the parental species and creates intermediate hybrid speciation (Arabacı et al., 2020; Dirmenci et al., 2021). In Türkiye, the hybridization events and the gene flow between natural oregano populations have not been previously assessed over species from the eight sections: Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Chilocalyx, Longitubus, Majorana, Origanum and Prolaticorolla.

In this dissertation, the genetic relationships among all eight sections were examined for the first time with SRAP markers (Chapter 2, published in Taşcioğlu et al. (2018). In this part of the work, genetic diversity and gene flow were examined in herbarium material from Inönü University (Malatya) consisting of 22 native oregano species (24 taxa), 15 of which were endemic. The materials were investigated with 25 Sequence Related Amplified Polymorphism (SRAP) and six Expressed Sequence Tagged - Simple Sequence Repeat (EST-SSR) markers. In addition, the Turkish national oregano collection from the gene bank at the Aegean Agriculture Research Institute (AARI) was examined with ten SRAP markers that were selected for their high level of informativeness (Chapter 3). A total of 130 native oregano individuals from four sections (Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Origanum, and undefined oregano
specimens) were genetically characterized in this part of the work. In addition to analysis of genetic diversity and population structure, an abbreviated nomenclature for oregano sections and species is presented in the Appendix.
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (MAPs)

Medicine is the treatment of human and animal diseases using knowledge and biological sources such as plants. Among these sources, plants are the most valuable because they consume $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and create the oxygen humans need to survive, in addition to being nutritionally and chemically wealthy for many types of organisms. There is global concern about the survival of humankind mostly due to the fact that the ecosystem we interact with is currently threatened by many factors such as natural disasters, pollution and unbalanced usage of resources. These problems are worsened by the increasing human population and climate change. Eighty percent of African and Asian populations lack sufficient food and medicine supplies due to their poor economy and being unable to access health care because of geographical isolation. Thus, people in these developing countries use Medicinal and Aromatic Plants (MAPs) which contain bioactive as traditional remedies in their health practices (Luziatelli et al., 2010). The Plant Kingdom consists of four groups of plants: Bryophytes (mosses), Seedless vascular plants, Gymnosperms (cone bearing seed plants) and Angiosperms. Medicinal plants belong to Angiosperms (flowering plants) and are found in many plant families.

It has been reported that early civilizations like the Sumerians had written information about medicinal plants on clay tablets (Awuchi, 2019). Moreover, over 600 plants were being used as remedies in Ancient Greece (Saber, 1982; Pakdemirli et al., 2021). Early developments in folk medicine have been furthered by increased scientific research on plant species.

The Greek word "phyt(on)" refers to the English word "plant" and is used as the prefix "phyto" to mean "plant-related". Plants having phytochemical bioactive compounds such as phenolic acids and flavonoids which enable their use in perfume, spice, and medical industries are called medicinal aromatic plants - MAPs (Picos-Salas et al., 2021). Among MAPs, more than 30 plant genera including Orchidaceae, Liliaceae,

Papaveraceae, Fabaceae, Rubiaceae, Theaceae, and Lamiaceae have contributed to ethnobotanical studies for many years (Source: Url1). The bioactive constituents of MAPs such as essential oils and flavonoids can be used as powdered, extracted, purified, or partially purified active substances which create a delightful flavor and scent (Source: Url1; Picos-Salas et al., 2021). There are approximately 60,000 (Source: Url1) economically important MAP species including red pepper, poppy, rose, coffee, tea, oregano (also called "thyme"), cumin, mint, and lavender with tons of production per year (Table 1.1). The demand for growing these plants is due to accelerated developments in biotechnology and various fields such as cosmetics, medicine, gastronomy, and the ornamental plant industry. They also have been used as folk remedies for some cultures since the Mesopotamian age (Acıbuca and Budak, 2017).

Medicinal plant products are labelled and called medicinal herbal products MHPs. MHPs include herbal teas, cosmetic products, or other dietary supplements. MHPs have been used especially in traditional medicine since ancient times. According to WHO (World Health Organization), 20,000 plants are used as medicinal plant species and the pharmaceutical effects of many MAPs have already been investigated and recorded (Pakdemirli et al., 2021).

Table 1.1. Production (in tons) amounts of some economically important MAPs adapted from Pakdemirli et al. (2021).


According to an in silico publication analysis (Salmerón-Manzano et al., 2020), MAPs were mostly studied in the fields of Pharmacology, Toxicology, and Pharmaceuticals with $21.7 \%$ of publications in these areas while Biochemistry,

Molecular Biology, and Genetics were studied in $16 \%$ of the total publications (Salmerón-Menzano et al., 2020).

### 1.1.1. Benefits of MAPs

Plants have a critical role in everyday life and contribute to advances in medical treatments for humans and other animals. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported that $30 \%$ of MAP phytochemicals have been used as a drug ingredient from more than 21,000 taxa (Pandey et al., 2020). Unfortunately, there is uncontrollable use of certain plant species while some undiscovered treasures are threatened with extinction (Pandey et al., 2020). MAP species carry important bioactive terpenoid compounds such as alkaloids, glycosides, and essential oils with anti-cancer, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, and anti-microbial characteristics (Figure 1.1) (Zielińska-Błajet and FederKubis, 2020). However, environmental conditions like light saturation and physical factors such as harvesting time have an impact on the quality of these bioactive compounds in nature as well as in cultivated field conditions (Yeşil and Özcan, 2021). Moreover, phytochemical uses such as the antimicrobial effects of essential oils are also dependent on the sampled fraction such as flowers, aerial parts, leaves or roots (Aydın and Aydın, 2016). Therefore, evaluation of the optimum conditions to grow MAPs and the phytochemical content of different tissues will help the development of important phytochemical-based drugs with nontoxic and effective levels of raw substances.

Although much is known about some MAPS, some chemicals have not yet been investigated. Thus, there is a need for determining the biochemical composition of native plants, wild relatives and cultivars. In a few decades, MAPs that were underrated will be lost forever due to threatening factors such climate change and uncontrolled collection. In addition, the collection of wild native MAPs for personal, medicinal, or trading purposes generates risks for endemic and genetically uncharacterized, but invaluable, plant species.


Figure 1.1. Representation of benefits of essential oils and the responsible chemicals in MAPs (Source: Zielińska-Błajet and Feder-Kubis, 2020).

### 1.1.2. Taxonomy of MAPs

Many MAP species have been morphologically characterized; however, almost the same number of uncharacterized species remains to be studied. Every year, the number of reported plant species increases due to scientific activity in discovering new plant species that may already face a risk of extinction (Antonelli et al., 2020). In 2019, 1942 new plants species were reported (Antonelli et al., 2020). Research on this abundance of plant species consumes a lot of energy, funding, and time. Correct systematics and naming of plant species will be achievable with easier and quicker approaches such as phytochemical analysis and utilization of DNA markers for uncharacterized species (Pandey et al., 2020). Naming and taxonomy are a major concern of conservation due to the local use and naming of many plant species.

Classification of MAPs is a requirement for their correct utilization and the sustainability of medicinal and aromatic plant genetic resources. Recent approaches to classify MAPs have been suggested by Alamgir (2017) and Joy and colleagues (2014) (Pandey et al., 2020). Alamgir (2017) suggested a comprehensive MAP classification for herbs and plants according to I) use, ii) active constituents, iii) period of life, iv) botanical taxonomy, v) habit, vi) mode of nutrition, and vii) habitat. Joy et al. (2014) used a
classification for managing the existence and conservation of exclusively MAPs based on i) importance, ii) aromatic parts, iii) growth habitat, iv) habitat, v) crop-duration, vi) method of propagation, and vii) botanical classification (Figure 1.2). Thus, criteria for classifying MAPs are based on subdivision of these seven criteria according to the plant species; type of tissue such as root, leaf, or flower that is utilize; neighboring plant types such as trees or grasses; climatic conditions such as tropical or temperate regions of the geographical location; life cycle (annual or perennial); reproductive system (vegetation or sexual); and consideration of taxonomical classification.

| Based on importance (Major/Minor) |
| :--- |
| Based on parts being used (Herbage/Root/Wood/Bark/Leaf/Flower/Flowering Tops/Fruits) |
| Based on growth habitat (Grasses/Herbs/Shrubs/Trees) |
| Base on habitat (Tropical/Sub Tropical/ Temperate) |
| Based on crop duration (Annuals/Biennials/Perennials) |
| Based on method of propagation (Vegetative/Sexual(seed))/both Vegetative and Sexual) |
| Based on botanical classification |

Figure 1.2. Seven major criteria in classification of MAPs (Source: Joy et al., 2014; Pandey et al., 2020).

### 1.2. The family, Lamiaceae (Labiatae) - Ballibabagiller; one of the Most Important and Cosmopolitan Angiosperm Plant Family

The Angiosperms (flowering plants) contain 405 plant families; with 14,559 genera and 951,140 scientific plant names reported (Source: Url2). Among flowering plants, only $32 \%$ of the reported names refer to a certain accepted plant species and the rest are synonyms. Lamiaceae (Labiatae) is the sixth largest family of angiosperms and is the largest family within Lamiales (Zhao et al., 2021). The family Lamiaceae covers up to 30,745 scientific plant names including invalid, illegitimate, and spelling variants, in addition to accepted species (Source: Ur12). Lamiaceae is the third most ethnobotanically utilized family with 25 species at the taxon level (Gras et al., 2021) after Astraceae (52) and Fabaceae (30). Currently, there are 13 defined main subfamilies in the Lamiaceae (Source: Url3). The largest subfamily, Nepetoideae, consists of four
subtribes: Elsholtzieae, Lavanduleae, Mentheae, and Ocimeae (Source: Url3). In Mentheae, there are many economically important medicinal plants such as Salvia, Thyme, Melissa, and Origanum (Figure 1.3) all of which have essential oils and flavones that are crucial for plant self-defense mechanisms. These bioactive chemicals are also the targets of many ethnobotanical studies.


Figure 1.3. Lineage and phylogeny for the tribe Mentheae. a) Summary of lineage for the Lamiaceae family to the tribe Mentheae (Source: Schoch et al., 2020), b) Lamiaceae phylogenetic tree and closer look at tribe, Menthae, under subfamily Nepetoideae (Source: Zhao et al., 2021).

Plants from Lamiaceae have been of interest for six main areas of medicine: a) circulatory system and bloodstream disorders, b) pain and inflammation, c) digestion problems and nutritional deficiencies, d) dermatitis and other tissue disorders, e) respiratory problems, and f) tonic for medicinal and cosmetic uses (Gras et al., 2021).

### 1.3. Kekik/Oregano/Thyme

"Kekik", which is also commonly called oregano and marjoram in English, are MAP species that have been used extensively in folk medicine due to their high thymol and carvacrol levels. These "kekik" species belong to the Menthae tribe of the Lamiaceae
family and are represented mostly by the genera Coridothymus, Origanum, Satureja, Thymus, and Thymbra (Arabacı et al., 2019a, Bozdemir, 2019, Schoch et al., 2020). However, there are many other plant species such as Coleosanthus - Compositae, Calamintha - Lamiaceae, Hedeoma - Lamiaceae, Hyptis - Lamiaceae, Monarda Lamiaceae, Ocimum - Lamiaceae, Poliomintha longiflora L. - Lamiaceae, Satureja Lamiaceae, Borreria - Rubiaceae, Limnophila - Scrophulariaceae, Eryngium Umbelliferae and Lantana - Verbenaceae that are known as oregano in different parts of the world because of their scent and flavor traits (Ietswaart, 1980).

Mother nature is a good source of different types of wild and hybrid "kekik" species and commercially traded oregano is mostly collected from Corydothymus capitatus, Thymbra spicata, Origanum onites, Origanum syriacum, Origanum majorana, Origanum minutiflorum, and Origanum vulgare subsp. hirtum from the Lamiaceae family (Arabacı et al., 2019b). According to the "Turkish Food Codex Spice Rescript", Satureja is legally accepted as "kekik" in labelled spices in addition to oregano, thyme, and coridathymus (Source: Ur14) Although species belonging to the genus Origanum L. are called "oregano" while species in the genus Thymus are called "thyme", some scientists and traders use these terms incorrectly. Most of the time, especially in the spice and food industry, all "kekik" species are called "thyme" but they are not actually Thymus. In addition, Lippia ssp. from family Verbenaceae is known as Mexican oregano and has a stronger flavor than the oregano species from Lamiaceae (Kintzios, 2012). It is also known that species with "oregano-like" smell or aroma are being accepted as oregano. Every year, the Codex Committee on Spices and Culinary Herbs - CCSCH which is the international authority, updates standards for naming or classifying spices and herbs in collaboration with producer countries under the control of the European Union (Cilak et al., 2021; Source: Url5). Oregano from the genus Origanum L. is mostly called "European oregano" and is mainly used for medicinal research while most of the Lippia ssp. are used for culinary purposes as an aromatic for sauces or as a spice for pizzas (Kintzios, 2012).

In the early ages of systematics, plants were recognized and classified mainly according to their morphological and aromatic characteristics. In recent decades, it is understood that plants should be defined not only with morphological features but also their molecular genetic basis. However, economic restrictions make it hard to obtain the required knowledge about systematics. Fortunately, scientists never give up on working
hard to understand the evolutionary relationships among plant species. Every year, the number of publications is increasing gradually.

### 1.3.1. The genus Origanum L. (Linnaeus)

The position of the genus Origanum (L.) in the eudicotyledon lineage of angiosperms and its evolutionary network with the most critical hierarchical groups are shown in Figure 1.4 (de Vienne, 2016).

The species within the genus Origanum L. are shrubby and mostly bisexual or having only female organs (Ietswaart, 1980). These plants are perennial herbs that are mostly found growing naturally in rocky, high mountains, dry climates, and calcareous soils (Kintzios et al., 2012).


Figure 1.4. The family tree representation of Origanum L. genus (Source: de Vienne, 2016).

### 1.3.1.1. Food and livelihood security for herbal products containing oregano

There is concern about the toxicity and adverse effects of bioactive compounds in Origanum L. species that might create risks to human health (Jamshidi-Kia et al., 2018; Pakdemirli et al., 2021). These compounds are often extracted from specimens that are collected directly from the wild. Since oregano species have different levels of essential oils within the same species at different geographic locations and even from different collection years, there is a demand for estimating the annual measures of chemical content before using them in industry (Pakdemirli et al., 2021). Therefore, all oregano herbal products should be evaluated for authentication before release to markets in any form including teas or spices. For example, arbutin is an active compound of $O$. dubium that is utilized in cosmetic and drug industries but known for being toxic in the food industry (Lukas and Novak, 2020). Physical and chemical standards for spices and herbal products are determined by the Turkish Food Codex. According to the latest issue (2022/7), the standards for "kekik" species were updated for two groups of oreganos: $1^{\text {st. }}$ Thymus, Corydathymus, Satureja and their admixtures, $2^{\text {nd }}$ : Origanum (Source: Url4). The basic standard to industrialize oregano materials are determined by standardized drying and separation of leaf, flower, and shoots from the plant stems. The shoot and branch particles include oregano flowers and seeds (Source: Url4). The standards for leaf/flower oregano products and ground oregano spice are given in Table 1.2 (Source: Ur14).

Table 1.2. Physical and chemical descriptive standards of leaf/ground spice for oreganobased herbal products (Source: Ur14). *: Thymus, Corydathymus, Satureja; **: Origanum L.

|  | Leaf/flower | Ground |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maximum foreign materials $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m} \%)$ | 2 | - |
| Maximum humidity $(\%)$ | 10 | 12 |
| Total ashes $(\% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m})$ | 12 | 12 |
| Total ashes that is not soluble in $\mathrm{HCl}(\% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m})$ | 2 | 2.5 |
| Essential oils (least) $(\mathrm{ml} / 100 \mathrm{gr})$ | $1^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ |
| Stem and branch pieces $(\mathrm{most})(\% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m})$ | $2^{* *}$ | $1.8^{* *}$ |
|  | 10 | - |

### 1.3.1.2. Benefits of oregano

Oregano is a medicinal plant species used for thousand years to treat some diseases such as flu, diabetes, or leukemia by local people and in medical applications as a supportive agent (Tepe et al., 2016). It has also been used for culinary and cosmetic purposes in addition to enhancement/inhibition of plant-insect interactions as summarized in Figure 1.5 (Kumar and Bahardwaj, 2020). Essential oils such as thymol, carvacrol, $\gamma$-terpinene, $p$-cymene and linalool make oregano especially economically important due to their antimicrobial activities (Karagöz et al., 2020).


Figure 1.5. The current uses of oregano species (Source: Kumar and Bahardwaj, 2020).

### 1.3.1.3. Genome

In Lamiaceae, chromosome counts for a haploid genome vary between $\mathrm{n}=5$ to 120 and the main reason for large genome size is polyploidy (Martin et al., 2020). The genome size of oregano species is relatively small compared to other genera in

Lamiaceae (Lukas and Novak, 2020). The genome size of Origanum is 1.50 pg which is similar to Thymus species ( $1.68 \mathrm{pg}, 2 \mathrm{C}$ value) (Bakha et al., 2017). The most closely related genera to Origanum and Thymus are Satureja, Micromeria, and Thymbra. The biggest genome size among these genera belongs to Satureja with a mean 2C value of 3.9 pg .

Within Origanum, genome size varies depending on species. In the study of Bakha et al. (2017), the genome size variation of Moroccan oregano was assessed with 1.43 pg for $O$. vulgare subsp. virens (section Origanum) as the smallest genome and 1.53 pg for $O$. compactum (section Prolaticorolla) (Bakha et al., 2017). In the study of Jedrzejczyk (2018), O. majorana, O. syriacum and $O$. vulgare ssp. were investigated and the mean 2 C value was observed as 1.48 pg for $O$. vulgare species and 1.70 pg for species from section Majorana.

In previous reports, the haploid number of chromosomes per taxon was reported as between $n=14$ and 16 for Origanum vulgare (Magulaev, 1984; Ayyagar and Vembu, 1985). According to the most recent findings, Origanum L. has a haploid genome of $n=15$ $(2 n=2 x=30)$ for all Origanum L. taxa except $O$. sipyleum $(\mathrm{n}=14)$ and $O$. rotundifolium ( $\mathrm{n}=14$ ) (Harley et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2020; Dirmenci et al., 2021a). In addition, there are two exceptional haploid chromosome numbers of $n=14$ and $n=18$ due to aneuploidy for O. vulgare L. (Ayyangar and Vembu, 1985; Magulaev, 1984; Bakha et al., 2017). There are also recently identified and characterized oregano hybrid species Origanum x adae, $O$. x intermedium, $O$. x haradjanii, $O$. x munzurense, $O$. sevcaniae, $O$. x font-queri having haploid chromosome numbers of $n=15$ (Bakha et al., 2017, Dirmenci et al., 2019, Martin et al., 2020).

In Origanum, the main source of genetic variation is homoploid hybridization (Martin et al., 2020), and this results in conservation of the haploid genome size. For example, hybridization between $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum $(2 n=30)$ with $O$. onites $(2 n=30)$ resulted in the formation of a new hybrid species, $O . x$ intercedens with the same chromosome number, $2 \mathrm{n}=30$ (Figure 1.6) (Arabacı et al., 2021a).

Figure 1.6. Hybridization between $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum and $O$. onites leads to the appearance of the homoploid hybrid, $O$. $x$ intercendens having the same number of chromosomes $(2 \mathrm{n}=30)$ with its ancestors.

The complete chloroplast genome of $O$. vulgare L . has been determined (Lukas et al., 2013). Moreover, the whole genome shotgun sequence of $O$. vulgare L. ( 6.5 Gbp ) and $O$. majorana L. $(8.7 \mathrm{~Gb})$ was investigated and is accessible in the SRA database of NCBI (Source: Url3).

### 1.3.1.4. Origin and distribution

Gene microcenters are an important concept in terms of understanding the evolution, origin, and distribution of plant species. The majority of oregano species are mostly located in Europe and the Mediterranean region, mainly in Türkiye, Greece and Cyprus; while a cosmopolitan group of oregano species called as "O. vulgare ssp." from sect. Origanum are placed throughout the world (Figure 1.7) (Dirmenci et al., 2021b). Oregano is also found in Syria, Lebanon; European countries such as France, Italy, and England; and also in Morocco and Western North Africa (Ietswaart, 1980). Among all oregano species, around $65 \%$ of species naturally grow in Türkiye (Figure 1.8) (Padulosi, 1997; Baser and Arslan, 2016).


Figure 1.7. Worldwide distribution map of Origanum species: most endemic oregano species are distributed throughout Europe and Mediterranean lands (red line), while species from section Origanum (O. vulgare ssp.) are widely distributed throughout the world (black dashed lines) (Source: Dirmenci et al., 2021b).


Figure 1.8. Locations of most native Mediterranean Origanum L. species, $65 \%$ of which are naturally found growing in Türkiye (Source: Baser and Arslan, 2016).

There are intermediate hybrid oregano species that are predominantly found in Türkiye (13 in number), while other hybrids are also found in Greece (5), Lebanon (2), Syria (1), Spain (1) and Italy (1) (Table 1.3) (Cattaneo et al., 2022; Dirmenci et al.,

2021a). According to the information in Table 1.3, hybrids between section Anatolicon - Majorana, Anatolicon - Origanum, Amaracus - Anatolicon, Amaracus - Origanum, Brevifilamentum - Amaracus, Brevifilamentum - Majorana, Brevifilamentum Origanum, Brevifilamentum - Prolaticorolla, Chilocalyx - Origanum, Longitubus Prolaticorolla, Majorana - Amaracus, Majorana - Origanum, and Prolaticorolla Majorana were observed in nature. According to the native hybrids, the section Brevifilamentum had cross-sectional hybridizations among $50 \%$ of the sections, while Chilocalyx and Longitubus had hybridizations with only one section (12.5\%).

The geographic distribution of some of the 21 oregano taxa in Türkiye can be monitored via an online database called "TUBIVES - Turkish Plant Data Service" (Babaç, 2004; Bakış et al., 2011). General information such as where they grow, endemism or elevations where they appear and geographical distribution is available online for the species: Origanum boisseri, O. munzurense, O. saccatum, O. solymicum, O. hypericifolium, O. sipyleum, O. rotundifolium, $O$. acutidens, $O$. haussknechtii, $O$. bargyli, O. brevidens, $O$. husnucanbaseri, O. leptocladum, O. amanum, O. bilgeri, $O$. micranthum, $O$. minutiflorum, $O$. majorana, $O$. onites, $O$. syriacum subsp. bevanii, $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile, $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, $O$. vulgare subsp. viride, $O$. vulgare subsp. viride ( $O$. vulgare subsp. viridulum), O. vulgare subsp. vulgare and $O$. laevigatum (Figure 1.9, Figure 1.10). According to distribution maps, the most widely distributed oregano species is $O$. vulgare (subsp.) in Türkiye (Figure 1.10). In Figure 1.9, 21 species of Origanum L. are represented, and the diversity of oregano species mostly shows a restricted distribution area. For example, O. sipyleum is shown as one of the most predominant species in this map, however, it only grows in four regions of Türkiye (Black Sea, Marmara, Aegean and Mediterranean). In addition to this, O. acutidens is also displayed in many areas but only covering three regions (Central Anatolia, NorthEastern Black Sea, and South-Eastern Anatolia). This map also allows the recognition of endemic species such as $O$. boisseri which is located in only one province in the Mediterranean Region.
Table 1.3. Current natural hybrids of oregano (2022) (Source: Cattaneo et al., 2022; Dirmenci et al., 2021). \#: Number; Sections; AMA: Amaracus,
ANA: Anatolicon, BRE: Brevifilamentum, PRO: Prolaticorolla, MAJ: Majorana, ORG: Origanum, CHI: Chilocalyx, LONGI:
*:AMA Aydın, Aegean Region (A), $\longrightarrow$, ARE 2 O. x adanense $\quad$ O. brevidens* x O. laevigatum ${ }^{* *} \quad \begin{array}{lllll}*: B R E & \text { Baser \& Duman } & 1998 & \begin{array}{l}\text { Osmaniye, } \\ \text { Region (MT), TR }\end{array} & \text { Mediterranean }\end{array}$ **: PRO Region (MT), TR

$$
\text { *: ANA } 1025-\mathrm{I} \text { ehanon }
$$



*: ANA Dirmenci,
**: ORG Arabacı
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { *: PRO } \\ \text { **: MAJ } & \\ \end{array}$
 Longitubus.

| \# | Hybrid | Hybridization |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Origanum x adae | O.ayliniae* x | $\longrightarrow$


| 4 O. x aytacii | O. sipyleum* x $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: } A N A \\ & \text { **: } O R G \end{aligned}$ | Dirmenci, Yazıcı \& Arabacı | 2020 Denizli, A, TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

5 O. x barbarae O. ehrenbergii* x O. syriacum subsp. bevanii** O.
O. saccatum* x $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum**
**: ORG Arabac
*:LONGI
**: PRO
*: BRE Dirmenci, Yazicı \&
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { *: BRE } & \text { Dirmenci, Yazıcı \& } 2020 & \text { Antalya, MT, TR } \\ \text { **: AMA } & \text { Arabacı } & \end{array}$
*: PRO $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Rech.f. } & \text { [Syn. } O . \\ \text { symeonis, Mouterde, } 1952 \text { Hatay, MT, TR }\end{array}$
**: MAJ $\begin{aligned} & \text { symeonis, Mouterde, } 1952 \text { Hatay, MT, TR } \\ & \text { 1973] }\end{aligned}$
1961 Aegean Islands, West of Türkiye
(Cont. on the next page)
Table 1.3. (cont.)

| 11 O. x intermedium | O. onites* x O. sipyleum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: MAJ } \\ & \text { **: ANA } \end{aligned}$ | Davis | 1949 | Denizli, A, TR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 O. x lirium | O. scabrum* x $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & *: A N A \\ & * *: O R G \end{aligned}$ | Heldr. ex Halacsy | 1899 | Greece |
| 13 O. x majoricum | O. majorana* x $O$. vulgare subsp. virens** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: MAJ } \\ & \text { **: } O R G \end{aligned}$ | Cambess. | 1827 | Spain |
| 14 O. x malatyanum | O. acutidens* x $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: BRE } \\ & \text { **: ORG } \end{aligned}$ | Yıldız, Arabacı, \& Dirmenci | 2020 | Malatya, Eastern anatolian Region (EA), TR |
| 15 O. x malyeri | O. boissieri* ${ }^{\text {x }}$ O. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: } A M A \\ & * *: O R G \end{aligned}$ | Dirmenci \& Yazıcı | 2018 | İçel, MT, TR |
| 16 O. x minoanum | O. microphyllum* x $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: } \mathrm{CHI} \\ & \text { **: ORG } \end{aligned}$ | Davis | 1953 | Greece |
| 17 O. x munzurense | O. acutidens* x O. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: BRE } \\ & \text { **: } O R G \end{aligned}$ | Kit, Tan, \& Sorger | 1984 | Tunceli, EA, TR |
| 18 O. x nebrodense | O. majorana* x O. vulgare subsp. viridulum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: MAJ } \\ & \text { **: } O R G \end{aligned}$ | Tineo ex Lojac. | 1907 | Sicilia |
| 19 O. x pabotii | O. bargyli* x O. syriacum subsp. bevanii** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: BRE } \\ & \text { **: MAJ } \end{aligned}$ | Mouterde | 1973 | Syria |
| 20 O. x sevcaniae | O. vogelii* x O. vulgare subsp. hirtum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: } \mathrm{CHI} \\ & \text { **: ORG } \end{aligned}$ | Dirmenci, Arabacı, \& Yazıcı | 2018 | Niğde, Central Anatolia Region (CA), TR |
| 21 O. x symes | O. onites* x O. calcaratum** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: MAJ } \\ & \text { **: } A M A \end{aligned}$ | Calström | - | Greece |
| 22 O. x karpathicum | O. onites* x O. vetteri** | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *: MAJ } \\ & \text { **: ANA } \end{aligned}$ | Dirmenci, Cattaneo $\& \quad$ Dimarchou nothosp.nov. | 2022 | Greece |



Figure 1.9. Geographical distribution of oregano species in Türkiye (Source: Babaç, 2004; Bakış et al., 2011).


OO. vulgare subsp. gracile
○ O. vulgare subsp. hirtum
O . vulgare subsp. viride
○ O. vulgare subsp.vulgare
Figure 1.10. Geographical distribution of $O$. vulgare subspecies in Türkiye (Source: Babaç, 2004; Bakış et al., 2011).

In the map given in Figure 1.10, O. vulgare subsp. are shown to be distributed throughout Türkiye but within certain discrete regions. According to this subspecies map, O. vulgare subsp. gracile is mostly found in Central Anatolia, North-Eastern Black Sea, and South-Eastern Anatolia regions. O. vulgare subsp. hirtum appears to grow mostly in Western-Anatolia (Aegean-Marmara Regions). The subspecies $O$. vulgare subsp. viride
is the most widespread species in the map; it only seems to be absent from South-Eastern Anatolia. Origanum vulgare subsp. vulgare is located mostly in Northern provinces of Türkiye including the Marmara and Black Sea regions. However, the distributions of $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum and other species are given differently in other maps (Figure 1.11) (Bizim Bitkiler®, 2022). As compared to the map generated by Bakıs et al. (2011), O. vulgare subsp. hirtum grows in five geographical regions of Türkiye but not in Central Anatolia and Southeastern Anatolia regions (Bizim Bitkiler®, 2022). This discrepancy might be due to a lack of updated information in the map of Bakış et al. (2011).


Figure 1.11. The distribution map of $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum in Türkiye as shown by regions filled in green (Source: Bizim Bitkiler ${ }^{\circledR}$, 2022).

In recent work, Behçet and Yapar (2021) reported that O. vulgare subsp. viridulum (OVVI) which is mostly known to be growing in the Black Sea region was found in a new area in Bingöl province (Iranian-Turanian element). This means that the overall distribution of oregano species is still expanding in nature. Thus, this expansion requires recognition and maps should be updated consistently.

### 1.3.1.5. Diversity

Genetic markers are representatives of any trait based on genetic variation (Kordrostami and Rahimi, 2015). These markers can depend on biochemistry, morphology, or DNA fingerprints (molecular).

### 1.3.1.5.1.Biochemical diversity

Plant primary metabolites are significant molecules directly affecting cell growth and division, immune response, respiration, storage, and reproduction (Hussein and ElAnssary, 2019). Secondary metabolites (SM) are derivatives of the primary metabolite pathways and, as bioactive agents target cellular molecules including enzymes, transcription factors, nucleic acids and mediators (Hussein and El-Anssary, 2019). SMs are utilized for therapeutic or prevention purposes to improve the health of many living organisms. The chemical richness of SMs has a significant impact on the function of plant self-defense mechanisms which facilitate several complex interactions with pollinators, insects, herbivores, pathogens, or other plants (Lichman et al., 2020). Phytochemicals are complex biological compounds produced by secondary plant metabolism that are especially used by plants as defense molecules (Gutiérrez-Grijalva et al., 2017). They are classified based on their functional groups under four main subgroups as phenolics (flavonoids and non-flavonoids), terpenes-steroids, alkaloids, and organosulfur compounds (Ülger and Ayhan, 2020). All these SMs have different roles in different MAPs.

Flavonoids are one of the most important bioactive derivatives of MAP secondary metabolism since they are water-soluble and have antioxidant effects on carcinogenic tissues (Picos-Salas et al., 2021). In Origanum L. species, there are two predominant flavones: luteolin and apigenin derivatives, both of which have high antioxidant, anticancer and anti-inflammatory features (Picos-Salas et al., 2021). Other than apigenin and luteolin, derivatives of these molecules such as lutein-7-O-glucoside (Figure 1.12) and compounds such as scutellarein molecules are also common in the genus Origanum L.








Figure 1.12. Most common flavones present in oregano species (a) apigenin, (b) luteolin, (c) scutellarein, (d) apigenin-7-O-glucoside, (e) luteolin-7-O-glucoside, (f) luteolin-7-O-glucuronide (Source: Gutiérrez-Grijalva et al. 2017).

The terpenes-steroids group of SMs are the most rich and diverse SMs in MAPs (Hussein and El-Anssary, 2019). Among angiosperms, mints have lineage-specific gene families to produce such metabolites. One example is the terpene synthase genes which affect the diversity of volatile oils in Lamiaceae ssp. (Lichman et al., 2020). In the genus Origanum, there are acyclic, monocyclic, and bicyclic monoterpenes, and sequiterpenoids that create a vast diversity of medicinally and aromatically important chemotypes. Among those, the most common terpenoid compounds among all species in the genus Origanum (Baser, 2002; Arabacı et al., 2019b) are thymol and carvacrol (Figure 1.13). The generation of these products might be positively or negatively affected by the different expression levels of terpene synthase genes in different oregano taxa. In the study of Jan et al. (2018), three $O$. vulgare and three $O$. majorana specimens were investigated for 14 terpene synthase genes and five cytochrome P450 genes. As a result, they concluded that each terpene synthase gene ia individually responsible for generation of certain mono- or sesquiterpene products and these genes can directly create almost all terpenes found in oregano when they work collectively (Jan et al., 2018).



Figure 1.13. Most common chemicals present in essential oil content of oregano species.

The major volatile constituents for $O$. majorana, $O$. onites, $O$. minutiflorum, $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, O. syriacum subsp. bevanii, O. acutidens, O. bilgeri, $O$. hypericifolium, $O$ x intercedens, $O$ xadanense, and $O$. bargyli are carvacrol and thymol. O. saccatum, O. solymicum, O. leptocladum, O. boissieri, and $O$. haussknechtii are rich in p-cymene. $O$. husnucan-baseri, $O$. onites, $O$. vulgare subsp. viride, $O$. micranthum, O. majorana, $O \times$ majoricum, and $O$. rotundifolium are rich in other monoterpenes while $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile, $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare, $O$. vulgare subps. viride, $O$. laeviganum and $O x$ dolichosiphon are rich in sequiterpenes (Baser et al., 2002; Taş, 2010).

### 1.3.1.5.2.Morphological diversity

Morphological characters allow individuals to be distinguished at the species, section, or genus level for oregano species. The most important determiners of genus Origanum are mainly differences in spike, bract, calyx, calyx teeth, corolla, stamina, or indumentum size and shape (Ietswaart, 1980). These characters may overlap for some sections within the genus and their use leads to the determination of phenotypic clusters (Ietswaart, 1980; Antaloudaki et al., 2022). For example, species from the genus Origanum L. are grouped according to features like large/compact spike formation, number of branches, and the type of bracts into sections such as Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus, or Prolaticorolla. These sections all share a lack of
branches, while Amaracus has larger spikes and Anatolicon has compact spikes. Moreover, while both Brevifilamentum and Longitubus sections have lots of flowers, Amaracus, Anatolicon, two subspecies of section Origanum, and one individual from section Prolaticorolla have a common bract formation. Other sections like Campanulaticalyx and Chilocalyx can be clustered according to other features such as leaf structures or calyx teeth. Corollas are mostly similar between Anatolicon, Origanum, and Elonggatispica; Prolaticorolla and Brevifilamentum; Longitubus and Brevifilamentum; and Majorana and Chilocalyx (Ietswaart, 1980). All these minor differences allow the separation of major sections in the genus Origanum.

Recently, mericarp morphology of the genus was characterized in detail from Turkish national herbarium materials for the first time by Ecevit-Genç (2020). According to this study, mericarps were grouped into two main clusters: Type I and Type II. These two clusters had five different types in total: ruminate $(R)$, reticulate-ruminate (RR), foveate (F), reticulate-foveate (RF), and pusticulate (P). In summary, sections Chilocalyx and Amaracus (O. solymicum - Antalya/2014) were R type. Sections Brevifilamentum (O. brevidens), Longitubus, and Prolaticorolla shared RR type of mericarp morphology. Sections Amaracus, Anatolicon, and Majorana (O. onites) had P type mericarps. Only O. husnucan-baseri (OHU) from section Brevifilamentum had RF type mericarps. This information supports the previous findings about OHU that it is different from other taxa in section Brevifilamentum with its reticulate pollen ornamentation (Akyalçın, 1998). The sections Origanum and Brevifilamentum had F type morphology in their mericarps. Thus, this new study added more detailed knowledge of mericarp morphology in the genus Origanum. As a result of this work, macro- and micro-mericarp variations were found to be useful markers for distinguish differences at species and section level among the genus Origanum and between other genera in Lamiaceae (Mentha, Thymus, Satureja) when used together with knowledge of geographical distribution and flower morphology. However, some individuals could not be distinguished at the species level by considering mericarp morphology but can be grouped together in sections such as Chilocalyx, Anatolicon, and Origanum with type II mericarp morphology because some singular species do not share the common mericarp features within their sections. For example, the accessions O. acutidens (Malatya/2013), O. haussknechtii (Erzincan/2013), and $O$. rotundifolium (Artvin/2013) have F-type of mericarp morphology and are also found in close proximity in nature. The major factor making them different from other individuals in the section Brevifilamentum is flower morphology variation. In contrast, $O$.
leptocladum (Karaman/2014) and O. husnucan-baseri (Antalya/2014) are morphologically similar and found together in nature, but they have different mericarp morphologies. In addition, the endemic and currently data-deficient species, $O$. brevidens (Osmaniye/2014), was suggested for the first time to be a hybrid between species $O$. amanum (Osmaniye/2014) and O. laevigatum (Hatay/2013) due to their common phenotypic features and shared growing locations (Ecevit-Genç et al., 2020).

As mentioned above, previous work by Akyalçın et al. (1998) also investigated pollen grains. In this work, pollen grains were characterized as colpate (C), suboblate (SO), oblate spheroid (OSF), subprolate (SP), prolate (P), and prolate spheroid (PSF). However, there was no certain correlation of pollen grain and ornament structures with the type of species although SP was mainly found in Amaracus, Chilocalyx, and Origanum; spheroid types (PSF and OSF) were observed in Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Prolaticorolla; SO formation was reported in Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Origanum; and P structure was in Brevifilamentum. Although these indicators seem to be shared within sections, they can vary depending on the geographical origin or chemotypic structure. Therefore, it is important to have more than one individual per species to create informative taxa. For example, the study had different $O$. sipyleum specimens collected from different provinces and districts. The individuals collected from Manisa and Balıkesir had the same PSF structure, while accessions that were collected from different districts of Ankara (Kızılcahamam and Beypazarı), showed different pollen morphologies (PSF and OSF).

Based on this previous research, the power of the use of both geographical distribution and various combinations of morphological characteristics cannot be denied. The addition of molecular genetic tools will undoubtedly enhance the power of discrimination at the species level.

### 1.3.1.5.3.Molecular diversity

A plant population is a group of individuals of a given species that can intra or interbreed randomly due to chance. Tissue or species-specific diversity between and within plant populations is determined by genetic events that provide plasticity for each individual in a particular habitat. These genetic incidents can happen in nuclear or plastid regions (mitochondria or chloroplast genomes) in plant species (Zhang et al., 2020). All
changes within and between these genomes create polymorphisms and affect the adaptability of a plant to its environment. Variation within these genetic compartments can be manifested by substitutions, duplications, in/dels, inversions and translocations with at least five different flow patterns from mitochondrion to nucleus, plastid to nucleus, plastid to mitochondrion, nucleus to mitochondrion, and mitochondrion to plastid (Zhang et al., 2020). In gynodiecious plants such as species of the genus Origanum, female plants carry cytoplasmic male sterility (CMS) alleles in maternal compartments such as mitochondria making them male sterile while hermaphrodites do not have CMS genes or have nuclear restorer genes (Touzet and Meyer, 2014; Mollion et al., 2017). The presence of CMS genes guarantees the generation of new female individuals which is advantageous for the survival of the population (Mollion et al., 2017). Variants of these CMS alleles can be introduced to populations via large recurrent spontaneous mutations in low frequencies that create genetic sweep or with the entrance of different CMS genes into the population via migration (Frank, 1989; Mollion et al., 2017).

Diversity within or between gene pools includes all the alleles for a locus in a particular population of the species. Allele frequencies are only expected to remain constant under conditions of Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE). In such cases there should be no mutation, no migration (no gene flow), no random mating, or no genetic drift (dramatic changes in allele frequencies from both parents leading to reduction of diversity). However, HWE is not applicable to natural plant populations even in the case of clonal populations since the size of the clonal population, the clone itself, sexual reproduction, migration, life cycle, gametic state (monoecious/diecious), and distribution of populations all affect allele frequencies (Douhovnikoff and Leventhal, 2016). According to Darwin (1872), a selective advantage of any gene variant among individuals in a population will lead to fixation of that allele in the population. This suggests that genetic variance will lead the population to evolve over evolutionary time. This variation might be due to mutation or genetic drift and leads to diversity at the DNA, individual, subpopulation (SP) or population (microevolutionary) levels (Ronfort et al., 2005; Mechergui et al., 2017) and contributes to macroevolution. According to Touzet (2012), genetic drift can dramatically affect the reduction of diversity in small populations. In larger populations, diversity reduction happens with fixation of a favorable rare allele with linkage drag/hitchhiking of linked genes through balancing selection. Gene flow (migration) is the tendency to reduce variation between different
gene pools at the molecular level by the exchange of genetic materials with homologous or non-homologous recombination. Hybridization among taxa through gene migration can enhance or decrease the pace of differentiation (Grünig et al., 2021). Moreover, a sympatric barrier can inhibit migration of individuals by geographical isolation and cause a single ancestral population to lead to the formation of two sister species (Foote, 2018). Therefore, determination of gene flow will help to determine genetic relatedness, speciation dynamics, and diversity in plant populations. It can also help to choose the best specimens for breeding applications.

The importance of gene flow was reflected in the cross-pollination among oregano species including $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare and two out groups: $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, and $O$. vulgare subsp. glandulosum as determined with EST-SSR screening (Mechergui et al., 2017). According to their results, O. vulgare subsp. glandulosum taxa with all specimens are wild and from one location, Tunisia, showed high gene flow (27.34), while the gene flow between $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare (OV) (Italy) - O. vulgare subsp. hirtum (OH) (USA) and O. vulgare subsp. glandulosum $\mathrm{OV} / \mathrm{OH}$ was low ( 0.47 and $0.17-0.28$ ). In this work, genetic homogenization and high gene flow in discrete areas was observed and concluded to be the source of a single complex gene pool of their oregano population.

Genetic distance assessment has been used to collect substantial knowledge about kinship among Origanum species for their better characterization and taxonomic classification. However, to date, there are an insufficient number of molecular genetic marker-based diversity analyses.

### 1.3.1.6. Reproduction among oreganos and speciation hypothesis

Plants reproduce with sporophyte ( 2 n ; diploid; multicellular) and gametophyte ( n ; haploid; multicellular) cycles. Unlike animals, plants undergo mitosis to create male (sperm; n) and female (egg; n) organs. The fertilization of an egg and sperm generates a zygote ( 2 n ) and the zygote grows to a diploid mature plant (2n). Diploid genome size varies from species to species; and it is affected by the mating system as well as intergenic rearrangements and genome duplication. In addition, plant fertilization results in two types of ploidies (number of chromosome sets from both parents): polyploidy (more than two set of chromosome pairs) and homoploidy (the same number of chromosomes as in
the parents). For instance, Brachypodium distachyon is a small model organism known to be genetically close to wheat with a haploid genome of $\mathrm{n}=5$ with $3.5 * 10^{8} \mathrm{bp}$ chromosomal content, while Triticum aestivum L. (bread wheat) has a haploid giant genome of $\mathrm{n}=21$ with $1.6 * 10^{10} \mathrm{bp}$ (Jones et al., 2013). This genome size variation was caused by polyploidization events in the evolution of bread wheat. Polyploids can be autopolyploid or allopolyploid (Jones et al., 2013). If the plant undergoes autopolyploidization, offspring will have two sets of each parent's chromosomes after mitosis. Allopolyploids have genetic material from two or more ancestral genomes to form a new species via interspecific hybridization (Jones et al., 2013). This feature is utilized by plant breeders because it provides heterosis for special traits of interest in domesticated species. However, plants naturally undergo hybridization to generate a new species and polyploidy can create male/female sterility. In contrast, homoploid speciation can occur among individuals unless there is a reproductive isolation barrier inhibiting gene flow. Homoploid speciation allows fixation of parental chromosome number in next generation (Abbott et al., 2000; Buerkle et al., 2000).

Hybridization is a driving force in both the generation of new species and in reverse speciation (Culicchi, 2022). There are many unclear hypotheses about the hybridization behavior of Origanum L. species. However, the longest accepted speciation theory was discussed by Ietswaart (1980). According to his hypothesis, hybridization is a common phenomenon and results in speciation in the genus Origanum (Ietswaart, 1980; Lukas and Novak, 2020). Hybridization may occur between both wild and cultivated oregano populations within a certain proximity and can lead to the generation of new species (Dirmenci et al., 2018). Origanum species were hypothesized and proven to have substantial homoploid hybridization among populations (Ietswaart, 1980; Arabacı et al., 2021a).

Speciation is not only affected by hybridization behavior, it is also affected by biotic (e.g., pathogens) and abiotic (e.g., global warming, day and night, human forces) factors, reproductive systems, genetic events, and geographical distribution. All of these factors have resulted in the diverse number and kinds of plant species on Earth. Plant diversification is mainly dependent on mating system and reproductive strategies (Barrett, 2010). Plants can produce an offspring via stolon/runner branch formation (asexual; clonal reproduction) or via fertilization (sexual reproduction; selfing or hybridization). Fertilization can occur gynogenetically (having both hermaphrodite and singular female organs in separate plants) or hybridogenetically (premeiotic elimination
of one parental genome creates a transition state of a new species divergence, unsettled) (Som et al., 2007). Asexual reproduction generates new plants with the exact same genotype as its progenitor while sexual reproduction may result in new genotypes with the exact number (homoploid) or enhanced number (polyploid) of parental chromosomes.

Angiosperms mostly undergo bisexual reproduction with flowers carrying both male and female reproductive organs. However, oreganos mainly have gynodioecious reproduction which means that female (male-sterile; MS) and hermaphrodite Origanum L. individuals coexist in a native population (Kheyr-Pour, 1980). This means that these plants can undergo both selfing and engage in bisexual reproduction. Sections Chilocalyx, Elongatispica, Majorana, Origanum and Prolaticorolla are most known for gynodioecy in the genus (Ietswaart, 1980). The percentage of possible male-sterile (female) and hermaphrodite individuals in a native population varies from 1 to $62 \%$ (Kheyr-Pour, 1980; Langbehn et al., 2001; Lukas and Novak, 2020). Male-sterile plant development in female plants might be due to polygenic or monogenic factors mainly based on cytoplasmic male-sterility genes (CMS genes converting hermaphrodites into females) and nuclear male-sterility restorer genes (NMS restoring alleles restoring a "male function") (Touzet, 2012). The introduction of rare CMS or NMS restorer alleles into a new population via migration or mutation may give rise to balancing selection within the population for that allele (Touzet, 2012). While sterility alleles are fixed, plant genomes might have genetic drift due to the reduction of population size. Reduction in population size can lead to increased genetic similaritys. In contrast, large populations maintain higher levels of diversity (Touzet, 2012). The effect of nuclear restorer genes was found to be responsible for thyme male sterility in female only plants (Belhassen et al., 1991; Charlesworth and Laporte, 1998; Touzet, 2012). Mollion et al. (2017) examined the Thymus vulgaris (Thyme) genome which is a close relative of Origanum and considered to be an ancestral species. The aim of this work was to understand the genetic basis of gynodiecy in their population and transfer of the chloroplastic genome to the mitochondrial genome was observed. In addition, their data showed that CMS is observed at different levels among or within T. vulgaris populations in nature (Mollion et al., 2017).

Gynodioecy is known to result from a "female advantage" because, in a population, female plants are better able to adapt to the environment by not wasting their energy to produce pollen (Touzet, 2012). Since the female plants can outcross, it becomes
advantageous for the plant to generate new viable hybrids with other pollen sources. For example, even though the homoploid hybrid species, O. x intercedens, had a lower percentage of viability (57\%) when compared to its ancestors, O. vulgare subsp. hirtum $(70 \%)$ and $O$. onites $(81 \%)$, its reproductive flexibility remains as an advantage in the next generation (Bariotakis et al., 2016; Lukas and Novak, 2020). All these events affect the overall population size and structure differently depending on the size of the gynodioecious population.

Naturally isolated gene pools and their sizes affect the fate of endemic plant species since most plants of such species tend to hybridize with different taxa and therefore have higher recombination frequencies. The evolutionary importance of isolated native populations was first suggested by Wagner (1889) and was supported by Charles Darwin and Lamarck (Singh, 2022). This perception was supported by the scientist Savage (1963) as he suggested that the evolution of new species occurred from population fragmentation and genetic divergence (Singh, 2022). Habitat fragmentation results in the isolation of populations based on geographic restrictions (Singh, 2022) causing i) generation of native plant species which are genetically capable to reproduce in isolated populations or ii) enhanced cross-hybridization behaviors to produce new, genetically intermediate species from an admixed population. These are expected to occur unless there is a gene flow barrier among species in a local area. Origanum having around $67 \%$ endemism within species and $58 \%$ endemism among taxa in their own territories (Kușaksız, 2019) is, therefore, susceptible to loss of genetic richness in this way. For oreganos, most endemic species such as $O$. amanum or $O$. brevidens, which are known to be growing locally only on Amanos Mountains, are found in restricted areas (Ietswaart, 1980).

In the beginning of the Ice Age from the Pliocene to the Pleistocene, oregano species were distributed on high hills and mountains where they had genetic connections with Saturejeae genera, and were geographically isolated from water (Ietswaart, 1980). In this evolutionary process, some of the species were lost, while new species arose. This speciation has provided the generation of hybrid species and resulted in loss of original species especially in the sections Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Chilocalyx, Elongatispica, Longitubus, and Prolaticorolla (Ietswaart, 1980) (Figure 1.14).


Figure 1.14. Schematic illustration of speciation between Origanum L. sections and other genera (Source: Ietswaart, 1980).

Cross-sectional and cross-taxa hybridization is possible between oregano species. Recently, transfer of the same set of chromosomes (homoploidization) within the genus was proven by karyological and molecular studies. In the study of Arabacı and colleagues (2021), three parental species from three distinct sections: section Anatolicon ( $O$. sipyleum), Majorana ( $O$. onites) and Origanum (O. vulgare subsp. hirtum) were compared with intermediate hybrid species ( $O . x$ intercedens: OON x OVH; $O$. intermedium: OON x OSI; O. x aytacii: OSI x OVH) (Arabacı et al., 2021). This comparison showed that the hybrid species are more closely related to one of their parents in each hybrid such that $O$. x aytacii, $O$. $x$ intercedens, and $O$. $x$ intermedium are closer to their OSI, OVH, and OON ancestors, respectively (Arabac1 et al., 2021). Their molecular findings suggested that OSI was clustered alone while OON and OVH were in mixed-species clades. This finding suggests that homoploid hybridization is occurring in native oregano populations.

### 1.3.1.7. Origanum taxonomy

The genus Origanum (L.) was first suggested by Linnaeus in Labiatae in 1754. According to his definition, these species are found with flowers in various dense spikes, petals with colors, seed capsules with four types of lips: five equal teeth, two-lipped,
lower lip reduced, and corollas with two lips. The Greek word "Origanum" was first used by Hippocrates ( $460-370$ B.C.E.), the physician who is known as "the father of medicine". The name "oregano" comes from the intersection between two Greek words: oros - hills and mountains and ganos - joyful ornament, showing knowledge about their growth habitat and appearance.

In the genus Origanum, the initial classifications were proposed by Miller (17541768, sections Majorana and Origanum), Gleditsch (1764, section Amaracus), Rafinesque and Scheele (1836 and 1843, section Origanum, never accepted), Kuntze (1867, 1891, about section Origanum as genus and Thymus as a section, then Thymus as genus and Origanum as section, never accepted), Bentham (1834, suggested Amaracus, Majorana, Origanum as three genera; then in 1848 - 1876, he accepted the Linnaeus concept and denied them being genera; assumed them as sections, and added the section Anatolicon), and Briquet (1895, sections Schizocalyx, Holocalyx and Chilocalyx in assumed genus Majorana). In later years, Majorana and Origanum were continued to be accepted as different genera. Other researchers started following the Linnaeus concept in Lamiaceae such as Moench (1794), Bornmiiller (1917), Hayek (1931). Rechinger (1943), Wolf (1954), Wunderlich (1967) and Zohary (1973). Other researchers who were interested in the taxonomical revision of Origanum L. species were Lamarck (1797), Sibthorp \& Smith (1826), Willkomm \& Lange (1868), Boissier (1879), Nyman (1881, 1890), Halacsy (1902), Post \& Dinsmore (1933), Mouterde (1935), Davis (1949), Thiebaut (1953), El-Gazzar \& Watson (1970), Fernandes \& Heywood (1972) (Ietswaart, 1980). To date, Boissier (1879 - "Flora Orientalis") and Ietswaart (1981 - "Flora of Türkiye") are the most well-known classifications of Origanum L.

The most accepted taxonomic revision of the genus Origanum was written by Ieatwaart (1980) according to a large variety of morphological and chemical diversity. In the last few decades, there has been some debate on the classification of genus Origanum due to newer findings from molecular level studies (Lukas et al., 2010, Lukas et al., 2013). In addition, there are species such as $O$. symes (Govaerts, 2003) and O. ayliniae (Dirmenci et al., 2018) which were discovered after Ietswaart's morphological classification. Interestingly, $O$. symes is found in The Plant List (27.03.2022), IPGRI Proceeding (Padulosi, 1997), and Kew website (27.03.2022) but not in NCBI taxonomy browser (WCSP, 2022). Currently, the genus Origanum (Linnaeus, 1753) is represented by 50 taxa in the NCBI database including unclassified species (Table 1.4) (Source: Ur13) and there are also 20 hybrids according to Arabacı et al. (2021).

In the taxonomical classification of the genus Origanum, there are still debates when a certain database is used as a resource due to the slowness of updates. All recent studies consider the taxonomical classification of Origanum species based on Ietswaart's (1980) morphological classification; however, the knowledge about the current number of species, remaining uncharacterized species, and taxonomical relationships among all oreganos is slow to be updated. Due to these factors, the taxonomical classification of the genus Origanum remains incomplete. For a better and more precise determination among oregano species, recent findings must be included equally in databases as they become published. Such updates must be clarified for better conservation of the oregano species in the genus and breeding applications.

Table 1.4. Origanum L. species names reported in NCBI database (08.11.2021).

(Cont. on the next page)

## Table 1.4. (cont.)



### 1.3.1.8. Sections

The morphological taxonomic revision of the genus Origanum recommended by Ietswaart (1980) has been accepted for decades as most appropriate classification. In this revision, the most important driving force for speciation in oregano is assumed to be hybridization which is found to be mostly related to sexuality of flowers and male sterility of the plant itself (seen in O. vulgare). The facts that most oregano flowers are bisexual and some of them behave like they only have female organs means that genetic variations occur frequently in Origanum species due to hybridization. The complexity in the genus is also caused by ongoing natural cross-hybridization with species in closely related genera such as Thymus, Satureja, Thymbra and Micromeria (Jedzejczyk, 2018; Lukas and Novak, 2020).

There are ten main sections within the genus Origanum as follows: Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus, Chilocalyx, Campanumaticalyx, Elongatispica, Majorana, Origanum, and Prolaticorolla. Sections have been subdivided into ten, with three subkeys (A: Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus; B: Chilocalyx, Majorana; C: Campanumaticalyx, Elongatispica, Origanum, Prolaticorolla) due to their hybridization behaviors and evolutionary history in Ietswaart's classification (1980) (Table 1.5).

Table 1.5. Representation of three main clusters (sub keys) among ten sections in the genus, Origanum L. (Source: Sharifi-Rad et al., 2020). Ni: Number of individual species per section; Nsub: Number of subspecies; $\sum N i$ : Total number of individual species per section; and $\sum N s u b$ : Total number of varieties of species.

| SECTIONS | Ni | Nsub | SPECIES | Phenotypic Clusters called as "Sub key" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Section Amaracus | 8 | - | O. ayliniae; O. boissieri; O. calcaratum; $O$. cordifolium; $O$. dictamnus; $O$. saccatum; $O$. solymicum | Sub key A <br> (Amaracus, Anatolicon, <br> Brevifilamentum) |
| II. Section  <br> Anatolicon  | 8 | - | O. akhdarense; $O$. cyrenaicum; $O$. hypericifolium; O. libanoticum; O. scabrum; O. sipyleum; O. vetteri; O. pampaninii | a) Large calyces, two or one lipped, 4 to 12 mm long, b) Bracts rather large, 4 to 25 |
| III. Section <br> Brevifilamentum | 7 | - | O. acutidens; O. bargvli; O. brevidens; $O$. haussknechtii; $O$. leptocladum; $O$. rotundifolium; O. husnucan-baseri | mm long, c) membranous, usually purple, rarely yellowish green, d) Slight |
| IV. Section <br> Longitubus  | 1 | - | O. amanum | glabrous |
| V. Section <br> Chilocalyx | 5 | - | O. bilgeri; O. microphyllum; O. minutiflorum; O. vogelii | Sub key B <br> (Chilocalyx, Majorana) <br> a) Small calyces, two or one lipped, 1.3 to 3.5 mm long, <br> b) Bracts small, 1 to 5 mm long, c)leaf-like in texture and color, more or less hairy |
| VII. Section Campanulaticalyx | 6 | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. dayi; } O . \text { isthmieum; } O . \text { ramonense; } O . \\ & \text { petraeum; O. punonense; O. jordanicum } \end{aligned}$ | Sub key C (Campanulaticalyx, |
| VIII. Section Elongatispica | 3 | - | O. elongatum; O. floribundum | Elongatispica, Origanum, Prolaticorolla) |
| IX. Section <br> Origanum  | 6 | 1 | O. vulgare ssp. vulgare; O. vulgare ssp. glandulosum; $O$. vulgare ssp. gracile; $O$. vulgare ssp. hirtum; $O$. vulgare ssp. viride/viridulum; $O$. vulgare ssp. virens | a) Calyces with five (sub)equal teeth, two or one lipped |
| X. Section <br> Prolaticorolla | 3 |  | O. compactum; O. ehrenbergii; O. laevigatum |  |

之Ni:50; $\sum$ Nsub: 5

### 1.3.1.8.1.Origanum species

Origanum species are woody, perennial subshrubs and grow on various types of soils, rocky areas, or under pine trees with a white and purple flower. There are several unique morphological features such as stem, leaf, and stoma and distinct growing environment requirements in the genus affecting the genotype of the next generation, endemism, and speciation. They also have hybrid speciation. Some of these hybrid species have already been reported in the literature. Within the genus Origanum, sections Amaracus, Majorana, and Origanum are possible ancestral lineages (Ietswaart, 1980; Martin et al., 2020). According to Ietswaart's hypothesis, some morphological characters such as branched stems in Thymus were common in species under section Anatolicon of Origanum; however, other morphological features such as calyx teeth mean that species under that section cannot be classified as Thymus (Ietswaart, 1980). Most of the species are hypothesized to have been generated via intergeneric hybridization of Origanum species with individuals from Satureja (Ietswaart, 1980). In the genus Origanum, intrageneric hybridizations are also very common and the gynodioecious feature of the genus causes generation of new hybrids or loss of endemic species according to the selective advantage of allele frequencies for a given phenotypic trait.

Origanum species for which information was available are described in the following sections.

### 1.3.1.8.1.1. Section I: Amaracus (Gleditsch) Bentham, 1848 (AMA)

Flowers are usually large and bisexual in section Amaracus (Ietswaart, 1980). Among species, $O$. x ayliniae, O. boissieri, O. saccatum, O. dictamnus and $O$. solymicum are reported to be occur naturally in Türkiye.

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.1. O. boissieri Ietswaart, 1859 (OBO)

O. boissieri is found in southern Türkiye, and it grows at elevations of 500 to 2000 mt on rocks and in shady places. Its flowering season is from July to August. OBO is similar to $O$. dictamnus, but its calyces are different (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.2. O. calcaratum Jussieu, 1789 (OCA)

O. calcaratum is endemic to Amanos mountain but found in other places such as Kriti. This species grows at sea level up to 700 mt . However, the calcareous structure of the soil makes it inaccessible to reach the plant. It has flowering stage from April to October. Hybridization between OCA and $O$. dictamnus is reported (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.3. O. cordifolium Montbret et Aucher ex Bentham (Vogel) 1841 (OCO)

O. cordifolium is found in Cyprus and also in Syria. It grows from 500 to 1500 mt in rocky places and in shade under pine trees. OCO has some features not found in section Amaracus. OCO is present in the absence of OSA and OSO species in some areas (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.4. O. dictamnus Linnaeus, 1753 (ODI)

O. dictamnus is found in many places in Kriti, Greece and western Türkiye. It grows on calcareous rocks, from 300 to 1500 mt . Its flowering happens from June to October. ODI is the parent species for some hybrids such as $O$. x hybridium which is the probable parent of $O$. sipyleum (OSI) (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.5. O. saccatum Davis, 1949 (OSA)

O. saccatum grows in the Mediterranean area of Türkiye, especially in Isparta and Antalya. It grows in rocky, calcareous slopes and under pine trees at 1000 mt . Its flowering time is from July to August. OSA is related to OSO and OSI (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.1.6. O. solymicum Davis, 1949 (OSO)

O. solymicum grows in calcareous rocks and in the shade of Pinus britia trees. OSO perceptibly differs from OSA and OCO species in the same section (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2. Section II: Anatolicon Bentham, 1848 (ANA)

Flowers are usually bisexual and medium sized in the section Anatolicon (Ietswaart, 1980). In section Anatolicon, species O. hypericifolium and O. sipyleum grow naturally in Türkiye.

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.1. O. akhdarense Ietswart et Boulos, 1975 (OAK)

O. akhdarense grows in Libya and has bisexual flowers and grows in shady rock faces together with other endemic plant species. The flowering stage for OAK is September to October (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.2. O. cyrenaicum Beguinot et Vaccari, 1913 (OCY)

O. cyrenaicum is a bisexual endemic species which grows mostly on calcareous rocks in Libya. Generally, it is found together with other endemic plant species. Recently, it had been reported that it grows also in the Green Mountains in Vermont, USA (Elabbar et al., 2014).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.3. O. hypericifolium Schwarz et Davis, 1949 (OHY)

O. hypericifolium has bisexual flowers and it grows in Türkiye at elevations of 1500 to 2000 mt . It mostly grows in rocky areas and black pine forests, flowering between July to August. It is known to be close ditributed with $O$. sipyleum (Anatolicon) in nature (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.4. O. libanoticum Boissier, 1844 (OLI)

O. libanoticum has bisexual flowers and grows close to dry areas, flowering from June to October at 700 to 2000 mt from sea level. It is endemic to mountains in Lebanon. This species is known to have natural hybridization with O. syriacum (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.5. O. scabrum Boissier et Heldreich, 1846 (OSC)

O. scabrum is known to have both bisexual flowers and only female flowers (male-sterile) and it grows endemically at around 1000 to 1800 mt in limestone soils and next to boulders in Greece. It is known to have natural hybrids with $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, and the hybrid is known as $O$. lirium (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.6. O. sipyleum Linnaeus, 1753 (OSI)

O. sipyleum has bisexual flowers and grows in a wide range of lands from the Black Sea Region to the Mediterranean Region in Western Türkiye. It prefers to grow at 100 to 1500 mt elevated from sea level, under pine trees in calcareous rocky areas, flowering from June to August. O. sipyleum naturally generates hybrids with $O$. onites and $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.2.7. O. vetteri Briquet et Barbey, 1895 (OVE)

O. vetteri has bisexual flowers and it is endemic to the Greek island, Karputhos. It grows close to calcareous rocks, flowering in a short period of time from June to July at 1100 mt above sea level. The overall characteristics are more likely similar to the genus Thyme (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3. Section III: Brevifilamentum Ietswaart, 1980 (BRE)

There are several flower characteristics such as being bisexual, subsessile, verticillaster, and large in section Brevifilamentum (Ietswaart, 1980). In Türkiye, all of the species belonging to section Brevifilamentum naturally grow in certain geographic locations.

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.1. O. acutidens Handel - Mazzetti, Ietswaart, 1913 (OAC)

O. acutidens has verticillaster flowers. It grows on limestone, sunny slopes (Karagöz et al., 2022) and non-calcareous areas in Northeastern Türkiye at 1000 to 3000 mt elevation and flowers from June to August. Although it is known to be similar to $O$. rotundifolium and $O$. haussnechtii from the same section Brevifilamentum, they are not likely to grow in close proximity (Ietswaart, 1980). OAC has fewer stomata than OBI, OMI, OVO and OVVU (Taş, 2010).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.2. O. bargvli Mouterde, 1973 (OBA)

O. bargyli is a rare species known as "Yarpuzmercanı" in Turkish and specifically grows in Adana province in areas rich in pine and also in Syria. It is similar to $O$. amanum in its appearance. It is also known to be closely related with $O$. brevidens and both have the same corolla structure as $O$. leptocladum. OBA generates hybrids with OSY in nature (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.3. O. brevidens Bornmüller, Dinsmore, 1933 (OBR)

O. brevidens has verticillaster and subsessile flowers. It was previously reported as "hasn't been recorded since 1933" after it was found in Amanum Mountain in Türkiye (Ietswaart, 1980). Luckily, ISTE and TD herbarium collections include specimens reported as $O$. brevidens that were collected in 2014 from Osmaniye near the Amanos Mountains (Ecevit-Genç et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2020).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.4. O. haussknechtii Boissier, 1879 (OHA)

O. haussknechtii has verticillaster flowers and grows at mountains from 1000 to 1650 mt elevation and flowers from June to September in Eastern Türkiye. Although they are in the same section, OHA differs from OAC and ORO (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.5. O. leptocladum Boissier, 1879 (OLE)

O. leptocladum has verticillaster and subsessile flowers and grows on chalky soils. It grows in Southern Türkiye, flowering in the two-month period from July to August at 1500 mt . OLE from section Brevifilamentum is a phenotypic reminiscent of $O$. laevigatum from the section Prolaticorolla (Ietswaart, 1980). OLE from the section Brevifilamentum and OBI from section Chilocalyx have the most dense secondary metabolite crystals in their secretory glands when compared to other species that naturally grow in Türkiye (Taş, 2010).

### 1.3.1.8.1.3.6. O. rotundifolium Boissier, 1859 (ORO)

O. rotundifolium has verticillar flowers and it grows at 400 to 1500 mt above sea in Northeastern Türkiye, flowering from June to September. ORO is known as being related to OAC (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.4. Section IV: Longitubus Ietswaart, 1980 (LONGI)

Flowers are bisexual, very large and long corollas in section Longitubus (Ietswaart, 1980). Only one species belongs to section Longitubus, O. amanum.

### 1.3.1.8.1.4.1. O. amanum Post, 1985 (OAM)

O. amanum has an isolated distribution area located on Amanos Mountains at 1500 to 2000 mt . It grows on calcareous soils, flowering over a relatively long season from June to September. Even though OAM is reminiscent of OBA and OBR, it differs from these species with its unique corolla structure. Therefore, it was classified into a separate section. It has natural hybrids with another endemic species to Amanos Mountains, OLA (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.5. Section V: Chilocalyx (Briq.) Ietswaart (CHI)

Flowers are both bisexual or female (male-sterile) and very small in section Chilocalyx (Ietswaart, 1980). In this section, species $O$. bilgeri, O. micranthum, and $O$. minituflorum grow in Türkiye.

### 1.3.1.8.1.5.1. O. bilgeri Davis, 1949 (OBI)

O. bilgeri has both bisexual and female flowers. This endemic species grows close to Cedrus forests at Geyik Mountain in Antalya (Taş, 2010). OBI is known to be close to OMI. OBI also share a common number of stomata with OVO, OMI, and OVVU.

### 1.3.1.8.1.5.2. O. micranthum Vogel, 1841 (OMICRA)

O. micranthum is also being called $O$. vogelii $(\mathrm{OVO})$ and $O$. vulgare $(\mathrm{OVH})$ creating misclassification (The Plant List, 01.12.2022). It has bisexual and female only flowers. It grows in the Taurus Mountains of Türkiye. It grows at 1500 mt elevation flowering from July to September. OMICRA is mostly found having hybrids with OVH in nature. OBI and OMI have been accepted to be related to OMICRA (Ietswaart,1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.5.3. O. microphyllum (OMICRO)

O. microphyllum has bisexual flowers and grows in rocky environments at 500 to 1700 mt flowering from June to September. This species does not have a similar appearance as the other three species from the same section (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.5.4. O. minutiflorum (OMI)

O. minutiflorum has individuals with bisexual and female only flowers. It is found in Southern regions in Türkiye and it grows on rocky areas at 1600 mt elevation with flowers from June to August. It is known to be closely related to OBI (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.6. Section VI: Majorana (Miler) Benth. (MAJ)

The flowers are both bisexual and female in section Majorana. Within section Majorana, species $O$. dubium (ODU), O. onites (OON), and O. syriacum subsp. bevanii (OSYBE) naturally grow in Türkiye.

The genetic relationships of species belong to section Majorana were revised by Lukas et al. (2013) (Figure 1.15). According to ITS sequence analyses from specimens including clones from native lands Italy, Greece, Türkiye, Cyprus, Syria and from greenhouse plants from Austria, $O$. onites was proposed as hybridizing with $O$. dubium which itself has been reported as a hybrid of $O$. syriacum, $O$. onites, and one other unknown species (Lukas et al., 2013). In addition, OON specimens collected from Greece and Sicily were defined as "pure" with no significant gene flow ( $F_{S T}$ ) among other taxa due to their geographical isolation from other members of section MAJ (Lukas et al., 2013). The Turkish OON population had higher gene flow between Sicilian oreganos than Greek taxa. Turkish OON species having an admixed genetic structure was attributed to the gene flow from ODU taxa (Lukas et al., 2013).


Figure 1.15. Collection locations of $O$. onites, $O$. dubium, $O$. majorana, and $O$. syriacum species from taxonomically revised section Majorana in the study of Lukas et al. (2013) .(Source: Lukas et al., 2013)

### 1.3.1.8.1.6.1. O. majorana (OMA)

O. majorana called as "marjoram or sweet marjoram" has bisexual and female only flowers (Lukas and Novak, 2020). It is cultivated in several districts including the Mediterranean region and the Asian, American, and European continents. It is known to grow naturally in Cyprus (Lukas et al., 2013). It grows on rocky soils at 100 to 1500 mt flowering from May to September. OMA is closely related to OSY but differs in some morphological characters such as leaves. There are artificial hybrids of OMA with OVVIR and OVVU (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.6.2. O. dubium (ODU)

O. dubium is a chemotype of OMA with cymyl content (linalool type) while OMA has sabinyl essential oil (Lukas et al., 2013). In the study of Lukas et al. (2013), an ODU-specific gene, 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate synthase (DXS), was determined in Turkish OON taxa recommending appearance of a recent hybridization from ODU towards OON in Türkiye and the gene flow was observed from ODU (dub1) to OON (oni4) (Lukas et al., 2013). No molecular data reflected gene flow from OON towards ODU.

### 1.3.1.8.1.6.3. O. onites (OON)

O. onites is the species that is accepted as the most phenotypically distinguishable species in the genus (Lukas et al., 2013). It has a large geographical distribution over the world but especially grows in Greece, the Greek Islands, and Türkiye. According to Ietswaart (1980), it has an isolated population on Sicily in Mediterranean Sea. It grows in limestone and rocky mountains up to 1400 mt from sea level and flowers from April to August. It has natural hybrids with OSI and OVH which led to the generation of hybrids $O$. x intermedium and $O$. x intercedens (Arabaci et al., 2021). Moreover, OON is one of the earliest ancestors in the section $M A J$ (Lukas et al., 2013) and it has an admixed genetic structure in Türkiye which might be due to hybridization between ODU taxa or geographical location (Lukas et al., 2013).

### 1.3.1.8.1.6.4. O. syriacum (OSY)

O. syriacum grows in limestone and rocky places up to 2000 m from sea level and flowers over a relatively long term from May to October in Mediterranean countries including Türkiye. It is known to have hybrids with $O$. libonaticum (section Anatolicon), O. bargyli (Brevifilamentum), O. ehrenbergii (Prolaticorolla), and O. prolaticorolla (Prolaticorolla) (Ietswaart, 1980). For example, O. leptocladum from the section Brevifilamentum was suggested to be a hybrid between $O$. syriacum (Majorana) and $O$. bargyli (Brevifilamentum). O. syriacum has three variants: O. syriacum var. syriacum (OSYSY) (Israil, Urdun, and Syria), O. syriacum var. bevanii (OSYBE) (Türkiye, Cyprus, Syria, and Lebanon), and O. syriacum var. sinaicum (OSYSI) (Sina peninsula). Türkiye only has OSYBE species.

### 1.3.1.8.1.7. Section VII: Campanulaticalyx (CAMPA)

Flowers are usually bisexual, very small or medium sized in section Campanulaticalyx. There is no species belonging to section CAMPA that naturally grows in Türkiye (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.7.1. O. dayi (ODA)

O. dayi has bisexual and female only flowers and has pillosellous staminal filaments. It grows on hard limestone and deserts up to 800 mt flowering from July to August.

### 1.3.1.8.1.7.2. O. isthmieum (OIS)

O. isthmieum has bisexual flowers and it is endemic. It grows on hard limestones on the Sinai Peninsula up to 500 m with flowers in June.

### 1.3.1.8.1.7.3. O. ramonense (ORA)

O. ramonense has bisexual flowers and has pillosellous staminal filaments. It grows on hard limestone in Israel at 800 to 1000 mt and flowers in September.

### 1.3.1.8.1.8. Section VIII: Elongatispica (ELON)

The section Elongatispica has very small bisexual and female only flowers.

### 1.3.1.8.1.8.1. O. elongatum (OEL)

O. elongatum grows in Morocco between 400 to 1500 mt and flowers from June to October. It has a close relationship with species $O$. floribundum and O. grosii; however, they have some different morphological features like stem and leaf characteristics (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.8.2. O. floribundum (OFL)

O. floribundum grows in Algerian mountains at 300 to 1600 mt and flowers from July to November (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.8.3. O. grosii (OGR)

O. grosii grows in Morocco at 650 to 1000 mt and flowers from June to July (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9. Section IX: Origanum (ORG)

O. vulgare ssp. have bisexual or female only flowers and are one of the most traded and widely distributed oregano species in most of Europe, Asia and North Africa (Ietswaart, 1980; Lukas and Novak, 2020). Ietswaart (1980) classified O. vulgare species into six groups according to variation in morphological characters such as indumentum,
sessile glands, bract and flower color and size (Figure 1.16) (Ietswaart, 1980; Lukas and Novak, 2020).


Figure 1.16. Distribution of individuals of the section Origanum throughout the world (Source: Ietswaart, 1980).
In a recent study by Soltani et al. (2021), the distribution of O. vulgare ssp. was divided into two groups according to antimicrobial essential oil contents as rich and poor regions. A map was constructed to display the geographical distribution of the groups of O. vulgare ssp. According to this map, $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, $O$. vulgare subsp. glandulosum, and $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile grow in Mediterranean regions and have poor monoterpenoid volatile content while $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare, $O$. vulgare subsp. virens, and $O$. vulgare subsp. viride grow in Southern Europe regions and have rich monoterpenoids such as thymol and carvacrol (Figure 1.17) (Lotti et al., 2019; Soltani et al., 2021).


Figure 1.17. Current distribution of $O$. vulgare ssp. in the world: Regions above the black line are the essential oil rich Southern origin while those below the black line are the Mediterranean region having poor essential oil (Source: Soltani et al. 2021).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.1. O. vulgare ssp. vulgare (OVVU)

O. vulgare subsp. vulgare grows on calcareous non-limyl soils from sea level up to 1400 mt and flowers from May to October in a wide range of areas including Europe and Asia (Ietswaart, 1980). It has been reported that OVVU naturally hybridizes with OMA and has artificial hybrids with ODU from section Majorana. It also hybridizes with OSI from Anatolicon and OVH from section Origanum (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.2. O. vulgare ssp. glandulosum (OVGL/or OVGLAN)

O. vulgare subsp. glandulosum grows in Algeria and Tunisia on rocky areas up to 1200 mt and flowers from May to August. It is closely related to $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.3. O. vulgare ssp. gracile (OVG)

O. vulgare subsp. gracile grows in Eastern Türkiye and Arabic countries such as Iraq, Iran, and Afghanistan. It grows on calcareous and stone rich places which can be non-limyl, moistured, or dried soils and flowers bloom from June to September (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.4. O. vulgare ssp. hirtum (OVH)

O. vulgare subsp. hirtum grows on calcareous, non-limyl, grassy abandoned hills and it loves both shade and sunny places up to 1500 mt flowering from May to December. It grows on the Balkan Penninsula of Türkiye. OVH is the most investigated species in terms of its antimicrobial benefits. However, there are still concerns about the correct usage of volatile oils from $O$. vulgare in medicine to avoid toxicity despite the fact that thymol and carvacrol have been approved for human usage by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Soltani et al., 2021). In nature, it has been reported that this subspecies has natural hybrids with OMIC, OON, OSC, and OSI (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.5. O. vulgare ssp. virens (OVVIR)

O. vulgare subsp. virens grows in the Canary and Baleric Islands, and North Africa. It grows on calcareous non-limyl, partially shaded soils at 100 to 200 mt above sea level and flowers from May to August. In France, there is a hybrid zone for OVVIR, OVVI, and OMA (MAJ) (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.9.6. O. vulgare ssp. viride/viridulum (OVVI)

O. vulgare subsp. viride grows on dry/fully sunny places up to 300 mt and flowers from May to October in a wide geographic area including China. It is mostly similar to OVVU and OVVIR (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.10.Section X: Prolaticorolla (PRO)

In section Prolaticorolla, flowers are large and bisexual or female only.

### 1.3.1.8.1.10.1. O. compactum (OCO)

O. compactum grows on dry hills up to 700 mt flowering from June to August in Morocco (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.10.2. O. ehrenbergii (OEH)

O. ehrenbergii grows under pine trees from sea level up to 1500 mt and flowers over a short time period, June to July. It has natural hybrids with OSY (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.8.1.10.3. O. laevigatum (OLA)

O. laevigatum grows locally inside maqui forests and open woods up to 300 to 2000 mt in Amanos Mountains of Türkiye. It has similar morphological characteristics to OLE and some other species. It can hybridize with OAM (LONGI) and OSY (MAJ) in nature (Ietswaart, 1980).

### 1.3.1.9. Origanum in Türkiye

In Türkiye, the genus Origanum is represented by 21 species including 24 taxa of which 13 are endemic and five are in endangered status (Kuşaksız, 2019). There are also 13 named hybrids with 12 of them endemic (Arabacı et al., 2021) in Türkiye.

### 1.3.1.10. Trade, production and cultivation

The fact that there are various chemotypes of oregano species increases their economic importance. According to Tuik reports, Türkiye has become one of the leading countries in oregano trade and production (Source: Url6). The production rate of oregano in 2020 increased greatly when compared to 2019 (Figure 1.18; Boztas et al., 2021).


Figure 1.18. Production amount of oregano in Türkiye according to Tuik (Source: Boztas et al., 2021)

The external trade of oregano over the world is currently 17 thousand tonnes and Türkiye accounted for $88 \%$ of the trade capacity by 2018 (Source: Url6). Türkiye has recently made remarkable profit with oregano exports by increasing the income from 10 million dollars to 52 million dollars in a decade (2008-2018) (Source: Url6; Sokat, 2021). The traded oregano species are mostly from genus Origanum and the most traded species is known to be $O$. onites (Sar1 et al., 2002; Sokat, 2021).

### 1.3.1.11. Endemism and threatened status of oregano species

Endemism is the appearance of a species in a restricted land area at a given time under certain ecological and climatic conditions. The endemism of a plant species can be due to geographical fragmentation in a province. For example, in Egypt, there are 11 endemic oregano taxa such as the infraspecific taxon $O$. syriacum subsp. siniacum (Endangered Status) which is thought to be in "extinction" category due to deficient data in herbarium banks.

In Türkiye, there are around 12,000 taxa of plant species and $1 / 3$ of them are endemic species (Şenkul and Kaya, 2017) (Figure 1.19). There are at least 12 endemic plant species in Türkiye that have become extinct in recent decades (Ekim et al., 2000; Karaer et al., 2022).


Figure 1.19. Locations of Türkiye's endemic plant species (Source: Șenkul and Kaya, 2017).

Türkiye's endemic plant flora predominantly consists of species from the families Asteraceae, Lamiaceae, and Fabaceae with the following number of locations: 1497 ( $15.5 \%$ of all species), 1069 (11\%), and 971 (10\%) within a total of 9677 locations in Türkiye (Șenkul and Kaya, 2017). Specifically, there are 2557 (26.4\%), 1177 (12.2\%) and 5943 (61.4\%) endemic locations in the Mediterranean, European-Siberia, and IranoTuranian phytogeographic regions in Türkiye, respectively (Şenkul and Kaya, 2017). In the latest reports, there are $79,63,5$ and 11 rare and endemic Lamiaceae species distributed in the Mediterranean, Irano-Turanian, Euro-Siberian and unknown/multiregional phytogeographic regions in Türkiye, respectively (Kuşaksız, 2019).

The endemic species of oregano are categorized under the IUCN red list of threatened species (IUCN, 2022). Currently, the most threatened species of oregano is taxon $O$. boissieri from section Amaracus which under is critically endangered (CR) category in Türkiye (Gürbüz et al., 2010; Kuşaksız, 2019). The oregano species that are classified under endangered (EN) category are $O$. munzurense (OMU; hybrid of $O$. acutidens x $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile) which was recently removed from section Brevifilamentum (Dirmenci et al., 2019a) and O. solymicum from section Amaracus. The taxa $O$. bargyli from section Brevifilamentum, $O$. laevigatum from section Prolaticorolla, and $O$. micranthum from section Chilocalyx are classified as vulnerable (VU). Within the least risk category, O. amanum from section Longitubus, O. bilgeri from section Chilocalyx, $O$. saccatum from section Amaracus, $O$. sipyleum from section Anatolicon, in addition to $O$. haussknechtii and $O$. leptocladum from section Brevifilamentum, are considered to be conservation dependent. Also, in this category, there are $O$. minutiflorum from section Chilocalyx which is nearly threatened. $O$. acutidens from section Brevifilamentum, $O$. saccatum from section Amaracus, and $O$. hypericifolium from section Anatolicon belong to the least concerned category (Gürbüz et al., 2011).

### 1.3.1.12. Conservation strategy: Interdiciplinary characterization and cultivation of native oregano species

Türkiye is rich in endemic species in the genus Origanum. To date, there are 13 endemic oregano species out of 24 taxa and 12 endemic hybrid oreganos out of 13 natural hybrid species reported in Türkiye (Taşcıoğlu et al., 2018; Martin et al., 2020; Arabacı et al., 2021) including: $O$. boissieri, $O$. saccatum, $O$. solymicum, $O$. hypericifolium, $O$. sipyleum, $O$. acutidens, $O$. haussknetchii, O. husnucan-baseri, O. leptocladum, O.x munzurense, $O$. x aytacii, O. amanum, O. bilgeri, $O$. vogeli, and $O$. laevigatum.

There is an ongoing loss of diversity and increased demand for sustainable plant biodiversity due to threatening factors such as agricultural activities like burning stubble, industrialization, fires affecting natural forests, climate change, tourism activities natural habitats; and rapid increase in the human populations (Karagöz, 2001; Maxted et al., 2012). There are three main global plant genetic diversities to conserve: a) wild genetic
resources, b) genetic resources for food and agriculture, and c) plants for non-food utilization applications as given in Figure 1.20 (Maxted et al., 2021).


Figure 1.20. Scheme for grouping of global plant genetic diversity (Source: Maxted et al., 2012).

Although there are many recommended conservation methods including both in situ and ex situ applications such as natural protection sites, botanical gardens, or gene banks, there is an obstacle to conventional in situ conservation in practice (Shukrullo, 2020). There are ten main crop wild relative in situ conservation hot spots and eight of them are in Türkiye (Castañeda-Alvarez et al., 2016; Zair et al., 2021). Türkiye is a hot spot for plant genetic resources such as wheat, sugar beet, poppy, tea, and oregano by providing various types of pollinators and climates. Türkiye is situated at the intersection of the European-Siberia, Iranian-Turanian (Irano-Anatolicon) and Mediterranean bioclimatic regions which is placed between $26-45^{\circ}$ and $36-42^{\circ}$ longitude and latitude in the Northern hemisphere. This region hosts ten sub climates that make its geography special for the origin and speciation of wild relatives and the appearance of hybrid species for many plants (Yıldırım et al., 2021). These features ensure richness for endemic plant species since the bioclimate and geomorphology are highly variable and allow extraordinary species diversification (Parolly, 2004; Noroozi et al., 2019) (Figure 1.21).


Figure 1.21. Topographic regions of Türkiye according to climatic hotspots: Caucasus, Irano-Anatolicon, and Mediterranean phytogeographic locations of Türkiye (Source: Noroozi et al., 2019).

Since many plant species originated from the "fertile crescent" which covers a large area of lands near Türkiye's eastern borders, there are several conservation centers for wild crops concentrated in the country. Thus, a map showing the overlapping models for distribution of crop wild relatives shows Türkiye as a hot spot (Figure 1.22) and every year 60 more plant species are added to the Flora of Türkiye (Özhatay et al., 2017; Akalin et al., 2020).


Figure 1.22. Distribution map of overlapping crop wild relatives throughout the world (Source: Castañeda-Álvarez et al., 2016). Transition from yellow to red implies that red represents the highest number of wild relatives in a geographic area, while yellow to white indicates fewer taxa.

Oregano species are mainly wild varieties that grow naturally and are mostly utilized directly from nature for culinary uses in addition to their usage in both medicinal and scientific research. The conservation of wild oregano species is important for sustainability and protection since most are endemic and grow locally in certain soil and climate conditions. According to Ietswaart (1980), oregano can include individuals from Thymus, Satureja and Micromeria. Thus, "kekik" is represented by 57 Thymus, 24 Origanum, 14 Satureja, 4 Thymbra and 1 Coridothymus taxon in Türkiye (Bozdemir, 2019). The rich diversity of Thymus species in our country and Türkiye being a hot spot for Origanum L. supports Ietswaart's hypothesis about natural speciation and evolutionary relationships. His hypothesis claims that the hybridization between Thymus and Origanum might cause generation of natural hybrid species that have the potential to become new species. This information is also supported by the conserved number of chromosomes for Thymus, Origanum, and Micromeria from Satureja (2n=30) (Martin et al., 2020).

The sections Amaracus, Majorana and Origanum are the earliest ancestors of species in the genus Origanum (Martin et al., 2020). Moreover, the section Anatolicon, shares similarities between the sections Amaracus and Origanum. Observations about these types of knowledge will lead to better conservation of the oregano gene pool for cultivation and breeding. Knowledge will also be gained by screening native oregano populations and interdisciplinary studies of agromorphologic, biochemical (chemotypes), and molecular traits.

### 1.3.1.13. Literature on molecular studies in Origanum

The utilization of molecular markers generates data to classify, utilize and conserve multiple plant species in a faster and easier way than morphological traits. There are several molecular markers such as Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism (AFLP), Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), Inter Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR), Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS), Expressed Sequenced Tag - Simple Sequence Repeat (EST-SSR), Sequence Characterized Amplified Regions (SCAR), and Start Codon Targeted (SCoT), and Touch-up Direct Amplification of Microsatellite region DNA (TU-DAMD) markers that have been used in genetic diversity analyses for oregano species (Klocke et al., 2002; Ayanoğlu et al., 2006; Novak et al., 2008; Akeel et al., 2009;

Katsiotis, 2009; Marieschi et al., 2010; Amar and Wahab, 2013; Karaca et al., 2013; Lukas et al., 2013; Ince et al., 2014; Mutu et al., 2014; Zaghloul et al., 2014; Azizi et al., 2016; Aboukhalid et al., 2017; Jedrzejczyk, 2018; El-Demerdash et al., 2019; Taş, 2019; Arabacı et al., 2021; Karagöz et al., 2022; Antaloudaki et al., 2022; Saleh, 2022; Tan, 2022). Sequence Related Amplified Polymorphism (SRAP) markers were studied for the first time for Origanum species in this dissertation (Chapter 2).

In 2006, Ayanoğlu et al. (2006) screened Turkish 43 O. onites and one $O$. vulgare specimens that were conserved at Aegean Agriculture and Research Institute (AARI) with ten AFLP primer combinations and observed $81 \%$ polymorphic loci. Their clustering analysis resulted in two main clusters in UPGMA analysis. According to similarity values, Or12 (TR 54483) and Or42 (TR 54549) specimens were genotypically most distant (40\%), while Or27 (TR 54514) and Or29 (TR 54523) accessions were most similar (72\%). Their results indicated that there was no direct correlation between genetic diversity and collection area except for some accessions from Izmir, Muğla and Çanakkale provinces. Moreover, their study reflected a low genetic similarity observation between different $O$. onites specimens conserved in the gene bank. Since $O$. onites is growing widespread around Türkiye, they concluded that the genetic differentiation might be related to their adaptation to various environmental conditions at different locations or their mating behaviors (selfing or cross pollinating).

In the study of Van Looy and colleagues (2009), AFLP markers were used to evaluate the genetic dissimilarities in 21 taxa to identify the correlation between flooding events and genetic diversity (Van Looy et al., 2009). Genetic diversity within $O$. syriacum subsp. sinaicum (OSYSI) was investigated with AFLP markers and resulted in an average genetic polymorphism of $57 \%$ among accessions (Zaghloul et al., 2014). AFLP markers were also used to screen $O$. vulgare species by Azizi et al. (2016). As a result, $75 \%$ (214/285) allelic polymorphism was observed within their $O$. vulgare population. In 2019, El-Demerdash et al. (2019) investigated the genetic diversity among cultivated $O$. vulgare and wild $O$. syriacum specimens using four AFLPs. As a result, $53 \%$ of 193 loci were observed as polymorphic.

In the study of Akeel et al. (2009), genetic diversity between $O$. syriacum and $O$. majorana taxa was investigated with RAPD markers. As a result, among 1359 loci, only $10 \%$ were polymorphic which might indicate that $90 \%$ of alleles are conserved between those species from the same section, Majorana. RAPD markers were used to assess genetic diversity between $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum taxa and individuals from seven other

Origanum taxa (Katsiotis et al., 2009). In the study of Mutu et al. (2014), seven native/wild $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare specimens from a single location (Orheiul Vechi, Moldova) were screened via RAPD primers. A total of 257 RAPD fragments were obtained. As they indicated, high genetic variability was observed within population.

Marieschi et al. (2010) generated SCAR markers to investigate the genetic relatedness among ten Italian, American and German Origanum species. To our knowledge, this is the only study in Origanum that used this type of markers.

The first development of oregano specific molecular markers was conducted by Novak et al. (2008) and they developed 13 expressed sequence tag single sequence repeat (EST-SSR) markers for taxa $O$. vulgare and O. majorana. In later years, more EST-based SSR markers were developed by Karaca et al. (2013) for 12 genera of the Lamiaceae family collected from Antalya, Türkiye. In addition, 30 new SSR markers and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers were developed for eight Origanum species (Antalya province, Türkiye) (Ince et al., 2014).

Aboukhalid et al. (2017) studied 670 Moroccan oregano accession collected from 59 different locations from a single taxon (Origanum compactum) and screened then with 15 SSRs to determine their genetic diversity. In the study of Jedrzejczyk (2018), ISSR markers were used to determine genetic diversity among four taxa: $O$. heracleoticum, O.majorana, O. vulgare subsp. hirtum, and O. vulgare subsp. gracile. Internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequencing was also used to determine genetic relatedness between three parental species and their hybrids (Arabacı et al., 2021).

In a more recent study, Saleh (2022) characterized a O. syriacum (section Majorana) population composed of 37 accessions that were collected as wild specimens from three main regions of Syria (coastal, central, and southern) with TU-DAMD markers. Allelic diversity was $81 \%$ polymorphic with a total number of 188 bands. Average number of polymorphic alleles was 9.5 and PIC value was observed between 0.051 to 0.322 with an average of 0.225 . O. syriacum accessions were divided into two main clusters in UPGMA analysis. They concluded that the observed genetic diversity can be related to the putative hybrid species and cross hybridizations (Ietswaart, 1980).

Molecular genetic variability can also be investigated with the SRAP marker system. SRAP markers target open reading frames with the primers initially having CCGG and AATT in the forward and reverse directions, respectively (Li and Quiros, 2001). These markers are advantageous due to their cross genera transferability and
highly polymorphic nature. SRAP markers have been used to screen genera from the Nepetoideae subfamily including those from genus Origanum .

Zagorcheva and colleagues (2020) investigated genetic diversity of ten Bulgarian lavender species (Lavandula angustifolia Mill.). Lavender is in the same subfamily (Nepetoideae) as oregano. The study also included five unknown samples and breeding lines and utilized 51 SRAP marker combinations. In this work, 4697 alleles with high ( $77 \%$ ) polymorphic allele frequency and an average of $27 \%$ polymorphism information content (PIC) value were observed. Their two different populations had high within group diversity ( $97 \%$ ) and had low variance between two populations (3\%) according to AMOVA analysis.

In the study of Aghaei et al. (2017), 54 accessions from five Salvia species (Salvia virgata Jacq., S. nemorosa L., S. officinalis L., S. cereal L. and S. sclarea L.) were screened with 14 SRAP primer combinations. As a result, 265 loci with $96 \%$ polymorphism were observed. The average PIC value was $31 \%$ for their germplasm. There was high genetic differentiation $\left(F_{S T}=0.34\right)$ and a low number of migrants ( $N m=$ 0.750 ). Their findings supported differentiation into five taxa at the species level.

Moein and colleagues (2019) investigated the relationship between ecology and genetic diversity among sage specimens. They screened 25 Salvia aristata specimens with 16 SRAP primer pairs. As a result, eleven SRAP markers were selected to be scored and generated a total number of 242 loci with $98 \%$ polymorphism. The minimum number of alleles was observed for me2-em1 (16) and the maximum number of alleles was observed for me3-em1 (27) marker pairs. The highest PIC value was 0.49 for the marker pair me4-em2. The best number of subpopulations for the species was determined as $K=2$. As a result of their genotypic model for ecological fragmentation of this endemic species, they concluded that these species are under dynamic speciation due to selection for divergent floral traits which resulted in reproductive isolation.

In a recent study, genetic diversity in the genus Thymus was investigated in 11 species with 77 accessions and eight SRAP combinations. As a result, the population was divided into five main subpopulations and three clusters. In addition, intra and intergeneric variation was observed with SRAP markers. The within population diversity was $63 \%$ in the Thymus population (Sarfaraz et al., 2021).

In the study of Amar and Wahab (2013), four Origanum taxa (O. vulgare subsp. vulgare, $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, $O$. syriacum subsp. siniacum, and $O$. majorana) were screened with a total number of 20 ISSRs and 36 SRAP marker combinations. Among

ISSRs, 16 were polymorphic, while 12 primer pairs were polymorphic for SRAP markers. In ISSR analysis, 177 polymorphic alleles were observed, while for SRAP analysis, a total number of 199 loci were polymorphic. The PIC values were considerably close as being 0.96 for ISSRs and 0.97 for SRAP markers. The average number of individuals per marker was 11 for ISSR and 16.6 for SRAP markers.Expected heterozygosities were $54 \%$ and $53 \%$ for ISSR and SRAP markers. According to their NJ results, there were two main clusters including close placement of specimens from $O$. syriacum subsp. siniacum (OSYSI) and O. majorana (OMA) from the same section (Majorana) and accessions from $O$. vulgare subsp. vulgare (OVVU) and $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum (OVH) from section Origanum, respectively.

In the study of Tanhaş (2019), 21 herbarium specimens belonging to 18 taxa (21 species) were screened with 11 EST-SSRs and 16 SRAP combinations that generated 91 alleles. As a result of this study, the genetic diversity value was observed as 0.28 , while Neighbor Joining dissimilarities were between 0.13 and 0.89 . The population was divided into three subclusters and populations in NJ and population structure analyses. The dendrogram had three main clusters and structure had three main sub populations with groups of sections including i) $B R E, M A J, C H I, ~ L O N G I, ~ A M A ; ~ i i) ~ A N A, ~ M A J, ~ B R E, ~ P R O, ~$ $A M A, C H I$; and iii) $B R E, M A J, C H I$, and $O R G$ with the assignment of each specimen into sub populations at an identity threshold higher than 0.90. Analysis showed that OSYBE (MAJ) and OMA (MAJ) accessions were the most distant genotypes, while OON (MAJ) and OVH (ORG) was the closest. In this work, it was concluded that the geographical proximities of OSA (AMA), OBI (CHI), OAM (LONGI), OLA (PRO), and OSYBE (MAJ) species might be due to cross-hybridization and its outcome was genetic diversity.

In the study of Alexseeva et al. (2021), native Bulgarian O. vulgare subsp. hirtum was collected from two districts and consisted of 239 accessions with eight populations was screened with 11 SSR and eight SRAP markers. $F_{S T}$ values were observed between $0.0047-0.11$. Their genetic diversity results were combined with GC/MS data and hierarchical clustering was conducted. As a result, the dendrogram resulted in two main clusters reflecting two main geographical territories. Geographical isolation was proposed to affect metabolic richness in OVH species.

Antaloudaki et al. (2022) investigated the structure of Greek oregano populations with 193 specimens from ten taxa in eight species (OON, OSYME, OVVI, OVVU, OVH, OSC, ODI, OVE, OCA, OMICRO) using three nuclear and five chloroplast genes. A monophyletic structure was observed for all taxa except ODI (AMA). However,
intergeneric diversity was still unclear within taxa and among sections. According to their model which combined genetic, geographic and morphologic features, only species OCA reflected geographical isolation. Moreover, their morphological character analyses suggested that sections $A M A, A N A, C H I$, and $M A J$ have intermixing characters and there was no single section with unique morphological determiners which supports Ietswaart's suggestion (1980) about sections sharing at least two characters.

In the study of Karagöz et al. (2022), 70 O. acutidens (OAC) accessions from 70 different shires from five provinces of Türkiye including Artvin, Bayburt, Erzincan, Gümüşhane, and Erzurum were studied. They screened their OAC population with ten SCoT markers that created 109 alleles in total. This germplasm was divided into three sub populations and subclusters in both population structure analysis and NJ dendrogram analyses. The highest differentiation was observed in sub population C ( $F_{S T}: 0.21$ ) with a mean of 0.14 in the population. Within OAC, the Nei's genetic distance (NGD) was observed as 0.38 and genetic diversity was observed as 0.36 . According to their previous paper (Karagöz et al., 2020), they stated that geographic and ecological conditions have great impact on gene flow between cross-pollinating hybrid oregano species.

In the study of Tan (2022), five (A, B, C, D, E) O. vulgare subsp. hirtum (OVH) vegetative clonal populations collected from different locations in Kaz Mountains were agromorphologically, chemotypically, and molecularly characterized. According to the physical and morphological measurements, the 12 most drought tolerant and susceptible clones were selected. These genotypes were screened with nine EST-SSR markers. The highest genetic distance was observed between a drought tolerant individual from population C and a drought susceptible accession from population A . Two main clusters were observed for genetic dissimilarity analysis in the NJ dendrogram, while the combination of genotypic data and all other measureable characters resulted in three main clusters including four subclusters. In these subclusters, the tolerant accessions clustered together. The two susceptible genotypes also formed an exclusive subcluster. As a result the OVH clones that were tested for drought tolerance were divided into three subpopulation, which may indicate their tolerance levels (tolerant, susceptible, alternative/partial).

### 1.4. Scope

The scope of this dissertation includes i) adding molecular knowledge to taxonomical classification of the genus Origanum, ii) understanding the molecular basis of gene flow, hybridization, and speciation behaviors of oregano species, iii) adding to current molecular genetic knowledge for the development of new breeding and conservation strategies for the genus Origanum and its wild endemic or uncharacterized relatives, iv) reviewing and comparing current records of oregano species. This dissertation includes evaluation of the molecular genetic structure of native Turkish Origanum taxa that were collected over different years from various locations and conserved in two different forms (as dried leaves and as ex situ sowed seeds) at national collections. This evaluation was based on genetic relatedness of oregano species determined with molecular marker data analyses. Determination of population structure and diversity provides vital data that can be added to the conservation strategies for endemic oregano genetic resources (Figure 1.23).


Figure 1.23. The objectives and materials investigated in the Turkish Origanum collections in this dissertation.

In this dissertation, the following analyses were conducted: (i) determination of the level of genetic diversity and the population structure among Turkish Origanum taxa in the sampled herbarium and gene bank material, (ii) assessment of gene flow in Turkish oregano germplasm collections, (iii) discussion about the recent findings in our work in the context of the applied classification of Origanum as described by Ietswaart (1980) and recent findings in the literature for a better conservation, and iv) suggestion of a new abbreviation strategy for global oregano nomenclature.

## CHAPTER 2

# MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF NATIVE TURKISH Origanum L. HERBARIUM COLLECTION 

### 2.1. Introduction

Due to their enriched biodiversity, countries like Türkiye are known as gene centers for endemic plant species (Noroozi et al., 2019). Nearly 60 species are added to the Turkish Flora every year (Özhatay et al.,2017). The diverse climatic conditions and ecosystem in Türkiye generates hybridization zones for various plant populations. At the same time, factors such as terrorism, natural disasters, and non-reported wild plant collectors make it difficult to reach plant species for conservation purposes as our country becomes an area of endemism for different species. Fortunately, there are herbarium collections in which species are kept as dried specimens. Herbarium collections are one of the most popular conservation methods for plant species.

Throughout the world, there are 3100 herbaria and 390 million botanical specimens that are under conservation within these centers (Source: Ur17). Amongst these, there are seven popular and important herbaria for plant species: KEW Herbarium (which is the richest collection of the world - London), Edinburg Herbarium (Scotland), Berlin Herbarium (Germany), Leningrad Herbarium (Russia), Paris Herbarium (France), Geneva Herbarium (Switzerland), and Genova Herbarium (Italy). According to Index Herbarium, there are a total of 57 herbarium collection centers in Türkiye including the cities: Bolu, Aydın, İzmir, Antalya, Aksaray, Istanbul, Eskişehir, Ankara, Artvin, Mersin, Erzurum, Çanakkale, Hatay, Adana, Sivas, Kütahya, Düzce, Kayseri, Trabzon, Elazığ, Tokat, Hakkari, Şanlıurfa, Malatya, Kırıkkale, Samsun, Denizli, Sakarya, Konya, Siirt, Isparta, Tekirdağ, Bursa, Diyarbakır, Van, and Zonguldak (Source: Ur17). The most important herbarium collections for our country are in three main provinces of Türkiye: Istanbul, Ankara and İzmir. They are: Herbarium of Istanbul University, Faculty of Pharmacy (ISTE); Herbarium of Istanbul University, Faculty of Science (ISTF); Herbarium of Ankara University, Faculty of Science and Literature (ANK); Herbarium of Gazi University, Faculty of Science (GAZI); and Aegean University Botanical

Garden, Herbarium Research and Application Center. For example, the ISTE herbarium has a total of 130,000 specimens from Papaver, Salvia, Allium, Rosa, Ferula and Origanum taxa (Akalın et al., 2020). In Türkiye, it is common to travel and create personal herbaria for endemic/nonendemic plant species such as oregano. For example, scientists Tuncay Dirmenci (TD), Turan Arabacı (Arabacı), Türker Yazıcı (Yazıcı), and Narin Sadıkoğlu (Sadıkoğlu) individually created their own herbaria and worked together for the genus Origanum. Their herbaria have been used in morphological, panalogical, karyological, and genetic characterization of the oregano species that naturally grow in Türkiye.

Reconstructing phylogenies using old specimens with a small amount of cloneable DNA has been a concern of molecular taxonomists (Besnard et al., 2018). However, improvements in sequencing technology make such work easier. Since herbarium materials are invaluable sources of knowledge about genetic identities for a given time, location, and amount of specimen, there is a need to determine the genetic similarity/distance within and among herbarium collections to understand genetic and evolutionary relationships. Herbarium materials are very valuable for understanding the speciation behaviors of oregano taxa since the specimens were collected from several locations and over different years.

In this chapter, we examined a herbarium collection of 46 specimens that was created at Inonu University. These materials included 22 Turkish Origanum species representing 24 taxa, collected from 2005 to 2014. This work was published as: Taşcıoğlu, T., Sadıkoğlu, N., Doğanlar, S., \& Frary, A. 2018. "Molecular genetic diversity in the Origanum genus: EST-SSR and SRAP marker analyses of the 22 species in eight sections that naturally occur in Turkey." Industrial Crops and Products, 123, 746-761.

### 2.2. Plant Material

The herbarium belonging to the Faculty of Pharmacy (Inonu University, Malatya) provided all the plant materials used in this section of the dissertation. Native specimens from eight sections of the genus Origanum were represented with 46 accessions (accs.) including 24 taxa with 22 species as herbarium materials (registration years: $2005-$ 2014) (Figure 2.1; Table 2.1). The specimens included all oregano species except $O$.
brevidens. The specimen number per discrete location ranged between one to 12. Antalya was the predominant location with samples from four sections: Amaracus, Brevifilamentum, Chilocalyx, and Majorana (Figure 2.1). Six accs. from sects. Longitubus, Brevifilamentum, Prolaticorolla, and Origanum were from Osmaniye. Mersin was represented with five accs. belonging to sects. Amaracus, Majorana, and Origanum. The three accs. from Tunceli were from sections Brevifilamentum and Origanum. Two accs. were from Isparta and Artvin each. Karaman, Hatay, Adana, and Erzincan provided one specimen each. Thus, the Origanum species were represented by a wide range of sampling areas throughout Türkiye.
Table 2.1. Origanum materials used in this study, their collection coordinates and other details. Endemism (E).

| Sample Code |  | Species Name <br> O. boissieri Ietsw. | Subspecies Name (Herbarium Identifier) <br> O. boissieri/Narin/kekik/141 | Section <br> Amaracus <br> (Gleditsch) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & \hline \text { DD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{E} \\ & \hline \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | Location <br> Mersin |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OBO | OBO1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OSA | OSA1 | O. saccatum P.H. Davis | O. saccatum/2012/6 | Bentham | 2012 | E | Burdur \& Antalya | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 }{ }^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \quad 652^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E31 }^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 797^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA2 |  | O. saccatum/2012/5 |  | 2012 |  |  | N36 ${ }^{\circ}$ 46' $426^{\prime \prime}$ <br> E31 $46^{\prime} 905^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | OSA3 |  | O. saccatum/2009/17 |  | 2009 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 } \quad 35^{\prime} \quad 494^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E30 } 27^{\prime} 624^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA4 |  | O.saccatum/2009/15 |  | 2009 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} 37^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \quad 965^{\prime \prime} \\ & \mathrm{E} 30^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 926^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA5 |  | O. saccatum/2009/33 |  | 2009 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 } \quad 32^{\prime} \quad 145^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E32 } 14^{\prime} 892^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA6 |  | O. saccatum/2009/35 |  | 2009 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 } \quad 33^{\prime} \quad 237^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E32 }{ }^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 467^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA7 |  | O. saccatum/2009/20 |  | 2009 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 } 39^{\circ} \quad 137^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E32 } 07^{\prime} 694^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | OSA8 |  | O. saccatum/2009/6a |  | 2009 |  |  | DD |
| OSO | OSO1 | O. solymicum P.H.Davis | O. solymicum/2009/18 |  | 2009 | E | Antalya | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 }{ }^{\circ} \quad 35^{\prime} \quad 494^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E30 } 27^{\prime} 624^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| OHY | OHY1 | O. hypericifolium O. Schwarz et P.H. Davis | O. hypericifolium/2009/16 | Anatolicon <br> Bentham | 2009 | E | Burdur | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N37 } \quad 42^{\prime} \quad 057^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E30 } 18^{\prime} 812^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| OSI | OSI1 | O. sipyleum L. | O. sipyleum/2009/14 |  | 2009 | E | Isparta | DD |
| OAC | OAC1 | O. acutidens (Hand.-Mazz.) Ietsw. | O. acutidens/2014/1 | Brevifilamentum letswaart | 2014 | E | Tunceli | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { N39 } & 21^{\prime} & 864^{\prime \prime} \\ \text { E39 } & 12^{\prime} 538^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ |
| OBA | OBA1 | O. bargyli Mouterde | O. bargyli/2009/41 |  | 2009 | DD | Osmaniye | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N37 }{ }^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \quad 373 \\ & " E 36^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 982^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| OHA | OHA1 | O. haussknechtii Boiss. | O. haussknechtii/2009/2 |  | 2009 | E | Erzincan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N39 } 009^{\prime} \quad 750^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E38 } 37^{\prime} 167^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| OHU | OHU1 | O. husnucan-baseri H. Duman, Aytac \& A. Duran | O. husnucan-baseri/2009/34 |  | 2009 | E | Antalya <br> (Cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N36 }{ }^{\circ} 33^{\prime} \quad 237^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { E32 } 19^{\prime} 467^{\prime \prime} \end{aligned}$ <br> the next page |

(Cont. on the next page) Duman, Aytac \& A. Duran ucan-baseri
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|  | OHU2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| OLE | OLE1 |
|  | OLE2 |
| OMU | OMU1 |
| ORO | ORO1 |
|  | ORO2 |
| OAM | OAM1 |
| OBI | OBI1 |
| OVO | OVO1 |
| OMI | OMI1 |
| OMA | OMA1 |
|  | OMA2 |
| OON | OON1 |
|  | OON2 |
| OSY | OSY1 |
|  | OSY2 |
|  | OSY3 |

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{ll} 
& \text { O. husnucan-baseri/2009/21 } \\
\text { O. leptocladum Boiss } & \text { O. leptocladum/2005 } \\
\text { O. leptocladum/2009/22 }
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
\text { O. munzurense Kit Tan \& } & \text { O. munzurense/2014/2 } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Sorger } \\
\text { O. rotundifolium Boiss }
\end{array} & \text { O. rotundifolium/2012/4 } \\
\text { O. amanum Post } & \text { O. rotundifolium/2012/3 }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{ll}
\text { O. bilgeri P.H. Davis } & \text { O. bilgeri/2012/7 } \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { O. vogelii Greuter \& Burdet } \\
\text { O. minutiflorum O. Schwarz } \\
\text { et P.H. Davis }
\end{array} & \text { O. vogelii/Narin/kekik/80 } / \mathrm{minutiflorum} / 2009 / 13 \\
\text { O. majarona L. } & \text { O. majarona/2009/32 }
\end{array}
$$

|  | 15' 081" |
| :---: | :---: |
| E34 ${ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 830{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $21^{\prime \prime} 100^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E36 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 680^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N39 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 21' 864" |
| E39 ${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 538^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 08' 345" |
| E34 ${ }^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 560{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| DD |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $14^{\prime} 282 \prime$ |
| E34 $37^{\prime} 740^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $34^{\prime \prime} 848^{\prime \prime}$ |
| E31 ${ }^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 560^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N36 ${ }^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 685$ E36 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |
|  |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 05' 290" |
| E36 ${ }^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 116^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 03' 795" |
| E36 ${ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 131{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| N37 ${ }^{\circ}$ | $20^{\prime} 003$ " |
| E36 ${ }^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 320^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| DD |  |
| DD |  |



| ค |
| :---: |


Origanum

Prolaticorolla
Ietswaart
Table 2.1 (cont.)

| OVVU | OVVU1 | O. vulgare L. subsp. vulgare Linnaeus | O. vulgare subsp. vulgare/2009/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OVVU2 |  | O. vulgare subsp. vulgare/2009/7 |
| OVG | OVG1 | O. vulgare L. subsp. gracile (K. Koch) Ietsw. | O. vulgare L. subsp. gracile/2014/3 |
| OVH | OVH1 | O. vulgare L. subsp. hirtum (Link) Ietsw. | O. vulgare L. subsp. hirtum/2009/36 |
|  | OVH2 |  | O. vulgare L. subsp. hirtum/2009/9 |
| OVVI | OVVI1 | O. vulgare L. subsp. viridulum (Martrin-Donos) | O. vulgare L. subsp. viridulum/2009/38 |
|  | OVVI2 | Nyman | O. vulgare L. subsp. viridulum/2009/10 |
| OLA | OLA1 | O. laevigatum Boiss. | O. laevigatum/2009/23 |
|  | OLA2 |  | O. Laevigatum/2009/39 |
|  | OLA3 |  | O. laevigatum/2009/42 |
|  | OLA4 |  | O. laevigatum/2009/5 |
|  | OLA5 |  | O. laevigatum/2009/40 |
|  | OLA6 |  | $O$. laevigatum/St.Simean/27.11.2009 |

Notations are as follows: $\mathrm{E}=$ endemic; $\mathrm{DD}=$ data deficient

Figure 2.1. Collection locations of herbarium specimens and their sections.

### 2.3. Methods

### 2.3.1. DNA extraction

CTAB DNA isolation protocol was utilized to extract DNA from dried specimens (Doyle and Doyle, 1987) and combined with another procedure which added DNA purification steps for herbarium materials (Costa and Roberts, 2014). Genomic DNA quality and quantity were measured by SkanIt software for Multiscan Go 3.2 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) and the presence of isolated DNA fragments was screened with ethidium bromide (Et-Br) on 0.8 \% agarose gel. Each stock DNA was diluted to $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and kept at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.3.2. SRAP analyses

Twenty-five SRAP primer combinations (Li and Quiros, 2001) were used to produce random amplicons (Table 2.2). PCR reactions were performed with 10 XPCR Buffer (with BSA), $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.125 \mathrm{mM}$ deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, 2 pmol forward and reverse primers and 50 ng template DNA in a total of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ final volume. The PCR reaction was an initial denaturation step at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , which was followed with 5 cycles of $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 $\min , 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , then 35 cycles of $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~min}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min , $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min were run with a final elongation step at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . PCR fragments were screened under UV light with BioRad (Universal Hood II) system after 2\% agarose gel electrophoresis (solution and agarose were prepared with 1 X TAE Buffer ) ( $110 \mathrm{~V}-$ 3 hours).

Table 2.2. SRAP primer combinations and their sequence information

| Primer <br> Type | Pair <br> Code | Forward | Sequence of Forward (5' to 3') | Reverse | Sequence of Reverse (5' to $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{6} \\ & \frac{8}{6} \end{aligned}$ | 1 | me2 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGC | em1 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAT |
|  | 2 |  |  | em2 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGC |
|  | 3 |  |  | em3 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGAC |
|  | 4 |  |  | em5 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAC |
|  | 5 |  |  | em6 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGCA |
|  | 6 |  |  | em7 | GACTGCGTACGAATTATG |
|  | 7 |  |  | em8 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAGC |

(Cont. on the next page)

Table 2.2. (cont.)

|  | 8 |  |  | em11 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTCG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9 |  |  | em15 | GACTGCGTACGAATTCGT |
|  | 10 | me3 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAT | em1 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAT |
|  | 11 |  |  | em2 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGC |
|  | 12 |  |  | em3 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGAC |
|  | 13 |  |  | em4 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGA |
|  | 14 |  |  | em5 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAC |
|  | 15 |  |  | em6 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGCA |
| 3 | 16 |  |  | em7 | GACTGCGTACGAATTATG |
| $\underset{\sim}{6}$ | 17 |  |  | em11 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTCG |
|  | 18 |  |  | em13 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGGT |
|  | 19 |  |  | em15 | GACTGCGTACGAATTCGT |
|  | 20 | me4 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGACC | em1 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAT |
|  | 21 |  |  | em2 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGC |
|  | 22 |  |  | em3 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGAC |
|  | 23 |  |  | em5 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAC |
|  | 24 |  |  | em7 | GACTGCGTACGAATTATG |
|  | 25 |  |  | em8 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAGC |

### 2.3.3. EST-SSR analyses

EST-SSR markers that were developed for the first time specifically for oregano species (Novak et al., 2008) were screened in the population, and six of them (OR09, OR12, OR13, OR27, OR32, OR40) were successfully scored and used in statistical analyses (Table 2.3).

Table 2.3. EST-SSR primers and their sequence information

| Primer <br> Type | Name | Primer | Sequence ( $5^{\prime}$ to $3^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat Pattern |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OR09 | Forward <br> Reverse | TTGAAGCATTGTTGGAGGTAGATG TCCCAACTAGGGAGAAATGTGC | $(\mathrm{TTTTTC})_{4}(\mathrm{~T})_{5}(\mathrm{TTTTTC})_{1}$ |
|  | OR12 | Forward <br> Reverse | GCCCCTGCAGTGACTCCTAC <br> AAAAAGGCTTCGGACTCGATC | $(\mathrm{AG})_{7} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{AG})_{3}$ |
|  | OR13 | Forward <br> Reverse | GAGAGAATCCAAGCCTCCGC <br> TGAAGGAGTCCGATGTTGACG | $(\mathrm{AAC})_{7} \mathrm{AGC}(\mathrm{AAC})_{1}$ |
|  | OR27 | Forward <br> Reverse | TCAGAAACAATGAAGGCCGC CCGTACAGGTCAAACACCGG | $(\mathrm{CCT})_{6}$ |
| $\frac{a}{\sqrt{n}}$ | OR32 | Forward <br> Reverse | TCTTGCCAATTTATGCGTGTTC GAAACAAGCATCTTTTCCTGAATT | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{AG})_{6} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{AG})_{2} \mathrm{GA}(\mathrm{AG})_{5} \mathrm{GG}(\mathrm{AG} \\ & )_{1} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\stackrel{1}{\sim}$ | OR40 | Forward <br> Reverse | ḠCCCAAGGACATCCAACTTG CAACTGAACACCTCCCACAATG | $(\mathrm{GGT})_{4} \mathrm{GTT}(\mathrm{GGT})_{1}$ |

PCR was conducted with 10 X reaction buffer, $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{mM})$ dNTPs ( 0.125 mM ), Taq DNA polymerase ( 1 U ), forward and reverse primers ( 2 pmol each) and diluted DNA template ( 50 ng ) in a total of $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$. PCR cycles were applied as: initial denaturation ( $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 3$ minutes), followed by 40 cycles with i) $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ minute, ii) $\mathrm{Tm}\left[61\right.$ to $\left.66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$, 1 minute, iii) $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ minute), final elongation step was completed with a single step at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. PCR products were visualized under UV light after running on $2 \%$ agarose gel as described in SRAP analyses.

### 2.3.4. Statistical analyses

Scoring was carried out dominantly with " 1 " referring to band presence and " 0 " referring to absence for both marker types (SRAP and EST-SSR). The missing data were coded as " 9 " in each analysis. Genetic diversity at the section level was determined for seven sections with 45 individuals out of 46 with GenAlEx 6.5 plugin. O. amanum (AOM; section Longitubus) was excluded because it was represented by only one individual (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012). In addition, $F_{S T}$ analysis analogue PhiPT value was calculated with the species represented by more than one individual in 11 taxa (O. saccatum, OSA; O. husnucan-baseri, OHU; O. leptocladum, OLE; O. rotundifolium, ORO; O. majorana, OMA; O. onites, OON; O. syriacum, OSY; O. vulgare subsp. vulgare, OVVU; O. vulgare subsp. hirtum, OVH; O. vulgare subsp. viridulum, OVVI; and $O$. laevigatum, OLA) with the construction of a binary data matrix in GenAlEx 6.5 plugin. Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was conducted to determine genetic diversity among sections/taxa for those accessions with more than one individual in the same plugin. Significant gene flow between taxa was accepted with PhiPT values less than 0.15 (Frankham et al., 2002). The pairwise permutations were selected as " 9999 " and $P$ values were accepted as significant below 0.001 . The GenAlEx plugin was also used to determine the number of effective alleles ( Ne ), Shannon's Index $(I)$, and the mean diversity ( $h$ ) with random binary data. Nei's genetic distances (NGD) and identities (NGI) were estimated for the taxa and section levels and the number of migrants per generation ( Nm ) among populations was calculated with the formula:

$$
N m=0.25 *\left[\left(\frac{1}{\text { PHIPT }}\right)-1\right]
$$

(Source: Wood and Gardner, 2007).

### 2.3.5. Population structure and dendrogram analyses

GDdom software (Abuzayed et al., 2016) was used to calculate genetic diversity $(G D)$ values for the 31 dominant markers. DARwin 6.0.8 Software was used to construct an unweighted Neighbor Joining (NJ) dendrogram (Perrier and Jacquemoud, 2006) with Jaccard dissimilarity index. The distances among accessions between units on Euclidean planes were represented graphically with a Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) plot that was constructed with Eigen vectors for the first two axes using DARwin 6.0.8 software and GenAlEx 6.5 plugin (Perrier and Jacquemound, 2006; Peakall and Smouse, 2006).

The structure of the population was evaluated by a model-based Bayesian clustering method with STRUCTURE 2.3.4 software (Pritchard et al., 2000). The number of subpopulations $(K)$ was tested for 1 to 10 groups and each $K$ had 10 iterations. Initial burn in replications were set as 100,000 and followed by 100,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) replications. These numbers of replications were determined to be sufficient thresholds to determine populatin structure in the literature (Sakiroglu et al., 2010). Structure Harvester was used to assign the best fitting number of $K$ by calculating $\Delta(K)$ values which were used to determine the highest likelihood value from Structure Software analysis results (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012). An optimal identity threshold was assumed as 0.70 for sub population membership. This threshold is optimal and acceptable according to Scutari and Denis since they stated that any value between 0.5 and 0.85 yields the same pattern (Scutari and Denis, 2014). For each subpopulation, accessions with identity values less than 0.70 were assumed to be admixed individuals.

### 2.4. Results

### 2.4.1. Genetic diversity analyses

The genetic dissimilarities were determined at species/taxon levels with 25 SRAP and six EST-SSR markers. The total number of polymorphic alleles was determined as 325 (Figure 2.2). For SRAP markers, the number of polymorphic alleles ranged between 3 to 20 with a mean of 12.04 (Table 2.2). Genetic diversity (GD) was 0.35 at its highest for SRAPs with the me3-em4 marker pair. For the EST-SSR markers, the number of
polymorphic alleles ranged between 2 to 6 with a mean of 4 (Table 2.4). The highest GD was observed as 0.49 for OR09 marker. The higher average GD (0.36) was obtained from EST-SSR primers. Although SRAP markers had many more fragments, their GD was 0.27 . All polymorphic markers had mean allele frequencies (af) ranging from 0.11 to 0.38 while af per individuals ranged between 0.02 and 0.96 (Figure 2.3).


Figure 2.2. Allelic pattern of SRAP marker combination, em4-me3, on an agarose gel image.


Figure 2.3. Distribution of individual allele frequencies (af) among all alleles for both SRAP and EST-SSR markers.

Table 2.4. Allele frequencies and genetic diversity values of herbarium oregano accessions for SRAP and EST-SSR markers. Definitions are as follows: total number of polymorphic alleles ( $\sum N a=$ ), average number of polymorphic alleles $(N a)$, allele frequency and genetic diversity (GD) for each marker listed from the lowest to highest value. SE: Standard error.

| Type | Marker | $N a$ | Allele Frequency | $\mathbf{G D} \pm \mathbf{S E}$ | Average GD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{e}{2}$ | em11-me3 | 11 | 0.14 | $0.14 \pm 0.04$ | 0.27 |
|  | em6-me2 | 3 | 0.38 | $0.18 \pm 0.09$ |  |
|  | em1-me2 | 19 | 0.18 | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ |  |
|  | em13-me3 | 8 | 0.13 | $0.21 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em5-me2 | 19 | 0.16 | $0.22 \pm 0.03$ |  |
|  | em2-me2 | 11 | 0.11 | $0.24 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em5-me4 | 19 | 0.15 | $0.25 \pm 0.03$ |  |
|  | em2-me4 | 8 | 0.26 | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em7-me2 | 13 | 0.24 | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em15-me3 | 7 | 0.23 | $0.26 \pm 0.06$ |  |
|  | em3-me4 | 14 | 0.21 | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em7-me4 | 16 | 0.24 | $0.27 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em6-me3 | 20 | 0.21 | $0.29 \pm 0.03$ |  |
|  | em1-me3 | 16 | 0.18 | $0.29 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em7-me3 | 5 | 0.2 | $0.29 \pm 0.07$ |  |
|  | em1-me4 | 11 | 0.23 | $0.30 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em8-me4 | 9 | 0.24 | $0.30 \pm 0.06$ |  |
|  | em3-me3 | 13 | 0.25 | $0.31 \pm 0.03$ |  |
|  | em5-me3 | 10 | 0.27 | $0.31 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em2-me3 | 14 | 0.2 | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em3-me2 | 7 | 0.19 | $0.32 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em11-me2 | 10 | 0.28 | $0.33 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em15-me2 | 7 | 0.21 | $0.33 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | em8-me2 | 15 | 0.28 | $0.34 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | em4-me3 | 16 | 0.3 | $0.35 \pm 0.03$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 合 } \\ & \text { a } \\ & \stackrel{1}{n} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | Or12 | 6 | 0.16 | $0.25 \pm 0.06$ | 0.36 |
|  | Or32 | 4 | 0.27 | $0.33 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | Or40 | 4 | 0.28 | $0.33 \pm 0.07$ |  |
|  | Or27 | 4 | 0.25 | $0.37 \pm 0.05$ |  |
|  | Or13 | 4 | 0.31 | $0.40 \pm 0.04$ |  |
|  | Or09 | 2 | 0.32 | $0.49 \pm 0.00$ |  |
| $\sum N a=$ |  |  |  |  |  |

AMOVA analysis determined that within section diversity was high (83\%) and the remnant $17 \%$ of diversity was observed among sections. GD per section was between 0.40 and $0.42(h)$. At the taxon level, the highest diversity was observed within taxa
（ $80 \%$ ）while among taxa diversity was $20 \%$（Table 2．5）．Moreover，sections Majorana and Origanum appeared to be the most similar at the molecular level（ 0.88 ）according to the NGI values while sections Majorana and Brevifilamentum had least congruence （0．83）（Table 2．6）．

Table 2．5．AMOVA results for Turkish Origanum L．species at taxon level

| Source | df | SS | MS | Est．Var． | \％ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Among Pops | 10 | 75.852 | 7.585 | 1.098 | $20 \%$ |
| Within Pops | 22 | 97.451 | 4.430 | 4.430 | $80 \%$ |
| Total | 32 | 173.303 |  | 5.528 | $100 \%$ |

Definitions are as follows： $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom； $\mathrm{SS}=$ sum of squares； $\mathrm{MS}=$ mean of squares；Est．Var $=$ estimated variance；$\%=$ percentage of variation．

Table 2．6．Nei＇s genetic distances（below diagonal）and Nei＇s genetic identity values （above diagonal）for the eight oregano sections．Bold font indicates the highest Nei＇s genetic distance between sections Majorana and Brevifilamentum，while italic font displays the lowest genetic distance between sections Origanum and Majorana．

| Nei＇s Genetic <br> Distance $\quad$ vs．$\quad$ Nei＇s  <br> Genetic Identity  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ĩ } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & \text { 気 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 起 |  |  | E 0 0 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amaracus | － | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.85 |
| Anatolicon | 0.17 | － | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Brevifilamentum | 0.15 | 0.15 | － | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.84 |
| Chilocalyx | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.17 | － | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.86 |
| Majorana | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.16 | － | 0.88 | 0.85 |
| Origanum | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.13 | － | 0.87 |
| Prolaticorolla | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.14 | － |

The pairwise combinations for the seven sections for which gene flow and number of migrants（ Nm ）could be calculated showed that the most gene flow appeared between sects．Anatolicon and Brevifilamentum（Table 2．7）while the least gene flow was observed between sects．Anatolicon and Prolaticorolla．

At the taxon level，Ne，$I$ and $h$ were determined as 1．6， 0.48 ，and 0.33 for the eleven taxa containing more than one individual．OHU and OSY individuals had the
highest $I$ as 0.50 and had the highest $h(0.35)$ among all species. Lower levels of diversity were observed for the taxa OLE, OMA, OVVU and OVVI. OVH accessions had the lowest diversity with an $I$ of 0.44 and $h$ of 0.31 . The average polymorphic locus percentage was $75 \%$ among the eleven Origanum taxa. The maximum level of polymorphic loci was $79 \%$ for OHU. No private band was observed for all taxa (data not shown).

Table 2.7. Gene flow in the eight sections of Origanum L. PhiPT values are shown below the diagonal. Values accepted as significant $(<0.15)$ are in bold characters indicating relatively low allelic differentiation between taxa. Number of migrants per generation ( Nm ) for sections of Origanum L. genera are shown above the diagonal and bold characters indicate relatively high gene flow between taxa ( $P h i P T<0.15$ ).

| PhiPT values vs. Nm values | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气n } \\ & \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amaracus | - | 2.97 | 1.91 | 1.09 | 0.98 | 0.81 | 0.68 |
| Anatolicon | 0.08 | - | 6.08 | 1.67 | 1.34 | 0.82 | 0.62 |
| Brevifilamentum | 0.12 | 0.04 | - | 3.48 | 1.64 | 1.51 | 1.17 |
| Chilocaly $x$ | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.07 | - | 1.51 | 0.88 | 0.81 |
| Majorana | 0.20 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.14 | - | 2.18 | 0.97 |
| Origanum | 0.24 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.10 | - | 0.77 |
| Prolaticorolla | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.25 | - |

The highest $N G I$ was observed between OLE and ORO (0.80), and OSA and OMA (0.79) (Table 2.8). The least gene flow was found between taxa OHU and ORO (0.31) (PhiPT, Table 2.9). The highest gene flow was 0.04 between the OON and OMA populations ( $N G D$ of 0.24 ). As expected from these results, the highest $N m$ value, 6.04, was observed between the OON and OMA populations. The Nm values were also high between the following taxon pairs: OVVU-OSY (3.27), OVH-OVVU (3.07), and OVVIOVH (3.06).

Table 2.8. Pairwise population matrix with Nei's genetic distance ( $N G D$ ) below the diagonal and Nei's genetic identity (NGI) above the diagonal at taxon level

|  | OSA | OHU | OLE | ORO | OMA | OON | OSY | OVVU | OVH | OVVI | OLA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OSA | - | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.7 | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| OHU | 0.27 | - | 0.76 | 0.7 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.77 |
| OLE | 0.30 | 0.28 | - | 0.8 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| ORO | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.27 | - | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.73 |
| OMA | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.3 | - | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| OON | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.3 | 0.24 | - | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.74 |
| OSY | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.3 | 0.26 | 0.30 | - | 0.78 | 0.76 | 0.75 | 0.76 |
| OVVU | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.3 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.25 | - | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.77 |
| OVH | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.32 | 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.28 | 0.29 | - | 0.74 | 0.76 |
| OVVI | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.3 | 0.28 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.30 | - | 0.75 |
| OLA | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.3 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.28 | - |

Table 2.9. Gene flow in the oregano accessions. PhiPT values are shown below the diagonal. PhiPT values that were accepted as significant $(<0.15)$ are in bold characters indicating relatively low allelic differentiation between taxa. Number of migrants per generation (Nm) for Origanum L. populations are shown above the diagonal. Bold characters indicate relatively high gene flow between taxa $(P h i P T<0.15)$

|  | OS | OH | OLE | ORO | OMA | OON | OSY | OVV | OVH | OVVI | OLA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OSA | - | 1.18 | 1.38 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.89 | 0.89 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 5}$ | 1.06 | 0.97 | 1.09 |
| OHU | 0.18 | - | 1.16 | 0.56 | 0.6 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.91 | 0.64 | 0.79 | 0.87 |
| OLE | 0.15 | 0.18 | - | 1.15 | $\mathbf{2 . 6 6}$ | 1.36 | 0.89 | $\mathbf{1 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 1}$ | 1.33 | 1.1 |
| ORO | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.18 | - | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 0.73 | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.67 |
| OMA | 0.22 | 0.29 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | 0.29 | - | $\mathbf{6 . 0 4}$ | 0.72 | 0.91 | 0.96 | $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ | 1.03 |
| OON | 0.22 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.28 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 4}$ | - | 0.81 | 1.23 | 1.33 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ | 1.09 |
| OSY | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.24 | - | $\mathbf{3 . 2 7}$ | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.84 |
| OVV | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ | 0.22 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4}$ | 0.26 | 0.22 | 0.17 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 7}$ | - | $\mathbf{3 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ |
| OVH | 0.19 | 0.28 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.22 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | - | $\mathbf{3 . 0 6}$ | 0.98 |
| OVVI | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.28 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | 0.21 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ | - | 1.29 |
| OLA | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.23 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 4}$ | 0.20 | 0.16 | - |

### 2.4.2. Dendrogram analysis

Jaccard pairwise dissimilarity indices were calculated among individuals and resulted in a mean dissimilarity of 0.76 with values ranging from 0.30 to 0.90 with a cophenetic r of 0.95 . NJ dendrogram analysis indicated three main clusters: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C
(Figure 2.4). Sections Majorana ( $\phi$ ), Prolaticorolla ( $\lambda$ ), and Longitubus ( $\delta$ ) were distributed throughout cluster A. Individuals belonging to section Origanum ( $\gamma$ ) were placed mainly in cluster A with one accession in cluster B. All but one of the 10 Amaracus ( $\alpha$ ) accessions were distributed to cluster B with one accession in cluster A. The two Anatolicon ( $\beta$ ) accs. (O. hypericifolium, OHY1 and $O$. sipyleum, OSI1) were separately placed in clusters B and C. Section Brevifilamentum ( $\chi$ ) was dispersed throughout all clusters of the dendrogram. All three of the Chilocalyx ( $\varepsilon$ ) accessions were found in subcluster C1.

Cluster A was composed of 22 individuals from six sections. All but one ( $O$. vulgare subsp. gracile; OVG1) of the accessions belonging to section Origanum were found in subcluster A1. Among these individuals, two OVH individuals subclustered within A1. OVVU and OVVI were most closely related to these OVH individuals. OVVU2 was placed with individuals from section Majorana in cluster A1. OON and OSY, species in section Majorana, were also found in cluster A1 with OON1 and OON2 most closely related to the the Origanum individuals. OSY1, OSY2, and OSY3 formed their own subcluster within A1. All six Prolaticorolla individuals (OLA) were found in cluster A2. Cluster A3 had an interesting distribution of single individuals belong to Majorana (OMA1 and OMA2), Brevifilamentum (OLE1), Longitubus (O. amanum; OAM1), and Amaracus (O. boissieri; OBO1).

Cluster B was composed of 17 individuals from four sections. Subcluster B1 contained all but one individual (O. boissieri, OBO1) of section Amaracus which included the species OSA, OBO and O. solymicum (OSO). All but one of the OSA (OSA3) individuals were found in this subcluster. OSA3 was most closely related to OSO1 and OHY1 (section Anatolicon). OHU individuals clustered together in subcluster B2 which also included OLE2 from the same section, Brevifilamentum. Three more Brevifilamentum taxa were found in cluster B3: O. munzurense (OMU), O. acutidens (OAC), and O. haussknechtii (OHA). This cluster also contained OVG1, the only Origanum accession in cluster B.

Cluster C was composed of seven individuals in three sections. The three individuals [O. vogelii (OVO), O. bilgeri (OBI), and O. minutiflorum (OMI)] from section Chilocalyx were distributed together in subcluster C1. This subcluster also included the OSI individual from section Anatolicon. Subcluster C2 included ORO and the $O$. bargyli (OBA) individual from the same section, Brevifilamentum.

### 2.4.3. Principle coordinate analysis (PCoA)

The PCoA graph was plotted and the eigen values explained 11.93, 8.49, and 6.52 $\%$ of the variance, respectively. The PCoA graph resulted in three major clusters for the 24 taxa (Figure 2.5). The distribution of sections was as follows: Prolaticorolla (cluster I); Origanum, Majorana, and Longitubus (cluster II); and Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, and Chilocalyx (cluster III). Interestingly the individuals OLE1 (Brevifilamentum) and OAM1 (Longitubus) were placed at the intersection of clusters II and III. Cluster I consisted of only OLA individuals which belong to section Prolaticorolla. As indicated, cluster II contained four different sects.: Majorana with all seven individuals from three different taxa (OMA, OON, and OSY); Origanum with its seven individuals from different taxa (OVVU, OVG, OVH, and OVVI); Longitubus with its single OAM individual and Brevifilamentum with one of the ten individuals from the section. The third cluster was composed of four different sects.: Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Chilocalyx. Overall, most of the taxa that had more than one individual per population, namely, OLA, OSY, OVVU, OVVI, OVH, OON, OHU, OSA and ORO were clustered as expected in two dimensions. In contrast, OMA (OMA1 and OMA2) and OLE (OLE1 and OLE2) individuals were not closely clustered in the plot.


Figure 2.5. PCoA plot representing the three main subclusters for the herbarium collection. Sections are shown in bold with following symbols: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ : Amaracus, $\boldsymbol{\beta}:$ Anatolicon, $\chi$ : Brevifilamentum, $\delta$ : Longitubus, $\varepsilon$ : Chilocalyx, $\phi$ : Majorana, $\gamma$ : Origanum, and $\lambda$ : Prolaticorolla.

### 2.4.4. Population structure analysis

The population structure analysis resulted in two possible optimal numbers of subpopulations (Ks) because the most significant delta $K[\Delta(K)]$ values were observed for $K=3$ and $K=8$ (Figure 2.6). An identity threshold value greater than 0.70 was used for classifying individuals into subpopulations or as admixed (Table 2.11). Because the oregano material encompassed eight sections and $K=8$ gave the highest likelihood value, the hypothesis of eight subpopulations was examined first (Figure 2.7). The graph for $K=8$ showed that Prolaticorolla formed its own subpopulation (SPVIII). In addition, eight of the 10 Amaracus individuals, specifically those belonging to OSA, fell into a
single subpopulation (SPV). Section Brevifilamentum individuals fell into four subpopulations with the ORO and OHU individuals forming exclusive subpopulations (SPIV and VI, respectively). Section Majorana accessions fell almost equally into two subpopulations: SPII and III. Five of the seven accessions from section Origanum were admixed while the remainder (OVH individuals) formed their own subpopulation, SPVII. The individuals from sects. Longitubus and Chilocalyx fell into subpopulations that they shared with other sections (SPI and III) while both Anatolicon accessions were admixed.

Overall, six of the eight ( $75 \%$ ) subpopulations consisted of a single section (Table 10). Of these exclusive subpopulations, SPIV with two ORO accessions had the highest expected heterozygosity $(\mathrm{He})$ value, 0.24 with moderate gene flow $\left(F_{S T}=0.49\right)$ (Table 2.10). The lowest heterozygosity and gene flow were observed in SPVII which contained only OVH. Overall, SPI with two sects. (Brevifilamentum and Chilocalyx) and five different taxa (OAC, OMI, OHA, OMU, OLE) had the highest $H e$ and gene flow, values of 0.34 and 0.02 , respectively. All but one of these species (OLE) was represented by only one accession.

Table 2.10.Results of Bayesian clustering for $K=8$ and $K=3$. Ns: number of sections, $\sum N i$ : total number of individuals, $H e$ : expected heterozygosity, $F_{S T}$ : fixation index.

| $K=8$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub population | Ns | $\sum N i$ | He | $F_{S T}$ values |
| SP I | 2 | 5 | 0.34 | 0.02 |
| SP II | 1 | 3 | 0.15 | 0.65 |
| SP III | 4 | 8 | 0.23 | 0.32 |
| SP IV | 1 | 2 | 0.24 | 0.49 |
| SP V | 1 | 8 | 0.22 | 0.39 |
| SP VI | 1 | 2 | 0.16 | 0.66 |
| SP VII | 1 | 2 | 0.09 | 0.8 |
| SP VIII | , | 6 | 0.15 | 0.58 |
| Admixed | 4 | 10 |  |  |

## Table 2.10 (Cont.)

| $K=3$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub population | Ns | $\sum N i$ | He | $\boldsymbol{F}_{S T}$ values |
| SP I | 2 | 7 | 0.36 | 0.0008 |
| SP II | 1 | 8 | 0.21 | 0.42 |
| SP III | 5 | 19 | 0.21 | 0.39 |
| Admixed | 5 | 12 |  |  |
| Notations are as follows: $\mathrm{SP}=$ Sub population; $N s=$ Number of sections for that cluster; $\sum N i=$ Total number of individuals; $\mathrm{He}=$ Expected heterozygosity; $F_{S T}=$ Fixation index |  |  |  |  |

An alternative result from the population structure analysis was that the oregano accessions fell into three subpopulations. This hypothesis was supported by the highest $\Delta(K)$ for all tested values of $K$ (Figure 2.6). Based on these results (Figure 2.6), section Amaracus fell into an exclusive subpopulation, SPII, containing all OSA individuals but excluding OSO1 and OBO1 individuals which were admixed. Accessions from sects. Prolaticorolla, Origanum, Majorana, Longitubus and Brevifilamentum fell into SPIII. The remaining Brevifilamentum individuals were found in SPI (six individuals) and in the admixed group (three accs.). One accession from section Chilocalyx was in SPI and the other two were admixed. The two Anatolicon accessions were admixed. As seen with $K=8$ (Figure 2.7), the SP containing Brevifilamentum and Chilocalyx accessions had the highest gene flow and heterozygosity (Table 2.11).

Figure. 2.6.Ln likelihood, $\Delta K$, and population structure graph for $K=3$. (a) Likelihood values from Structure Harvester analysis are plotted for the ten different subpopulations tested in the analysis. (b) Delta $K$ is plotted for each $K$ with peaks indicating a high probability that the number of subpopulations was $K=3$ or $K=8$. (c) Structure graph for $K=3$. Each accession is coded and listed along the
x -axis, the proportion of identity to a given subpopulation is indicated by the different colored bars in the y -axis.
Figure 2.7.The structure of native Turkish oregano herbarium specimens for $K=8$. Each accession is coded and listed along the x -axis, the
proportion of identity to a given subpopulation is indicated by the different colored bars in the y-axis.
Table 2.11. Subpopulations and admixed individuals as determined by population structure analysis at $K=8$ and $K=3$

| $K=8$ |  |  |  | $K=3$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Individual | Inferred Ancestry | Significance <br> Value | Section | Individual | Inferred Ancestry | Significance <br> Value | Section |
| OSO1 | Admixed | 0.60 | Amaracus, Anatolicon, | OBO1 | Admixed | 0.52 | Amaracus, |
| OHY1 | Admixed | 0.62 | Brevifilamentum, | OSO1 | Admixed | 0.60 | Anatolicon, |
| OSII | Admixed | 0.33 | Majorana, and | OHY1 | Admixed | 0.62 | Brevifilamentum, |
| OBA1 | Admixed | 0.51 | Origanum | OSI1 | Admixed | 0.43 | Chilocalyx Majorana, |
| OON1 | Admixed | 0.66 |  | OBA1 | Admixed | 0.57 | and Origanum |
| OVVU1 | Admixed | 0.66 |  | OHU1 | Admixed | 0.59 |  |
| OVVU2 | Admixed | 0.69 |  | OHU2 | Admixed | 0.58 |  |
| OVG1 | Admixed | 0.70 |  | OBI1 | Admixed | 0.60 |  |
| OVVI1 | Admixed | 0.65 |  | OVO1 | Admixed | 0.59 |  |
| OVVI2 | Admixed | 0.53 |  | OMA1 | Admixed | 0.62 |  |
| OAC1 | SP I | 0.97 | Brevifilamentum and | OSY3 | Admixed | 0.54 |  |
| OHA1 | SP I | 0.94 | Chilocalyx | OVG1 | Admixed | 0.63 |  |
| OLE2 | SP I | 0.75 |  | OAC1 | SP I | 0.97 | Brevifilamentum and |
| OMU1 | SP I | 0.91 |  | OHA1 | SP I | 0.92 | Chilocalyx |
| OMI1 | SP I | 0.96 |  | OLE2 | SP I | 0.89 |  |
| OSY1 | SP II | 0.96 | Majorana | OMU1 | SP I | 0.94 |  |
| OSY2 | SP II | 0.96 |  | ORO1 | SP I | 0.87 |  |
| OSY3 | SP II | 0.94 |  | ORO2 | SP I | 0.97 |  |
| OBO1 | SP III | 0.73 | Amaracus, | OMI1 | SP I | 0.96 |  |
| OLE1 | SP III | 0.93 | Brevifilamentum, | OSA1 | SP II | 0.91 | Amaracus |
| OAM1 | SP III | 0.94 | Longitubus, Chilocalyx, | OSA2 | SP II | 0.87 |  |
| OBI1 | SP III | 0.75 | and Majorana | OSA3 | SP II | 0.78 |  |
| OVO1 | SP III | 0.92 |  | OSA4 | SP II | 0.92 |  |

(Cont. on the next page)

| OSA5 | SP II | 0.94 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OSA6 | SP II | 0.90 |  |
| OSA7 | SP II | 0.99 |  |
| OSA8 | SP II | 0.96 |  |
| OLE1 | SP III | 0.79 | Brevifilamentum, <br> OAM1 <br> OP III |
| SPitubus, | Majorana, |  |  |
| OMA2 | SP III | 0.86 | Origanum, <br> Orolaticorolla |
| OON1 | SP III | 0.84 |  |
| OON2 | SP III | 0.80 |  |
| OSY1 | SP III | 0.80 |  |
| OSY2 | SP III | 0.92 |  |
| OVVU1 | SP III | 0.98 |  |
| OVVU2 | SP III | 0.92 |  |
| OVH1 | SP III | 0.98 |  |
| OVH2 | SP III | 0.98 |  |
| OVVI1 | SP III | 0.89 |  |
| OVVI2 | SP III | 0.96 |  |
| OLA1 | SP III | 0.75 |  |
| OLA2 | SP III | 0.92 |  |
| OLA3 | SP III | 0.78 |  |
| OLA4 | SP III | 0.96 |  |
| OLA5 | SP III | 0.97 |  |
| OLA6 | SP III | 0.95 |  |

药
Brevifilamentum
Origanum
Prolaticorolla


| Table 2.11. (cont.) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| OMA1 | SP III |
| OMA2 | SP III |
| OON2 | SP III |
| ORO1 | SP IV |
| ORO2 | SP IV |
| OSA1 | SP V |
| OSA2 | SP V |
| OSA3 | SP V |
| OSA4 | SP V |
| OSA5 | SP V |
| OSA6 | SP V |
| OSA7 | SP V |
| OSA8 | SP V |
| OHU1 | SP VI |
| OHU2 | SP VI |
| OVH1 | SP VII |
| OVH2 | SP VII |
| OLA1 | SP VIII |
| OLA2 | SP VIII |
| OLA3 | SP VIII |
| OLA4 | SP VIII |
| OLA5 | SP VIII |
| OLA6 | SP VIII |

### 2.5. Discussion

In this chapter, herbarium material for 22 native oregano species including 24 taxa with 15 endemic species from eight sections of the genus Origanum (Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus, Chilocalyx, Majorana, Origanum, and Prolaticorolla) were screened with EST-SSR and SRAP markers. Only one endemic species, O. brevidens (Bornmüller) Dinsmore (in section Brevifilamentum), was not included due to an absence of specimens. (Ietswaart, 1980; Duman et al., 1995; Guzelmansur and Lise, 2013).

### 2.5.1. SRAP and EST-SSR markers in Origanum

The genetic diversity among Origanum species was investigated in 46 individuals from 24 taxa with SRAP and EST-SSR markers. The SRAP markers yielded 12.04 fragments per primer combination and were highly informative in oregano as is often expected from genetic markers that are based on random or non-sequence specific amplification. Similar results (an average of 13.30 markers) were obtained with RAPD markers in 27 Greek O. vulgare subsp. hirtum accessions (Katsiotis et al., 2009).

The EST-SSR markers were also highly polymorphic with an average of four fragments per primer pair. The markers were developed by Novak et al. (2008) who obtained an average of 0.87 fragments in 39 O . vulgare and O . majorana accessions. This discrepancy in polymorphism is probably due to the fact that the current study examined more accessions from a wider variety of taxa. Some of the same EST-SSR markers were also used to examine $O$. vulgare populations from Tunisia and found to be highly polymorphic with an average of five fragments per marker (Mechergui et al., 2017). Additional EST-SSR markers were developed by Ince et al. (2014). Thirty of these markers were tested on 65 samples from eight Origanum species (Ince et al., 2014) while 15 were surveyed on 670 O. compactum individuals (Aboukhalid et al., 2017). In both studies, the markers yielded a similar number of fragments (one to six) as obtained in our work.

### 2.5.2. Genetic diversity of Turkish Origanum

Overall genetic diversity of the Turkish Origanum accessions was high with a mean Jaccard dissimilarity index of 0.76. Ince et al. (2014) also used EST-SSR primers to examine genetic diversity of Turkish Origanum. They analyzed 65 individuals from eight species and obtained an average genetic dissimilarity of 0.46 . Higher diversity was expected in our study given the broad range of material examined: 46 individuals representing 24 of the 25 Origanum taxa. Nearly all Origanum species have identical chromosome numbers $(2 \mathrm{n}=30)$ (Ietswaart, 1980) and the genus can be either self or crosspollinated with a reported lack of hybridization barriers between species and taxa (Kıtıkı et al., 1997). Cross-pollination and the resulting high diversity are also aided by the geographical proximity of different Origanum populations (Loveless and Hamrick, 1984). Because the samples were collected from contiguous regions in Türkiye, the transfer of pollen or seed from one population into another can result in enhanced gene flow (Loveless and Hamrick, 1984). Furthermore, rivers or streams can also spread seed or pollen of Origanum species as described by Van Looy et al. (2009).

### 2.5.2.1. Section Amaracus

Ten accessions from three species were sampled from section Amaracus. The average diversity of the section was 0.41 (h) with highest NGI to section Origanum (0.87). In the dendrogram and PCoA analyses, the section was most closely related to sections Anatolicon and Brevifilamentum. This agreed with the gene flow analysis which indicated that Amaracus had the highest flow and number of migrants with these two sections. In addition, Amaracus and Brevifilamentum species have similar habit and inflorescence structure (Ietstwaart, 1980).

NGI and gene flow between the Amaracus species could not be measured because two of the taxa (OBO and OSO) had only one sample. The OSA accessions formed their own cluster with OSO indicating their close genetic relationship which was expected given their membership in the same section as well as the geographical proximity of their collection locations in Burdur and Antalya. Unexpectedly, the OBO1 accession was clustered with a mixed group of individuals from various sections in the dendrogram (cluster A3) and population structure analyses. This discrepancy suggests
that this particular sample should be re-examined for its morphology and that additional samples of this species should be collected and molecularly characterized. Based on these results, it should be clear if the position of OBO1 is due to its genetic distinctness from the other Amaracus species or if it has been misidentified.

### 2.5.2.2. Section Anatolicon

Two accessions from two species were sampled from section Anatolicon with an average heterozygosity of 0.41 . Anatolicon had highest genetic identity ( 0.86 ) with Origanum and Brevifilamentum. Both Anatolicon accessions were admixed according to the population structure analysis and were not closely related in the dendrogram. Instead, the accessions clustered with individuals from sects. Brevifilamentum, Chilocalyx and Amaracus. In agreement with this result, Anatolicon had high gene flow with these three sections. Indeed, the most migrants observed between sections in the entire study was seen between Anatolicon and Brevifilamentum. Moreover, natural hybrids between Anatolicon species OSI and Brevifilamentum (OBA) have been documented (Ietstwaart, 1980). Thus, high rates of cross-pollination could result in the admixed population structure seen in the OHY and OSI samples. Both OHY and OSI are widely distribution in Türkiye (Sadikoglu, 2012) which may also contribute to their admixed structure. Anatolicon has also been reported to hybridize with individuals from section Majorana and may hybridize with section Origanum (Ietstwaart, 1980). However, especially high gene flow was not observed between these sections in our study. More samples from these species should be collected and analyzed before any conclusions about their genetic structure and relationships with other taxa can be made.

Cross-hybridization is common in the genus Origanum and has played a significant role in speciation (Ietswaart, 1980). According to Ietswaart (1980), section Anatolicon arose from many years of hybridization between species in sects. Origanum and Amaracus, and genus Thymus. The admixed population structure of the Anatolicon accessions studied in this work may reflect the diverse origin of this section. This idea is also supported by the high gene flow observed between Anatolicon and Amaracus which could be a remnant of the section's origin or could arise from more recent hybridizations.

### 2.5.2.3. Section Brevifilamentum

Ten accessions from seven species were analyzed from section Brevifilamentum. The section had an average diversity of $0.40(h)$ and highest genetic identity with section Origanum (0.85). Individual samples were widely spread in the dendrogram and population structure analysis. The section had the highest gene flow and Nm with Anatolicon. High gene flow were also observed with Amaracus, Chilocalyx, Origanum and Majorana. The dendrogram and gene flow results indicate the diverse genetic background of Brevifilamentum. These findings are in an agreement with Ietswaart's hypothesis (1980) that many of the species in this section arose through ancient hybridizations when Origanum populations were restricted to mountainous regions due to climate change and a more arid environment. Natural hybrids between Brevifilamentum and Majorana were identified by Ietstwaart (1980). The tested Brevifilamentum samples were collected from all regions included in our study which spanned from the Mediterranean to the Black Sea and Eastern Anatolia. Thus, the section is widely dispersed in Türkiye and has the opportunity for cross-pollination with many Origanum species.

Of the three Brevifilamentum species that had more than one sample, OHU had the highest $h(0.35)$ followed by OLE ( 0.34 ) and ORO ( 0.32 ). NGI between these species ranged from 0.75 to 0.76 . The two OHU samples clustered together in the dendrogram and formed their own subpopulation. These samples were collected in eastern Antalya and were most closely related to OLE2 which was from the adjacent province, Karaman. Interestingly, in the dendrogram, the other OLE accession was placed in the mixed group from various sections (cluster A3) as described previously. The PCoA agreed with this placement as OLE1 was found at the junction between Clusters II and III. Therefore, it would be useful to test other OLE individuals to determine where they are placed in the analyses. The two ORO accessions formed their own subcluster in the dendrogram and PCoA and their own subpopulation in structure analysis. These samples were most closely related to the OBA individual which is also from section Brevifilamentum. The single OAC, OMU and OHA samples clustered in the dendrogram and were in a subpopulation which contained mainly other Brevifilamentum accessions. These three samples (OAC, OMU, OHA) were collected from Tunceli and Erzincan which share a border in Eastern Anatolia. These results suggest cross-pollination between these
individuals. However, it is necessary to collect additional samples from these species to get an estimate of their gene flow.

According to Ietswaart (1980), section Brevifilamentum arose from hybridizations involving Amaracus and the genus Saturejeae. This hybrid origin may still be evident in the fact that the Brevifilamentum species were the most widely distributed in the dendrogram and population structure analyses. In addition, the results can be attributed to the high gene flow that is ongoing between Brevifilamentum and most of the other sections as well as between some of the Brevifilamentum species. Another key factor is the known endemism of most of these species. For example, OHU is endemic to only two locations (Sadikoglu, N ; personal communication) and formed its own subpopulation in the structure analysis $(K=8)$. Similarly, ORO which has unknown endemism but is considered to be local (Sadikoglu, N; personal communication), also formed its own subpopulation. Both OHU and ORO had very low gene flow with the other species as expected for populations with restricted geographical locations. In the study of Lukas et al. (2013), there was also low gene flow between pure isolated OON populations and OON accs. in putative hybrid zone with ODU, supporting the idea of geographical isolation effects habitat fragmentation and speciation of new species (Singh, 2022).

### 2.5.2.4. Section Chilocalyx

Three samples from the three species (OBI, OVO, OMI) in section Chilocalyx were examined and had an $h$ of 0.42 . Chilocalyx had the highest NGI with Origanum (0.87). Indeed, putative hybrids between these two sections have been recorded (Ietswaart, 1980). The Chilocalyx samples formed a distinct cluster in dendrogram analysis and their own subcluster in PCoA. They were most closely associated with the Brevifilamentum accessions, ORO and OBA; and overall, had high gene flow with this section. Significant gene flow was also observed between Chilocalyx, Anatolicon and Majorana. The samples from these sections were collected in the Mediterranean region, thus, facilitating cross-pollination.

Chilocalyx (CHI) arose by hybridizations involving sects. Majorana, Origanum and an unknown genus (Ietswaart, 1980). In our work, Chilocalyx had the highest genetic identity with section Origanum reflecting its origins. In addition, significant gene flow
was observed between Chilocalyx and its other progenitor, Majorana. All the Chilocalyx species are endemic, and our samples were collected from adjoining Mediterranean locations. Individuals belonging to this section grouped together in our analyses indicating a shared population, however, not enough accessions were studied to examine gene flow and genetic distance.

The accessions from sect. CHI were located in subcluster C1 within Cluster C of dendrogram together with sect. $A N A$ and the subcluster that they constructed was together with another subcluster, C 2 , with full of individuals from sect. BRE. In the case $K=3$ in Chapter 2, section $A M A$ had its own exclusive sub population in SPII, while sections PRO, ORG, MAJ, LONGI, and BRE was grouped together in SPIII. The involvement of five different sections in SPIII might reflect a hybridization pattern among those sections which were screened with native specimens. From section CHI, there were one accession fell in SPI, and the remaming two accs. were admixed. In the study of Tanhaș (2019), a population consisted of 21 specimens with 18 species from eight sections that are naturally grows in Türkiye was investigated with 11 EST-SSR and 16 SRAP combinations. A number of 91 alleles were gathered with a mean value of 0.28 genetic diversity value (Polymorphism Information Content - PIC) and a range of dissimilarities of 0.13 to 0.89 for NJ was observed. In their study, both NJ dendrogram and Structure graph was divided into three groups. In SPI, individuals from sections CHI, BRE, MAJ, AMA, and LONGI were structured. In SPII, accs. from AMA, PRO, MAJ, BRE, ANA, and CHI were grouped together. In SPIII, ORG, MAJ, CHI, and BRE was ensembled together. As a comparison, in their study, CHI specimens were fell into all subpopulations, while $O R G$ specimen was grouped together with individuals from $M A J, C H I$, and BRE sections. In our $K=3$, our $O R G$ population (with higher number of specimens) had genetic clustering with $P R O, M A J, L O N G I$, and $B R E$; while $A M A$ and $C H I$ had their own sub populations and $A N A$ accessions were in admixed structure. The admixed structure of two CHI accessions and the placement of CHI in subpopulations in both study might reflect the shared intermediate morphological features between section pairs $A M A-O R G$ and $M A J-O R G$ with $C H I$ (Dirmenci et al., 2020).

### 2.5.2.5. Section Longitubus

Only one sample was used from section Longitubus which is comprised of only one species, OAM. The accession clustered with the mixed group of accessions from different sections (Cluster A3) that contained OBO1 (see section Amaracus) and was found at the junction between Clusters II and III in the PCoA. Natural hybrids between species of Longitubus and Prolaticorolla have been described (Ietstwaart, 1980); however, due to a lack of samples, we could not measure gene flow between these sections. Thus, it is evident that additional samples from this species must be collected and analyzed to understand more about the genetic diversity and relationships of this species and section.

Longitubus (LONGI) is hypothesized to be originated from genus Saturejeae and section Amaracus from genus Origanum (Ietswaart, 1980). According to the same source, Longitubus has unique corolla and stamen morphology which distinguish it from the other Origanum sections. In our molecular genetic study, only one individual was included from the section, and it was found to cluster with a variety of species from other sections. Thus, it is apparent that morphological differences are not necessarily reflected at the molecular level. Of course, additional Longitubus individuals must be examined to learn more about the genetic relationships of this section with the other oregano species.

### 2.5.2.6. Section Majorana

Seven accessions from the three species in section Majorana were analyzed and had an average genetic diversity of 0.41 (h). Majorana had the highest NGI with Origanum (0.88). All of the samples were found in the same cluster of the dendrogram. Moreover, OSY and OON accessions formed their own distinct subclusters. The Majorana samples were most closely related to individuals from section Origanum as seen in the dendrogram and PCoA. These results were confirmed by a high gene flow and Nm between these two sections. Relatively high gene flow was also observed between Majorana, Brevifilamentum and Chilocalyx. Natural hybridization was previously observed between Majorana and sects. Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum,

Origanum and Prolaticorolla (Ietswaart, 1980) which indicates that Majorana alleles may migrate even more than observed in our study.

Within Majorana, OSY (0.35) had slightly higher heterozygosity than OMA (0.34) and OON (0.33). High genetic diversity was also identified in the ITS (internal transcribed spacer) region of OSY (Lukas et al., 2013). Genetic identity among the Majorana species ranged from 0.74 to 0.78 . As in the dendrogram analysis, population structure analysis indicated that OSY accessions formed their own subpopulation. Interestingly, these samples had high $N G I(0.78)$ with and were most closely related to one of the two OVVU samples. This relationship was also reflected in a high gene flow between OSY and OVVU. As previously mentioned, the OON samples formed their own dendrogram subcluster. These results are consistent with those of Lukas et al. (2013) who examined the ITS sequences of species in Majorana and found that OON individuals formed a distinct group that did not include OSY or OMA. OON is also unusual in the genus Origanum because its morphology is relatively homogenous and can be easily distinguished from other species (Lukas et al., 2013). Highest gene flow for OON was with OMA and OVVI.

The two OMA samples did not cluster most closely with each other but were both found in the mixed cluster A3 and in a subpopulation with multiple sections according to population structure analysis. This situation is mirrored at the morphological level in that OMA has characters which vary in natural populations and are not distinct from OSY (Ietswaart, 1980). OMA is an interesting species because, depending on the expert/genebank, it may or may not include individuals from $O$. dubium, a morphologically similar taxon (Lukas et al., 2013). Ietswaart (1980) classified O. dubium as a synonym of OMA. However, others who have examined samples at the molecular level argue that $O$. dubium arose by hybridization between OSY and OON (Lukas et al., 2013). Therefore, it is possible that one of our OMA samples could be $O$. dubium, thereby explaining why they did not cluster closely in the dendrogram. OMA had relatively high gene flow with the OLE in Brevifilamentum and OVVI in Origanum. The close relationships and high gene flow between OSY, OON and the $O$. vulgare subspecies may be a result of their geographical proximity as many of the samples were collected in Mersin, Antalya, and their surrounding regions.

More recently, some researchers have suggested a departure from Ietswaart's classification and have proposed that section Majorana be categorized as its own genus (Kaufmann \& Wink, 1994). According to this research which examined $r b c L$ sequence,
the genetic distance between $O$. majorana (syn. Majorana hortensis) and $O$. vulgare (subsp. not given) was $1.4 \%$, a value which is typical for inter-genera comparisons. However, our results did not support this hypothesis as the Majorana accessions did not form an outgroup. Ince et al. (2014) obtained similar results with a different set of SSR markers. Moreover, high gene flow (this study) and natural hybridization (Ietswaart, 1980) were observed between section Majorana and other Origanum sections. Thus, our work suggests that Majorana should remain within the genus.

### 2.5.2.7. Section Origanum

Seven accessions from four Origanum subspecies were analyzed and found to have an average genetic diversity of $0.42(h)$. In the dendrogram, all but one of the accessions, OVG1 grouped in cluster A1. However, OVG1 did cluster with all the Origanum samples in the PCoA. This disparity could be due to exceptional situation of OVG1 as being the only Origanum accession not collected from the Mediterranean. Instead OVG1 was sampled from Tunceli, a remote region in Eastern Anatolia. This region does not contain natural populations of any of the other $O$. vulgare subspecies. Thus, OVG was isolated from the rest. The Origanum accessions were most closely related to each other and to Majorana accessions. This close relationship with Majorana was also reflected by a high level of gene flow and migrants between the two sections and a high value for $N G I(0.88)$. In addition, both natural and artificial hybrids have been reported for sections Origanum and Majorana (Ietswaart, 1980). Origanum also had high gene flow with Brevifilamentum.

The three subspecies with more than one individual (OVVU, OVH, and OVVI), had similar levels of genetic diversity. The OVH individuals formed their own subpopulation and their own subcluster in dendrogram analysis. OVH also clustered separately from OVVU in the work of Mechergui et al. (2016), which, in common with our work, used the EST-SSRs developed by Novak et al. (2008). Moreover, separate clustering of OVH and OVVU was reported by Katsiotis et al. (2009) using sequence from the ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 region. The remaining Origanum individuals were admixed in terms of population structure when the number of subpopulations was assumed to be eight, in agreement with Ietswaart's classification (1980). Gene flow was high between OVH, OVVU and OVVI as expected given that they are subspecies and not distinct
species. Genetic identity between the subspecies was moderate ( $\sim 0.74$ ) with some "nonOriganum" taxa more closely related to $O$. vulgare subspecies. For example, OVVU had more genetic identity with OHU and OSY $(\sim 0.78)$ than with OVH and OVVI. These results are in an agreement with the gene flow analysis which indicated high interchange with both Majorana and Brevifilamentum species.

### 2.5.2.8. Section Prolaticorolla

Section Prolaticorolla contains only one species, O. laevigatum (OLA), and six samples from this species were analyzed in the study. The section had $h$ of 0.41 and highest NGI with Origanum. The section did not have high gene flow with any other section. Average genetic diversity of OLA was 0.33 and this species had relatively high gene flow with only OVVU. All the OLA samples formed a distinct subcluster in the dendrogram and PCoA. This species was most closely related to both Origanum and Majorana accessions. Natural hybrids between Prolaticorolla and Majorana have been described as well as between Prolaticorolla and Longitubus (Ietswaart, 1980). The reason for the seeming genetic distinctness of OLA is unknown given the fact that interspecific hybrids occur, and the species is not geographically isolated.

Prolaticorolla (PRO) originated from hybridizations involving section Origanum and the genus Saturejeae (Ietswaart, 1980). Indeed, the section had the highest $N G I$ with section Origanum (ORG) as may be expected from its origin. The section contains only one species which is endemic to the eastern Mediterranean region of Türkiye (Sadikoglu, 2012). Perhaps as a result of this endemism, gene flow between section $P R O$ and the other sections: AMA, ANA, BRE, CHI, MAJ, and $O R G$; was the lowest overall and OLA formed its own subpopulation and very distinct clusters in the other analyses. As Tauzet (2012) mentioned about the impact of genetic drift on population size in the process of rare allele fixation, the probability of isolated populations might involve female only plants should be considered for endemic and genetically pure plant species such as OLA collected from Osmaniye, Hatay, and UNK provinces from section $P R O$ as they built isolated clusters of endemic OLA all together, which will make them open for hybridization cross-sectional, with other species but their rare alleles for sex determination might allow its fixation and creation of OLA species unless there would be another rare allele related to gender determiners from another taxa.

### 2.5.3. Population structure of the genus Origanum

The population structure analysis of the eight Origanum L. sections based on molecular genetic data did not have complete concordance with Ietswaart's classification based on morphology (1980). When the results for eight subpopulations are examined, most of the Amaracus ( $80 \%$, all of the OSA acc.), Prolaticorolla ( $100 \%$ ) and Origanum ( $71 \%$ ) individuals fell into distinct subpopulations as expected based on their section assignments. Anatolicon individuals ( 2 acc.) were admixed and did not fall into any subpopulation which was also true of some Amaracus (1 acc.), Origanum (5 accs.), Brevifilamentum (1 acc.) and Majorana (1 acc.) accessions. The remaining individuals in Brevifilamentum fell into four subpopulations. Two of the subpopulations were exclusive containing only the individuals from one Brevifilamentum species, ORO (2 accs.) and OHU ( 2 accs.). The other two subpopulations also included species from other sections. These mixed subpopulations contained individuals from Longitubus and Chilocalyx. The members of section Majorana were equally split between two subpopulations, one of which was exclusively OSY (3 acc.) from Majorana.

Thus, the main difference between Ietswaart's classification and our subpopulations assignments lies in the occurrence of mixed subpopulations. These were most notable for sects. Brevifilamentum and Majorana. This difference may be simply the result of examining the material at two different levels-morphological versus DNA. Although morphological differences require changes at the DNA level, many more mutations occur in the genome than are apparent from morphology. In addition, the results suggest the possibility that cross-hybridization in these two sections might lead to divergence and speciation. This hypothesis agrees with Ietswaart's work (1980) which indicated that hybridization is the main driver of speciation in the genus. A much weaker factor in speciation is geographical isolation (Ietswaart, 1980). This hypothesis is also supported by our finding that endemic species such OHU formed their own subpopulations.

### 2.5.4. Hybridization pattern of oregano

Homoploid hybridization is the most common factor in oregano that effects generation of new oregano species and inbred species generation over evolutionary time.

In fact, the oregano species are accumulation lots of allelic variation after each hybridization via recombination frequency. The reported conservation of chromosome numbers among oregano species and findings about morphological conservation of some characters in the literature, molecular observations of high genetic identity values between different Origanum L. taxa and the suggests that there is an ongoing homoploid hybridization within and among natural populations. Consequently, the ancestral species are continuing to evolve while new hybrids are being arisen.

Native oregano populations without genetic barriers have the cross-hybridization ability to drive speciation. In some cases, this natural speciation has led to divergence of species at the molecular and morphological levels while; in other cases, species have become admixed or more similar because of transfer of alleles between Origanum L. species. Also, the genetic barriers between some oregano species due to their sterile forms (male sterility) with only carrying female organs may affect possible hybridizations negatively as species located near to each other may have mating problem if they are both carrying female organs and it can make them local endemics. This behavior can have different effects on adaptation of the genus to its local environment and result in highly endemic species such as OMU which is only found in one location in Tunceli and mostly found in Cyprus (Lukas et al., 2013). It can also result in broadly distributed species like OON. As it is known, some oregano species are known as ancestors of other oregano species via hybridization within sections such as OMA (ODU in Türkiye) being descended from OSYBE species in nature, and also ODU has a gene flow towards OON. In addition, the assembled contribution of ANA to to gene flow was observed as the highest for native Turkish Origanum L. herbarium material from eight sections (ANA - BRE: 6.08).

The origin of the different Origanum sections was proposed by Ietswaart in 1980. According to his hypothesis which assumes 10 sections, sections Amaracus, Majorana, and Origanum were directly descended from species in the ancestral genus Saturejeae (Ietswaart, 1980). Thus, taxa in these sections are the oldest Origanum species. According to Ietswaart (1980), hybridization not only happens between species in the same section, but also occurs cross sectionally (Figure 2.8). The matrix in Figure 2.8 shows the species in sections Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus, Chilocalyx, Majorana, Origanum and Prolaticorolla that tend to have natural hybrids. This may explain why OON (MAJ) and OVVU (ORG) are the most numerous and widely distributed oregano species in Türkiye, respectively (Sadikoglu, 2012). This is also
evident in the large numbers of migrants that were observed between sections Majorana and Origanum in our study as well as the natural hybrids identified previously (Ietswaart, 1980; Dirmenci et al., 2021). Interestingly, despite its ancient origins Amaracus did not exhibit high gene flow with the other two original sections in our work. This may be attributed to the very limited distribution of Amaracus species in Türkiye (Sadikoglu, N; personal communication). In contrast, artificial hybridization and hybridization with one cultivated parent are more common within section Amaracus and between sections Amaracus, Anatolicon and Longitubus.

Worldwide, 20 hybrids in the genus Origanum have been reported and 13 of them naturally occur in Türkiye (Arabacı et al., 2021; Dirmenci et al., 2021a). The hybrid species mostly share phenotypic similarities in calyx, leaf, corolla size and number of spikes (Davis, 1949; Dirmenci et al., 2019). However, it is reported that intermediate hybrid species mostly conserve a common morphology with one of the parents (Arabacı et al., 2021). There are both natural and artificial hybrids reported in the literature and some of them are: O. x dolichosiphon (OAM x OLA), O. x intermedium (OSI x OON), O. x haradjanii (OSYBE x OLA), O. intercedens (OVH - OON), O. x adanense (OBA - OLA), O. $x$ adae ( O. aylineae - OSI) , O. x aytacii (OSI - OVH), O. malyeri (OBO x OVH) (Taş, 2010). In addition, a molecular study supported that $O$. munzurense is no longer a species in section $B R E$, it is a hybrid species that must be accepted as $O$. $x$ munzurense (OAC x OVG) (Dirmenci et al., 2019).

An update of the hybridization scheme among oregano sections suggested by Ietswaart (1980) has been prepared by Dirmenci et al. (2021) (Figure 2.9; Figure 2.10). In comparison, Dirmenci reports hybridizations between sections Amaracus Brevifilamentum, Amaracus - Majorana, Amaracus - Origanum, Brevifilamentum Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum - Origanum, Brevifilamentum - Prolaticorolla, Majorana - Amaracus, Origanum - Amaracus, Origanum - Brevifilamentum, and Prolaticorolla Brevifilamentum that were not reported in Ietswaart's earlier hybridization scheme. On the contrary, hybridization between sections Longitubus - Amaracus was not included in the updated work when compared to Ietswart's (1980) report.

| SECTION | SECTION <br> SPECIES ETC． | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 思 } \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { K } \\ & \text { K } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { 太 } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { d } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 塞 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \text { H } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \text { \& } \\ & \text { \& } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { Y } \\ & \text { g } \\ & \text { तु } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { E } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ \text { N } \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H } \\ & \text { 篤 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | E 合 E 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & \text { E } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text {. } \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { CH } \\ & 0^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㫛 } \\ & \text { O } \\ & 0 \\ & 8 \\ & 8 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | \％ |  |  |  |  | O. ehrenbergii |  |
| Amaraous | O．saloaratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O．diotamus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anatolicon | O．Libanoticum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0．scabmen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O．sipylewm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Bravifila－ mentiom | O．bargyli |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Longitubus | O．amanvm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chilocalyx | 0．micranthwm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O．microphyllwn |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt[1]{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Majorana | 0．majorana |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $<4$ | 4 |  |  |
|  | O．onites |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0．symiacum var．bevanii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Origanum | O．vulgare ssp．hirtion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O．vulgare ssp．virens |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | O．vulgare ssp．vulgare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prolati－ corolla | 0．shrenbergii |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0．Zaevigatum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2．8．Hybrid matrix according to Ietswaart（1980）．The four main types of hybridization events are categorized as 1）hybrid formation between parents in natural habitats，2）putative hybrids occurring at natural sites，3） successfully made artificial hybridization between cultivated parents，and 4） hybrids from at least one cultivated parent．


Figure 2.9.Update in cross-sectional hybridization matrix of Ietswaart's (1980) by Dirmenci et al. (2021). Black boxes indicate hybridizations.


Figure 2.10. Representation of cross-sectional hybridizations for natural oregano hybrids according to knowledge given by Dirmenci et al. (2021). Black boxes indicate hybridizations.

The recent scheme for cross-sectional hybridizations of Dirmenci et al. (2021) indicates that Chilocalyx, Longitubus, Amaracus, Anatolicon and Prolaticorolla sections have low tendency to cross pollinate with most of the sections. Instead, they prefer to hybridize with certain sections in nature. In contrast, sections Origanum, Brevifilamentum and Majorana had the highest likelihood to cross hybridize with several sections. The findings of our work support this hyphothesis by the observation of the highest gene flow between section Anatolicon and Brevifilamentum and a by the
observation of the highest genetic similarity between sections Majorana and Origanum, respectively.

Given the complex relationships among oregano accessions and species, we studied genetic diversity and relationships using molecular markers.

### 2.5.5. Conclusion

In our study, population structure analysis at $K=8$ suggested that some of the species from sections such as Brevifilamentum and Majorana may eventually form their own sections. $K=8$ was selected because it matches Ietswaart's taxonomy and gave the highest likelihood value. However, $K=3$ gave the highest delta $K$ value indicating that the data also fit the hypothesis of three subpopulations. According to this hypothesis, 11 of the accessions were admixed, Amaracus formed its own subpopulation, most of the Brevifilamentum samples formed another subpopulation and the remaining sections were mixed in the third subpopulation. Thus, our results stress that the hybridization behavior of Origanum has complicated its taxonomy and that both morphological and molecular data should be considered when proposing revisions to the genus. In addition, it is evident that more samples from each species must be examined to understand diversity and genetic relationships at the species and section levels in more depth. At the same time such work will aid in conservation efforts by providing methods for species identification. Thus, seeds of known specimens can be collected from nature for tissue culture, greenhouse, field and breeding applications. Such conservation will allow researchers to examine more samples and to devise a robust classification which prevents misidentification and mislabeling of seeds, plants, and culinary and medicinal products. Combination of all knowledge in the scientific reports and molecular data gained in this chapter for the genus Origanum L. strongly suggests that some of the oregano taxa are still under natural selection and this leads to a high genetic diversity among sections and within species. For a global coverage of speciation dynamics of oregano species, the fundamentals of hybridization behaviors must be investigated in further studies to discriminate possible reverse or forward speciation in recent events and the evolutionary predominancy of any ancestral species must be assured.

## CHAPTER 3

## MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF Origanum L. SPECIMENS FROM ARRI GENE BANK NATIONAL COLLECTION

### 3.1. Introduction

Türkiye has three topographical regions resulting in a diverse biogeography and rich flora containing many economically important, endemic, and widely distributed plant species. Conservation of wild and cultivated plant species is under governmental control with authorized Institutions. In Türkiye, there are two main Gene Banks: in İzmir and Ankara. There are also 57 herbaria in 36 provinces (Index Herbariorum online webserver, 2021). Worldwide there are ten in situ plant conservation fields for crop wild relatives and eight of them are in Türkiye (Zair et al., 2021). This is due to the geographic location of Türkiye as a center of origin for many plants. Crop wild relatives are also conserved "ex situ", however this preservation only covers $70 \%$ of species in gene banks meaning that the rest of the wild relatives are not conserved in gene banks. Thus, there is an urgent need to add under-represented species to gene banks (Zair et al., 2021). The responsibilities of gene banks are considerable-they must manage the collected plants, register them, cultivate and propagate them. In addition, the specimens should be defined not only for their morphological features but also should be investigated at the molecular level. The more genetic resources that are conserved, the more genetic richness can be achieved in both nature and cultivated plants.

In the last decades, molecular markers are frequently utilized to discriminate species by combining molecular evidence with known morphological characters. The morphological characterization of oregano species by Ietswaart (1980) is an invaluable review of the taxonomy of the genus Origanum L. As Ietswart found, natural hybridization is the main source of variation in the genus and it is important to investigate the sources of this variation by means of determining which specific hybridizations have occured. The high frequency of cross hybridization within the genus Origanum makes it
hard to estimate the speciation dynamics of oreganos. Moreover, hybridization behavior can change over time. For example, endemic oregano species and their intermediate hybrids generate adaptive behaviors to altering climate and land conditions. Chemotype variation may arise from "lineage specific gene expression" of enzymes such as terpene synthase which occupies a central role for monoterpene synthesis in the Lamiaceae (Lichman et al., 2020). Such microevolutionary changes in oregano chemical diversity can contribute to macroevolutionary pathways by affecting plant-pollinator interactions therby resulting in hybridization of oregano species.

A few previous studies have examined oregano species with molecular markers. The genetic diversity of 14 native $O$. onites clones collected from three provinces (Antalya, Muğla and İzmir) were investigated by Tonk et al. (2010) with 26 Random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers. Their analyses resulted in $75.3 \%$ marker polymorphism with a total of 412 alleles. According to Jaccard analysis, their clone population clustered into three subgroups and individuals clustered together according to their geographical locations. The low In previous chapter (Chapter 2), molecular genetic diversity and population structure of 46 oregano genotypes from 22 species were investigated with 25 SRAP combinations (Taşcıoğlu et al., 2018). As a result, a total of 325 polymorphic alleles were observed with a mean of 12.04 alleles. Jaccard analysis and Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) resulted in three main clusters while the best number of populations within germplasm ware assumed as $K=3$ and 8 with the Bayesian approach. As a result, individuals from section Prolaticorolla and Amaracus formed their exclusive subpopulations for $K=8$. In 2017, Mechergui et al. developed 13 new SSR markers and investigated genetic diversity of $O$. glandulosum (OGL) specimens from Tunisia districts and samples from the German Gene Bank [O. vulgare subsp. hirtum (USA) and $O$. vulgare subps. vulgare (Italy)] and observed high gene flow between OGL accessions but low gene flow was observed in samples from the gene bank (Mechergui et al., 2017). They hypothesized that populations with self-pollination are more strongly differentiated from each other than populations of cross-pollinated species. Recently, Alekseeva and colleagues (2021) screened a Bulgarian OVH population consisting of 239 individuals (eight populations) with 11 SSRs and eight SRAPs and found ten genetic clusters within the OVH population and concluded that SRAP markers are more informative than SSR markers. Their work resulted in $F_{S T}$ values between 0.0047 and 0.11 , reflecting a low and moderate gene flow among OVH taxa.

In the current work, accessions from the Aegean Agricultural Research Institute (AARI) in Izmir representing the Turkish oregano germplasm with 130 specimens belonging to eight taxa ( 11 species: ODU, OHA, OHY, OLE, OON, OSI, OSYBE, OVG, OVH, OVVI, and OVVU) from the genus Origanum were examined with 10 SRAP markers to gain knowledge about their genetic diversity and population structure. The determination of gene flow among these taxa will contribute to revision of the taxonomy of the sections Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Origanum within the genus Origanum which is currently based on morphological variation.

### 3.2. Plant Material

Accessions belonging to the Origanum collection at AARI (Table 3.1) consisted of 130 specimens that were collected from six region of Türkiye (Central Anatolia, Marmara, Mediterranean, East Anatolia, Black Sea and Aegean regions) between the years 1989 and 2015 (Figure 3.3). Seeds of these accessions were planted in the field at AARI in 2019 (Figure 3.1) with multiple replicates. Specimens were collected from mature leaves as bulks from multiple plants at the flower bud stage.


Figure 3.1. Plant material in the field at AARI.

Soil and other contaminants were discarded by washing the samples and specimens kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after homogenization with liquid nitrogen (Figure 3.2).


Figure 3.2. An image displaying the cleaning process of specimens collected from AARI field.
Figure 3.3. Collection locations of accessions used in this study that are conserved at AARI. Numbers in parentheses: The Darwin codes for
multiple samples of a certain taxa given in Table 3.1 that were used in this study from the same province.
Table 3.1. Plant material belonging to oregano species that are conserved at Aegean Agricultural Research Institute (AARI) and were used in the genetic diversity analyses in Chapter 3. ENo: code number for experimental; AARI No: code number of Origanum species for each specimen conserved at the AARI gene bank; Darwin Code: the code as an abbreviation of taxa names in dissimilarity analyses; S: Section; MAJ: Majorana (each section is followed by the symbol used in the Structure graph; ANA: Anatolicon; BRE: Brevifilamentum; ORG: Origanum; GR: geographic region; MT: Mediterranean region; BS: Black Sea region; A: Aegean region; M: Marmara region; CA: Central Anatolia region; EA: Eastern Anatolia region; E: Endemic species (indicated with plus; +); CY-GBRY: Collection Year - Gene Bank Registration Year; TR: Türkiye; minus (-): unknown/ no information.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Kastamonu, TR } & \text { BS } & 1990 \\ \text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Kastamonu, TR } & \text { BS } & 1990 \\ \text { Antalya, TR } & \text { MT } & 1990 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991 \\ \text { Muğla, TR } & \mathrm{A} & 1991\end{array}$
Discrete

Darwin
Code
ODU1
ODU2
ODU3
OON1
ODU4
ODU5
ODU6
OON2
OON3
OON4
OON5
OON6
OON7
OON8
OON9
TR 53149 Origanum dubium TR 53153 Origanum dubium R 53209 Origanum dubium TR 53194 Origanum onites TR 53162 Origanum dubium TR 53169 Origanum dubium TR 53244 Origanum dubium TR 54467 Origanum onites TR 54471 Origanum onites TR 54473 Origanum onites TR 54480 Origanum onites TR 54483 Origanum onites TR 54484 Origanum onites
 TR 54485 Origanum onites
ENo $\begin{aligned} & \text { AARI } \\ & \text { No }\end{aligned}$ $\qquad$
Species Name

| Muğla, TR | A | 1991 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Antalya, TR | MT 1991 |  |
| Antalya, TR | MT 1991 |  |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| Aydın, TR | A | 1991 |
| Aydın, TR | A | 1991 |
| Aydın, TR | A | 1991 |
| Denizli, TR | A | 1991 |
| Denizli, TR | A | 1991 |
| Manisa, TR | A | 1991 |
| Manisa, TR | A | 1991 |
| Çanakkale, TR | M | 1991 |
| Çanakkale, TR | M | 1991 |
| Çanakkale, TR | M | 1991 |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| - | - | - |
| Muğla, TR | A | 1989 |
| Muğla, TR | A | 1989 |
| Antalya, TR | MT | 1991 |
| Aydın, TR | A | 1991 |
| İzmir, TR | A | 1991 |
| Muğla, TR | A | 1991 |
| Manisa, TR | A | 1993 |
| (Cont | on the next |  |


| Wild | Fethiye |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wild | Göknük |
| Wild | Kekova |
| Wild | Tire |
| Wild | Tire |
| Wild | Tire |
| Wild | Kiraz |
| Wild | Köşk |
| Wild | Nazilli |
| Wild | Bozdoğan |
| Wild | Denizli |
| Wild | Denizli |
| Wild | Demirci |
| Wild | Akhisar |
| Wild | Ayvacık |
| Wild | Ayvacık |
| Wild | Ayvacık |
| Wild | Bergama |
|  | - |
| Wild | Köyceğiz |
| Wild | Yatağan |
| Wild | Demre |
| Wild | Nazilli |
| Wild | Menemen |
| Wild | Yenice |
| Wild | Manisa |



Table 3.1. (cont.)

| 16 | 16 | TR 54490 | Origanum onites |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17 | 17 | TR 54502 | Origanum onites |
| 18 | 18 | TR 54493 | Origanum onites |
| 19 | 19 | TR 54512 | Origanum onites |
| 20 | 20 | TR 54513 | Origanum onites |
| 21 | 21 | TR 54514 | Origanum onites |
| 22 | 22 | TR 54516 | Origanum onites |
| 23 | 23 | TR 54517 | Origanum onites |
| 24 | 24 | TR 54522 | Origanum onites |
| 25 | 25 | TR 54525 | Origanum onites |
| 26 | 26 | TR 54530 | Origanum onites |
| 27 | 27 | TR 54531 | Origanum onites |
| 28 | 28 | TR 54536 | Origanum onites |
| 29 | 29 | TR 54544 | Origanum onites |
| 30 | 30 | TR 54545 | Origanum onites |
| 31 | 31 | TR 54547 | Origanum onites |
| 32 | 32 | TR 54548 | Origanum onites |
| 33 | 33 | TR 54561 | Origanum onites |
| 34 | 34 | - | - |
| 35 | 35 | TR 54442 | Origanum onites |
| 36 | 36 | TR 54435 | Origanum dubium |
| 37 | 37 | TR 54497 | Origanum onites |
| 38 | 38 | TR 54520 | Origanum onites |
| 39 | 39 | TR 54563 | Origanum onites |
| 40 | 40 | TR 54476 | Origanum onites |
| 41 | 42 | TR 57124 | Origanum sipyleum |

Table 3．1．（cont．）

$$
1989
$$ A

A
M
M
M
M
A
MT
MT
-
A
MT
CA
Muğla，TR
Aydn，TR
Çanakkale，TR
Balıkesir，TR
Balıkesir，TR
Balıkesir，TR
Aydn，TR
Isparta，TR
Burdur，TR
Muğla，TR
Antalya，TR
Karaman，TR
Balıkesir，TR Balıesir，TR Çorum，TR Sivas，TR Antalya，TR Denizli，TR そにす 1995 $1993-$
1995市 응 （Cont．on the next page）

| 42 | 43 | TR 54445 | Origanum onites | OON33 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Köyceğiz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 44 | TR 54596 | Origanum onites | OON34 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Köşk |
| 44 | 45 | TR 54549 | Origanum onites | OON35 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Ayvacık |
| 45 | 46 | TR 54552 | Origanum onites | OON36 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Havran |
| 46 | 47 | TR 54554 | Origanum onites | OON37 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Burhaniye |
| 47 | 48 | TR 54557 | Origanum onites | OON38 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Ayvalık |
| 48 | 49 | TR 54562 | Origanum onites | OON39 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Söke |
| 49 | 50 | TR 54595 | Origanum onites | OON40 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Sütçüler |
| 50 | 51 | TR 54594 | Origanum onites | OON41 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Bucak |
| 51 | 52 | TR 54579 | Origanum onites | OON42 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | － |
| 52 | 53 | TR 54441 | Origanum vulgare | OVVU1 | $O R G-\gamma$ | － | Wild | Köyceğiz |
| 53 | 54 | TR 58558 | Origanum dubium | ODU8 | $M A J-\phi$ | ＋ | Wild | Kumluca |
| 54 | 56 | TR 60214 | Origanum leptocladum | OLE1 | $B R E-\chi$ | ＋ | Wild | Ermenek |
| 55 | 57 | TR 60424 | Origanum syriacum subsp．bevanii | OSYBE1 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Savastepe |
| 56 | 58 | TR 60427 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI2 | $A N A-\beta$ | ＋ | Wild | Savastepe |
| 57 | 59 | TR 60516 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI3 | $A N A-\beta$ | ＋ | Wild | İskilip |
| 58 | 60 | TR 60561 | Origanum haussknechtii | OHA1 | $B R E-\chi$ | ＋ | Wild | Divriği |
| 59 | 61 | TR 61058 | Origanum dubium | ODU9 | $M A J-\phi$ | － | Wild | Taşeli |
| 60 | 62 | TR 61104 | Origanum hypericifolium | OHY1 | $A N A-\beta$ | ＋ | Wild | Beyağaç |

Table 3.1. (cont.)


| 61 | 63 | TR 69502 | Origanum onites | OON43 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | Anamur | İçel, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 1996- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | 64 | TR 73007 | Origanum dubium | ODU10 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | Gündoğmuş | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| 63 | 65 | TR 73014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Origanum } \\ & \text { subsp. viride } \end{aligned} \quad \text { vulgare }$ | OVVI1 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Alanya | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| 64 | 66 | TR 73548 | Origanum vulgare | OVVU2 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Bozüyük | Bilecik, TR | M | 2005 |
| 65 | 67 | TR 73563 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI4 | ANA - $\beta$ | + | Wild | Eskigediz | Kütahya, TR | A | 2005 |
| 66 | 68 | TR 76974 | Origanum syriacum subsp. bevanii | OSYBE2 | MAJ - $\phi$ | - | Wild | Karaisalı | Adana, TR | MT | 2007 |
| 67 | 69 | TR 76979 | Origanum syriacum subsp. bevanii | OSYBE3 | MAJ - $\phi$ | - | Wild | Topçalı | Kahramanmaraş, TR | MT | 2007 |
| 68 | 70 | TR 76983 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI5 | ANA - $\beta$ | + | Wild | Kırkağaç | Manisa, TR | A | 2007 |
| 69 | 71 | TR 76984 | Origanum onites | OON44 | MAJ- $\phi$ | - | Wild | Kırkağaç | Manisa, TR | A | 2007 |
| 70 | 72 | TR 76985 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI6 | ANA - $\beta$ | + | Wild | Spil | Manisa, TR | A | 2007 |
| 71 | 73 | TR 76988 | Origanum onites | OON45 | MAJ - $\phi$ | - | Wild | Elmalı | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| 72 | 74 | TR 76990 | Origanum syriacum subsp. bevanii | OSYBE4 | MAJ - $\phi$ | - | Wild | Elmalı | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| 73 | 75 | - | - | UNK2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 74 | 76 | - | - | UNK3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 75 | 77 | TR 76997 | Origanum vulgare | OVVU3 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Alanya | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| 76 | 78 | TR 76999 | Origanum vulgare subsp. hirtum | OVH1 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Gazipaşa | Antalya, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |
| 77 | 79 | - | - | UNK4 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
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Table 3.1. (cont.)

| 119 | 203 | TR 76976 | subsp. hirtum <br> $\begin{aligned} & \text { Origanum } \\ & \text { subsp. hirtum }\end{aligned}$ vulgare | OVH7 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Kahramanmaraş | Kahramanmaraş, TR | MT | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120 | 204 | TR 76977 | Origanum vulgare subsp. hirtum | OVH8 | $O R G-\gamma$ | - | Wild | Bulanık | Kahramanmaraş, TR | MT | 2007 |
| 121 | 205 | TR 76978 | Origanum syriacum subsp. bevanii | OSYBE7 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | Topçalı | Kahramanmaraş, TR | MT | 2007 |
| 122 | 206 | TR 64495 | Origanum dubium | ODU13 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | İçel | İçel, TR | MT | 1996 |
| 123 | 207 | - | - | UNK26 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 124 | 208 | TR 64641 | Origanum dubium | ODU14 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | Tarsus | İçel, TR | MT | 1996 |
| 125 | 211 | - | - | UNK27 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 126 | 212 | - | - | UNK28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 127 | 213 | - | - | UNK29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 128 | 215 | - | - | UNK30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 129 | 216 | TR 76824 | Origanum sipyleum | OSI9 | $A N A-\beta$ | + | Wild | Gediz | Kütahya, TR | A | 2007 |
| 130 | 217 | TR 69501 | Origanum onites | OON53 | $M A J-\phi$ | - | Wild | Silifke | İçel, TR | MT | $\begin{aligned} & 1996- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ |

### 3.3. Methods

### 3.3.1. DNA extraction

Mature leaves of oregano specimens were used for genomic DNA isolation. The DNA extraction was conducted according the CTAB protocol with some modifications for additional purification steps (Doyle and Doyle, 1987; Costa and Roberts, 2014). DNA concentration and quality were measured by SkanIt software for Multiscan Go 3.2 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific). Isolated DNA stocks were diluted ( $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) and loaded on 0.8 \% agarose gel ( 1 X TAE Buffer), ethidium bromide was used to stain DNA and samples were visualized under UV light.

### 3.3.2. SRAP analyses

A total of 10 SRAP primer combinations (Li and Quiros, 2001) with the highest GD from Chapter 2 were selected to screen the AARI collection (Table 3.2).

Table 3.2. SRAP primer pairs used in this study

| Pair Code | Forward | Sequence of Forward (5' to 3') | Reverse | Sequence of Reverse (5' to 3') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | me2 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGAGC | em3 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGAC |
| 2 |  |  | em8 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAGC |
| 3 |  |  | em11 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTCG |
| 4 |  |  | em15 | GACTGCGTACGAATTCGT |
| 5 |  |  | em2 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGC |
| 6 | me3 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGAAT | em3 | GACTGCGTACGAATTGAC |
| 7 |  |  | em4 | GACTGCGTACGAATTTGA |
| 8 |  |  | em5 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAC |
| 9 | me4 | TGAGTCCAAACCGGACC | em1 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAAT |
| 10 |  |  | em8 | GACTGCGTACGAATTAGC |

SRAP markers amplified PCR fragments in $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ final volume with 1 X Reaction Buffer (with BSA), $3 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.125 \mathrm{mM}$ deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), 1 U Taq DNA polymerase, "me" (forward) and "em" (reverse) primers were combined and added as 2 pmol and template DNA was 50 ng . For PCR reactions, an initial denaturation was set at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , then amplification steps were applied: a) 5 cycles of $\left[94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ minute, $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1$ minute, $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1$ minute] followed by b) 35
cycles of $\left[94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1\right.$ minute, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1$ minute, $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-1$ minute) with final elongation at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-10$ minutes. Reaction products were loaded on $2 \%$ agarose gel ( $1 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{TAE} \mathrm{Buffer)}$, stained with ethidium bromide and run at 110 V for 2.5 hours and visualized under UV light with the BioRad (Universal Hood II) visualization instrument.

### 3.3.3. Diversity and population structure analyses

The agarose gel images were used to assess the genetic diversity of the AARI population according to the presence " 1 " or absence " 0 " of each band for each SRAP marker. Thus, markers were scored dominantly. Genetic Diversity (GD) was calculated with the online software, GDdom (Abuzayed et al., 2016).

The missing data were coded as " 9 ", and OON20, OSI1, OON35, OSI3, OON44, OON45, OVH1, OVH3, ODU12, UNK17, and UNK28 samples with high missing data were discarded from Clustering Anaysis and Principle Coordinate Analysis (PCoA). A Neighbor Joining (NJ) Dendrogram was constructed for the accessions using data for the 10 SRAP markers with DARwin 6.0 .8 software (Perrier and Jacquemoud, 2006) with Dice Coefficient. Dendrograms were colored according to taxon names.

A PCoA factorial analysis was performed in DARwin 6.0.8 software to create five axes to plot the relationship among accessions in a Euclidian spatial organization (Perrier and Jacquemound, 2006).

The predominant taxon, OON, was used to create a separate dendrogram and PCoA for Darwin software. Units OON1, OON20 and OON44 were not included in this clustering analysis due to deficient data.

GenAlEx 6.5 plugin was used to create binary and random binary matrices for the oregano accessions at both the taxon and section levels (Peakall and Smouse, 2006, 2012). The number of effective alleles (Ne), Shannon's Index ( $I$ ), the mean diversity ( $h$ ) and genetic divergence within and between the six taxa and unknown accessions having more than one individual ( $O$. dubium, ODU; O. onites, OON; O. sipyleum, OSI; $O$. syriacum subsp. bevanii, OSYBE; O. vulgare subsp. hirtum, OVH; O. vulgare subsp. vulgare, OVVU) were investigated with PhiPT analysis (analogous to $F_{S T}$ analysis). PhiPT $<0.15$ indicated significant gene flow between sections and taxon (Frankham et al., 2002). "9999" pairwise permutations were used and comparisons with $P$ values below 0.001 were assumed to be statistically significant. AMOVA was estimated to find the
genetic diversity among sections/taxa for those accessions with more than one individual in GenAlEx 6.5 .

Nei's genetic distances (NGD) and identities (NGI) were determined according to pairwise correlation in GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse, 2006). Gene flow was measured by calculation of the number of migrants per generation ( Nm ) using the formula given in Chapter 2, section 2.3.4 (Wood and Gardner, 2007).

Population structure was evaluated with Bayesian inference with STRUCTURE 2.3.4 software (Pritchard et al., 2000). The subpopulations ( $K$ ) were tested with $K$ from 1 to 10 groups. Each iteration was made 10 times for each $K$. The initial burn in replications $(50,000)$ were followed by 300,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) replications. The most suitable number of $K$ was determined using Structure Harvester (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012). Identity thresholds, 0.6 and 0.7 , were set for understanding the effect of threshold value on admixed individuals ( $<0.6$ and $<0.7$ ) to determine the best representative of the population (Scutari and Denis, 2014).

The AARI population was analyzed with various numbers of subpopulations $(K)$ : i) $K=2$ for which the highest likelihood value was observed, ii) $K=3$ for the number of clusters indicated by the NJ dendrogram, iii) $K=4$ because the population consisted of accessions from four sections (Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana and Origanum), iv) $K=5$ to represent the four main taxa of sections in the germplasm (ANA, BRE, MAJ, $O R G$ ) and considering unknown (UNK) accessions separately, and v) $K=8$ because there are unknown individuals that might represent any one of the eight sections that naturally grow in Türkiye.

To investigate the relationship between genetic diversity and the collection locations, i) specimens from the same region and ii) specimens from the same province were assumed to be populations. With this aim, gene flow (PhiPT) and number of migrants (Nm) were calculated for the five regions and 13 provinces with more than one individual via GenAlEx 6.51 plugin (Smouse et al., 2017). Specimens with a high number of missing values and admixed individuals (according to $K=4$ ) were excluded from the analyses to avoid any conflict. Results for populations with at least four individuals were discussed. The highest number of individuals per populations were 39 for Aegean region and 37 for Mediterranean region, followed by eleven for Marmara region. Among populations at the province level, the highest number of individuals was observed for Antalya province followed by Muğla.

### 3.4. Results

### 3.4.1. Constitution of AARI germplasm collection

The Origanum species germplasm at AARI includes accessions of unknown species from unknown collection locations and accessions of known species from the sections Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana, Origanum. These samples were collected from 20 locations in six geographic regions of Türkiye. Among regions, Aegean and Mediterranean regions were the specimen-rich collection areas, whereas Eastern Anatolian region had only one province representing the region's oregano diversity.

Section Majorana was represented by the most material from with 74 accessions ( $57 \%$ of the total) from 14 provinces. The next largest group of accessions (30) were of unknown species ( $27 \%$ ). The remaining material included 14, 10, and 2 individuals belonging to sections Origanum (11\%) from six provinces, Anatolicon (8\%) from five provinces and Brevifilamentum (1.5\%) from two provinces, respectively. Within section Majorana, ODU, OON, and OSYBE accounted for 19, 72, and 9\% of the total Majorana accessions. In section Anatolicon, species OHY and OSI represented 10 and $90 \%$ of the accessions. Two species, OHY and OLE, equally contributed to section Brevifilamentum (50\%). In section Origanum, OVG, OVH, OVVU, and OVVI represented 7, 57, 29, and $7 \%$ of the accessions, respectively.

The distribution of AARI registered oregano taxa by province is shown in Table 3.3. Eleven province were represented by a single taxon including OSYBE - Adana/MT, OVVU - Bilecik/M, OSI - Çorum/BS, OVG - Erzincan/EA, OON - Çanakkale/M, OLE - Karaman/CA, OHA, and ODU in the AARI gene bank. Antalya was the richest province in terms of taxa with ODU, OON, OSYBE, OVH, OVVI, and OVVU. The number of collection locations per taxon was most diverse for OON with eleven provinces (Table 3.4). In contrast, the species OHY, OVG, OLE, OHA, and OVVI were registered from only one province.

Table 3.3. The distribution of taxa in each province. R: region, A: Aegean, BS: Black Sea, CA: Central Anatolia, EA: Eastern Anatolia, M: Marmara, MT: Mediterranean, PerCov: Coverage Percent (\%) of the species in that province.

| R | Province | Taxa | PerCov (\%) | R | Province | Taxa | PerCov (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Aydın | OON | 100 | EA | Erzincan | OVG | 100 |
|  | Denizli | OHY | 25 | M | Balıkesir | OON | 66 |
|  |  | OON | 50 |  |  | OSI | 17 |
|  |  | OSI | 25 |  |  | OSYBE | 17 |
|  |  | ODU | 12.5 |  | Bilecik | OVVU | 100 |
|  | İzmir | OON | 75 |  | Çanakkale | OON | 100 |
|  |  | OVVU | 12.5 | MT | Adana | OSYBE | 100 |
|  | Kütahya | OSI | 100 |  | Antalya | ODU | 37 |
|  | Manisa | OON | 50 |  |  | OON | 42 |
|  | Manisa | OSI | 50 |  |  | OSYBE | 5.3 |
|  | Muğla | ODU | 6.6 |  |  | OVH | 5.3 |
|  |  | OON | 86.7 |  |  | OVVI | 5.3 |
|  |  | OVVU | 6.6 |  |  | OVVU | 5.3 |
| BS | Çorum | OSI | 100 |  | Burdur | ODU | 50 |
|  | Kastamonu | ODU | 100 |  |  | OON | 50 |
| $\mathrm{CA}$ | Karaman | OLE | 100 |  | Isparta | OON | 100 |
|  | Sivas | OHA | 100 |  | İçel | ODU | 33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | OON | 67 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Kahramanmaraş | OSYBE | 36.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | OVH | 63.7 |

Among twenty locations, thirteen of the provinces (Antalya, Aydın, Balıkesir, Burdur, Çanakkale, Denizli, İçel, İzmir, Kahramanmaraş, Kastamonu, Kütahya, Manisa, and Muğla) were represented by more than one accession which made them suitable for their use as a population for AMOVA analyses. Antalya was the richest province in terms of number of taxa (ODU, OON, OSYBE, OVH, OVVI, OVVU) and number of individuals (18) from two sections, Majorana and Origanum (Table 3.3, Table 3.4). Aydın was represented by six OON accessions from section Majorana. Balıkesir had six specimens from section Anatolicon (OSI taxon) and section Majorana (OON and OSYBE taxa). Çanakkale only had four specimens from OON from section Majorana. İçel was represented by six individuals of ODU and OON from section Majorana. İzmir had eight individuals from two sections, Majorana (ODU and OON) and Origanum (OVVU). Kahramanmaraş had nine accessions from section Majorana (OSYBE) and Origanum (OVH). Manisa had four specimens collected from sections Anatolicon (OSI)
and Majorana (OON). Muğla province had 15 accessions representing sections Majorana (ODU and OON) and Origanum (OVVU). Denizli and Kütahya provinces had only three accessions from sections Anatolicon and Majorana; while Burdur and Kastamonu provinces had only two individuals from section Majorana. Provinces having fewer then four accessions are not discussed in the results.

Table 3.4. The distribution of each taxon over provinces. Ns: Number of specimens, R: region, PerLoc: distribution of species per location in percentage (\%) [SD: $\pm 5$ in total per Species]. A: Aegean, BS: Black Sea, CA: Central Anatolia, EA: Eastern Anatolia, M: Marmara, MT: Mediterranean, and UNK: Unknown.

| Taxa | Ns | R | Province | PerLoc (\%) | Taxa | Ns | R | Province | PerLoc <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODU | 1 | A | İzmir | 7 | OSI | 3 | A | Kütahya | 33 |
|  | 1 | A | Muğla | 7 |  | 1 | A | Denizli | 11 |
|  | 2 | BS | Kastamonu | 14 |  | 3 | A | Manisa | 33 |
|  | 1 | MT | Burdur <br> İçel <br> Antalya | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 14 \\ & 50 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 1 | BSM | Çorum | 11 |
|  | 2 | MT |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |
|  | 7 | MT |  |  | OSYBE | 1 <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> 4 | M <br> MT <br> MT <br> MT | Balıkesir | 14 |
| OHA | 1 | CA | Sivas | 100 |  |  |  | Adana | 14 |
| OHY | 1 | A | Denizli | 100 |  |  |  | Antalya | 14 |
| OLE | 1 | CA | Karaman | 100 |  |  |  | Kahramanmaras | 57 |
| OON | 2 | A | Denizli Aydın <br> Manisa | 4 |  | 1 | EA | Erzincan | 100 |
|  | 6 | A |  | 116 | OVG | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MT } \\ & \text { MT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Antalya <br> Kahramanmaraş | 12 |
|  | 3 | A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |
|  | 13 | A |  | 6 | OVVI | 1 | MT | Antalya | 100 |
|  |  |  | Muğla | 24 | OVvU | , | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { MT } \\ & \text { A } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Bilecik <br> Antalya <br> İzmir <br> Muğla | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | A | İzmir | 11 | UNK | 30 | UNK | Unknown | 100 |
|  | 1 | MT | Isparta | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | MT | Burdur | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | M | Çanakkale | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | M | Balkesir | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | MT | İçel | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | MT | Antalya | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | UNK | Unknown | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ : | 130 |

### 3.4.2. SRAP analyses

The oregano germplasm used in this research consisted of 130 genotypes with 10, 2, 74 and 14 individuals from four sections; Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana and

Origanum, respectively. There were also an additional 30 unknown accessions. Screening of this material with ten SRAP marker pairs resulted in 148 alleles. The number of polymorphic alleles per marker ranged from 3 to 35 with a mean of 14.8. Allele frequencies ranged from 0.32 to 0.86 with a mean of 0.64 . The highest polymorphism was observed for the me3-em2 primer pair with a GD of 0.42 (Figure 3.4), while the least polymorphic marker pair was me4-em1 with a GD of 0.22 (Table 3.5).


Figure 3.4. Allelic pattern of the most polymorphic SRAP marker pair (me3-em2) for AARI Origanum L. material. M: Marker/ 50 bp ladder.

Table 3.5. Allele frequencies and genetic diversity values of AARI oregano germplasm for SRAP markers. Number of alleles $(N a)$, allele frequency (af), gene diversity (GD) value with standard deviation (SD) per SRAP marker, and average GD value.

| SRAP Marker | $\boldsymbol{N a}$ | af | Mean $\mathbf{G D} \pm \mathbf{S D}$ | Average GD |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| me3-em2 | 11 | 0.63 | $0.42 \pm 0.09$ | 0.33 |
| me3-em5 | 20 | 0.32 | $0.40 \pm 0.08$ |  |
| me2-em15 | 9 | 0.79 | $0.36 \pm 0.11$ |  |
| me2-em3 | 35 | 0.69 | $0.34 \pm 0.19$ |  |
| me3-em4 | 3 | 0.67 | $0.34 \pm 0.13$ |  |
| me2-em8 | 18 | 0.44 | $0.32 \pm 0.15$ |  |
| me3-em3 | 16 | 0.67 | $0.31 \pm 0.13$ |  |
| me4-em8 | 9 | 0.62 | $0.30 \pm 0.12$ |  |
| me2-em11 | 17 | 0.86 | $0.28 \pm 0.11$ |  |
| me4-em1 | 10 | 0.75 | $0.22 \pm 0.15$ |  |

In AMOVA analysis, within section diversity was high (96\%) and the remaining diversity ( $4 \%$ ) was between sections (Table 3.6). At the taxon level, diversity within oregano taxa was $95 \%$ in AMOVA analysis (Figure 3.5, Table 3.7). Taxon and section level AMOVA results are given in Table 3.6.
a

b Percentages of Molecular Variance at Taxon Level


Figure 3.5. AMOVA results showing among and within population diversity at section and taxon levels

Table 3.6. AMOVA results for Turkish Origanum collection at AARI at section level.

| Section Level | df | SS | MS | Est. <br> Var. | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Among Pops | 4 | 191.609 | 47.902 | 1.046 | $4 \%$ |
| Within Pops | 125 | 3416.383 | 27.331 | 27.331 | $96 \%$ |
| Total | 129 | 3607.992 |  | 28.377 | $100 \%$ |

Definitions are as follows: $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom, $\mathrm{SS}=$ sum of squares, $\mathrm{MS}=$ mean of squares, Est.Var.=estimated variance, $\%=$ percentage of variation.

Table 3.7. AMOVA results for Turkish Origanum collection at AARI at taxon level.

| Source | df | SS | MS | Est. Var. | \% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Among Pops | 5 | 225.850 | 45.170 | 1.418 | $5 \%$ |
| Within Pops | 89 | 2475.960 | 27.820 | 27.820 | $95 \%$ |
| Total | 94 | 2701.811 |  | 29.238 | $100 \%$ |

Definitions are as follows: $\mathrm{df}=$ degrees of freedom, $\mathrm{SS}=$ sum of squares, $\mathrm{MS}=$ mean of squares, Est. Var.=estimated variance, $\%=$ percentage of variation.

At the section level, genetic diversity ( $h$ ) was approximately 0.48 for each section. Number of effective alleles ranged between 1.92 and $1.94(\mathrm{Ne})$. The Shannon Index ( $I$ ) values were all 0.67 for the five subpopulations of the sections (data not shown). NGI
varied between 0.95 and 0.96 among the sections indicating that the sections were genetically close to each other at the molecular level (Table 3.8).

Table 3.8. Section level Nei's genetic distance ( $N G D$ ) values shown below the diagonal vs. Nei's genetic identity (NGI) values given above the diagonal.

| Nei's Genetic Distance <br> Nei's Genetic Identity | vs. |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anatolicon | Brevifilamentum | Majorana | Origanum | Unknown |  |
| Anatolicon | - | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Brevifilamentum | 0.04 | - | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 |
| Majorana | 0.04 | 0.04 | - | 0.96 | 0.95 |
| Origanum | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | - | 0.96 |
| Unknown | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.04 | - |

The highest PhiPT value ( $F_{S T}$ analogue) was 0.05 for section pairs: Origanum Majorana and Unknown species - section Origanum. The lowest PhiPT value was zero between section pairs: Brevifilamentum - Anatolicon, Majorana - Brevifilamentum, and Origanum - Brevifilamentum, indicating high genetic similarity between these sections. The gene flow ( Nm ) between sections ranged from 4.75 to 24.75 with the highest observed between Brevifilamentum and the unknown accessions (Table 3.9). The lowest gene flow between sections was 4.75 between: a) Majorana and Origanum and b) Majorana and the unknown accessions.

Table 3.9. Section level PhiPT values below diagonal and $N m$ values above diagonal.
PhiPT values vs. Nm Values

| at Section Level | Anatolicon | Brevifilamentum | Majorana | Origanum | Unknown |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Anatolicon | - | 0.00 | 8.07 | 24.75 | 6.00 |
| Brevifilamentum | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 24.75 |
| Majorana | 0.03 | 0.00 | - | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Origanum | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | - | 12.25 |
| Unknown | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | - |

At the taxon level, mean diversity ( $h$ ) was $47 \%$ and the number of effective alleles $(\mathrm{Ne})$ ranged between 1.87 and 1.99 with a mean value of 1.89 . Shannon Index ( $I$ ) values ranged between 0.65 and 0.67 with a mean of 0.66 for taxon pairs (data not shown). The NGI values were between 0.93 and 0.95 indicating high genetic similarity between all taxa. The highest NGI was observed between taxon pairs: ODU - OSYBE, OSI - OVVU, and OSYBE - OVVU (Table 3.10).

Table 3.10.Nei's genetic distances (NGD) (below diagonal) and Nei's genetic identities (NGI) between taxon pairs.

| Nei's Genetic Distance vs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nei's Genetic Identity | ODU | OON | OSI | OSYBE | OVH | OVVU |
| ODU | - | 0.93 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.94 | 0.93 |
| OON | 0.08 | - | 0.93 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| OSI | 0.07 | 0.08 | - | 0.94 | 0.93 | 0.95 |
| OSYBE | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 | - | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| OVH | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.06 | - | 0.94 |
| OVVU | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.06 | - |

The highest PhiPT value at the taxon level was 0.10 between taxa OVH and OON (0.10). The lowest PhiPT value was calculated as zero between taxon pairs: OVVU ODU, OVVU - OSI, and OVVU - OVH. The highest $N m$ value was 24.75 for taxon pairs: ODU - OSI, ODU - OSYBE, OSI - OVH, and OSYBE - OVVU within the germplasm. The lowest gene flow was zero between taxon pairs: ODU - OVVU and OSI - OVVU, respectively (Table 3.11).

Table 3.11. PhiPT (below diagonal) and $N m$ (above diagonal) values among taxa.

| PhiPT values vs. $\boldsymbol{N m}$ Values <br> at Taxon Level | ODU | OON | OSI | OSYBE | OVH | OVVU |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ODU | - | 6.00 | 24.75 | 24.75 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| OON | 0.04 | - | 3.92 | 3.92 | 2.25 | 6.00 |
| OSI | 0.01 | 0.06 | - | 12.25 | 24.75 | 0.00 |
| OSYBE | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | - | 3.92 | 24.75 |
| OVH | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.06 | - | 0.00 |
| OVVU | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | - |

At the regional level, the within population diversity among the five regions of Türkiye (Aegean, Black Sea, Central Anatolia, Marmara, and Mediterranean) was 98\% (Figure 3.6). Genetic diversity ( $h$ ) was approximately 0.47 for each region. Number of effective alleles ranged between 1.89 and $1.92(\mathrm{Ne})$. The Shannon Index ( $I$ ) values were 0.67 for almost all regional populations (data not shown). NGI varied between 0.94 and 0.96 among the regions indicating that the populations within regional restricted areas were genetically close to each other at the molecular level (Table 3.12).
a Percentages of Molecular Variance

b Percentages of Molecular Variance at Province Level


Figure 3.6. AMOVA results showing among and within population diversity for geographical regions for AARI collection at a) region and b) province level

Table 3.12.Nei's genetic distances (NGD) (below diagonal) and Nei's genetic identities (NGI) between regional population pairs.

| Nei's Genetic Distance vs. <br> Nei's Genetic Identity | Aegean | Black Sea | Central Anatolia | Marmara | Mediterranean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aegean | - | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.94 |
| Black Sea | 0.06 | - | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 |
| Central Anatolia | 0.06 | 0.05 | - | 0.94 | 0.95 |
| Marmara | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | - | 0.95 |
| Mediterranean | 0.06 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.06 | - |

Although the highest and lowest PhiPT values were for pairs including the Black Sea and Central Anatolia regions, conclusions about their gene flow cannot be made due to the low number of individuals (2) in these populations. For the remaining regions, the highest PhiPT was between the Mediterranean and Aegean regions reflecting low gene flow due to their geographical separation (Table 3.13). The lowest PhiPT value was between the Marmara and Aegean regions which are geographically adjacent to each other allowing higher gene flow. In addition, the highest $N m$ value was between Marmara and Mediterranean regions (10.99). This relatively high number of migrants was followed by the Aegean - Mediterranean comparison (7.70). The lowest number of migrants was observed between the Aegean and Marmara regions which reflects their geographical separation.

Table 3.13. PhiPT (below diagonal) and $N m$ (above diagonal) values among regions.

| PhiPT values vs. $\mathbf{N m}$ <br> values at regional level | Aegean | Black Sea | Central Anatolia | Marmara | Mediterranean |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Aegean | - | 2.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.70 |
| Black Sea | 0.10 | - | 0.00 | 2.36 | 6.35 |
| Central Anatolia | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Marmara | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | - | 10.99 |
| Mediterranean | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.02 | - |

At the province level, AMOVA indicated that $96 \%$ of the molecular variance was within provinces while only $4 \%$ was among provinces (Figure 3.6). Genetic diversity ( $h$ ) was between 0.40 and 0.43 for each province. Number of effective alleles ranged between 1.74 and $1.78(\mathrm{Ne})$. The Shannon Index ( $I$ ) values were between 0.58 and 0.62 for all populations (data not shown). NGI varied between 0.82 and 0.89 among the provinces. Among these, the highest $N G I$ was observed between five pairs of provinces: Aydın Çanakkale, Balıkesir - Çanakkale, İçel - İzmir, İçel - Kahramanmaraş, and Muğla Çanakkale. Thus populations within the same regional areas were genetically close to each other at the molecular level (Table 3.14). There were also some geographically distance provinces which had high NGI. These high genetic identity values might be due to the predominant number of OON accessions that were collected from different provinces. For instance, Çanakkale had four OON accessions and Aydın had six OON accessions and shared an NGI of 0.88 . The most distant populations were observed for Balıkesir and Antalya from the Marmara and Mediterranean regions with a great geographical distance. In addition, specimens from Kahramanmaraş - Balıkesir, Manisa - Antalya, and Manisa - Çanakkale was also found to be distant to each other agreeing with the location of these provinces in different geographical regions of Türkiye. The highest PhiPT value was 0.18 for province pairs: Kahramanmaraş - Balıkesir and Kahramanmaraş - Muğla. The lowest PhiPT values (zero) indicating high gene flow were observed between Balıkesir - Aydın, Çanakkale - Aydın, İzmir - Aydın, İzmir Çanakkale, Manisa - Çanakkale, Muğla - Çanakkale, İçel - Kahramanmaraş, Manisa İzmir, and Muğla - İzmir (Table 3.15). The highest Nm value was observed between Manisa - Aydın provinces (1201.75). The lowest number of migrants ( Nm ) was observed mostly between provinces from different geographic regions.
Table 3.14. Nei's genetic distances (NGD) (below diagonal) and Nei's genetic identities (NGI) between province pairs.

| Nei's Genetic <br> Distance vs. Nei's <br> Genetic Identity | Antalya | Aydın | Balıkesir | Burdur | Çanakkale | Denizli | İçel | İzmir | Kahramanmaras | Kastamonu | Kütahya | Manisa | Muğla |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Antalya | - | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.82 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
| Aydın | 0.15 | - | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.85 |
| Balıkesir | 0.18 | 0.14 | - | 0.82 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Burdur | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | - | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.84 |
| Çanakkale | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | - | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 0.87 | 0.88 |  |  |  |
| Denizli | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.14 | - | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 |
| İçel | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.11 | - | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.86 | 0.87 |
| İzmir | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.13 | - | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.86 |
| Kahramanmaras | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.15 | - | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.86 |
| Kastamonu | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.16 | - | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Kütahya | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | - | 0.85 | 0.87 |
| Manisa | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.16 | - | 0.85 |
| Muğla | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.16 | - |

Table 3.15. PhiPT (below diagonal) and Nm (above diagonal) values for provinces.

| PhiPT values vs. Nm values at province level | Antalya | Aydın | Balıkesir | Burdur | Çanakkale | Denizli | İçel | İzmir | Kahramanmaras | Kastamonu | Kütahya | Manisa | Muğla |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antalya | - | 43.27 | 9.18 | 106.37 | 37.18 | 0.00 | 5.87 | 20.48 | 3.28 | 2.94 | 9.42 | 14.51 | 7.32 |
| Aydın | 0.01 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.75 | 3.18 | 0.00 | 1.28 | 2.42 | 4.16 | 1201.75 | 38.52 |
| Balıkesir | 0.03 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 11.90 | 4.36 | 3.13 | 14.70 | 1.14 | 1.51 | 1.78 | 7.57 | 3.71 |
| Burdur | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 5.05 | 0.00 | 14.77 | 1.74 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.95 |
| Çanakkale | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 6.04 | 0.00 | 1.66 | 5.14 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Denizli | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | - | 28.16 | 27.68 | 2.48 | 4.40 | 3.08 | 4.66 | 24.64 |
| İçel | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | - | 3.08 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17.63 | 2.09 |
| İzmir | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.08 | - | 1.83 | 3.04 | 3.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Kahramanmaras | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.12 | - | 2.41 | 56.45 | 1.86 | 1.17 |
| Kastamonu | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.09 | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.26 |
| Kütahya | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 2.11 |
| Manisa | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | 7.07 |
| Muğla | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.03 | - |

### 3.4.3. Dissimilarity analyses

### 3.4.3.1. Dendrogram analysis

Neighbor Joining (NJ) dendrogram dissimilarities were calculated with Dice coefficient and resulted in a mean of $52 \%$ diversity in the germplasm (Figure 3.7). The dissimilarity values ranged between a minimum and maximum of 0.11 and 0.96 with a $94 \%$ cophenetic $r$ value indicating that the dendrogram was a very good fit to the distance matrix data.

The NJ dendrogram had three main clusters: A, B and C (Figures 3.8, 3.9, and 3.10). Accessions from $O$. onites species $(\mathrm{OON})$, which is the predominant taxon of the collection, were spread throughout all clusters. In Figure 3.6, the predominancy of specimens from the Mediterranean region was observed in cluster A $(72 \%)$; while the placement of most accessions from the Aegean region was observed in cluster B (45\%). The third, smallest cluster contained mostly accessions from the Aegean (15\%) together with single accessions from the Mediterranean (3\%) and Marmara regions (7\%).

Cluster A was the most accession rich group in the dendrogram with 63 accessions and distribution per taxon as follows: OON - 22 individuals, $\mathrm{ODU}-10$, OSYBE $-4, \mathrm{OVH}-4, \mathrm{OHY}-1, \mathrm{OLE}-1, \mathrm{OVVI}-1$, and OVVU -1 , including 19 unknown specimens (Figure 3.7). All four sections (ANA, BRE, MAJ, and ORG) and 14 provinces in five regions in Türkiye were included in cluster A.

Cluster B had a total number of 42 accessions, distributed as follows: $\mathrm{OON}-19$, OSI $-4, \mathrm{OSYBE}-3, \mathrm{OVVU}-3, \mathrm{ODU}-2, \mathrm{OVH}-2, \mathrm{OHA}-1$, and $\mathrm{OVG}-1$, including seven unknown specimens (Figure 3.8). These indicated that Cluster B was composed of individuals from unknown species and all four sections; $A N A, B R E, M A J$ and $O R G$; from 15 provinces in six regions of Türkiye.

Cluster C contained nine accessions ( $\mathrm{ODU}-1$; $\mathrm{OON}-7$; in addition to one unknown specimen) in section $M A J$ from five province in three regions: $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{M}$, and MT (Figure 3.9).

Figure 3.7. The neighbor joining (NJ) dendrogram colored according to geographic regions for AARI collection from sections Anatolicon,
Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Origanum conserved at AARI Institute. Samples are color coded according to regional status:
red, Mediterranean region; blue, Aegean region; green, Marmara region, yellow, Black Sea region; dark blue: Central Anatolia region, and khaki, Eastern Anatolia region. Samples with unkown origin are in black.

### 3.4.3.1.1.Clustering by province/region

There was some clustering according to province/region in the dendrogram. Cluster A.1.1.1.1 contained almost exclusively Mediterranean specimens with only two exceptions and accessions from unknown locations. (Figure 3.7). In addition, accessions from Kahramanmaraş and Antalya tended to group together in cluster A despite the fact that they represented different sections and species. Accessions from Muğla also clustered together and were all OON accessions. In cluster B.1.2.1 there was a grouping of Aegean samples from OON. Cluster C was almost exclusively limited to Aegean specimens of OON with two exceptions.

### 3.4.3.1.2.Clustering by section

Clusters A and B consisted of accessions from all four sections: $A N A, B R E, M A J$ and $O R G$. (Figures 3.7, 3.8, and 3.9). Of all of these sections, only $M A J$ specimens showed exlusive grouping within the larger clusters. For example, in cluster A, group A. 2 contained mainly $M A J$ accessions with one $A N A$ specimen. MAJ also clustered together in group B.1.1.2 in cluster B. In addition, cluster C had only individuals from section MAJ. ANA and $O R G$ were always found together with MAJ and never formed exclusive groups. Interestingly the two $B R E$ accessions from the same region (CA) were found in two different clusters (A and B).

### 3.4.3.1.3. Clustering by taxon

The germplasm collection contained specimens from 11 taxa. Some taxa formed clusters in the dendrogram. OSI from section ANA was mostly found in cluster B (57\% of the samples); while the remaining accessions were located in cluster A. In both clusters, OSI individuals were scattered throughout the clusters. Of the seven OSI accessions in the dendrogram, three of them (43\%) were most closely related to OON accessions from section $M A J$. OHY from section $A N A$ was only represented by one accession which was found in Cluster A. OLE from section BRE had only one sample as did OHA from the same section. Interestingly OHA was most closely related with a cluster that was almost exclusively OON from MAJ.

In section $M A J$, most of the ODU accessions were found in subcluster A. 1 with an exclusive group of four accessions in A.1.1.2.1. Four of the ODU accessions were most closely related to OON accessions which is also in section MAJ. OSYBE accessions were most closely related to OVVU and OSI from sections $O R G$ and $A N A$, respectively. OON was the predominant taxon of the germplasm collection. As a result, OON specimens were found to be dispersed in all three clusters. In addition, OON tended to form subclusters in the dendrogram. For example, subgroup A. 2 was composed of 15 OON accessions with the addition of one OSU sample. Another OON subcluster fell in subgroup B.1.1.1.1.1.1 with six OON samples and OSI, OVH and ODU individuals as well as two unknown samples. Subcluster B1.1.2 was exclusively OON with nine accessions. Moreover, cluster C was almost exclusively OON samples with one ODU and one unknown accession.

In section $O R G$, there was only one OVG sample which clustered with unknown accessions in cluster A. OVH accessions fell into both clusters A and B. Although in one case a OVH sample was most closely related to another OVH sample, this species also formed close clusters with OSI and OON. OVVI was represented by only one sample in cluster A that was most closely related to OSYB. Three of the four OVVU accessions were found in cluster B. In two instances, these accessions were most similar to OSI samples from section $A N A$.


Figure 3.8. The Neighbor Joining (NJ) dendrogram for oregano genotypes conserved at AARI Instiute. Cluster A. A. 1 and A. 2 are the major subclusters of cluster $A$ in the dendrogram. Colors represent different collection origins: dark red: Adana, dark green: Antalya, fuchsia: Aydın, medium blue: Balıkesir, light cyan blue: Bilecik, salmon: Burdur, light purple: Çanakkale, cobalt blue: Denizli, khaki: Erzincan, navy dark blue: Isparta, lime green: İçel(Mersin), soft teal green-blue: İzmir, light brown: Kahramanmaraş, dark purple: Karaman, dark brown: Kastamonu, orange: Kütahya, sky blue: Manisa, red: Muğla, and grey: Sivas. Sections are represented by symbols; $\beta$ : Anatolicon, $\chi$ : Brevifilamentum, $\phi$ : Majorana and $\gamma$ : Origanum. Black stars indicate clustering by taxon, while maroon stars indicate clustering by region

subclusters of cluster B in dengrogram. Colors represent different collection origins: dark red: Adana, dark green: Antalya, fuchsia: Aydın, soft medium blue: Balıkesir, light cyan blue: Bilecik, salmon: Burdur, light purple: Çanakkale, cobalt blue:
Denizli, khaki: Erzincan, navy dark blue: Isparta, lime green: İçel(Mersin), soft teal green-blue: İzmir, light brown:
Kahramanmaraş, dark purple: Karaman, dark brown: Kastamonu, orange: Kütahya, sky blue: Manisa, red: Muğla, and grey:
Sivas. Star indicates monophyletic grouping of individuals from the same taxa.

Figure 3.10. The Neighbor Joining (NJ) dendrogram for oregano genotypes conserved at AARI Instiute. Cluster C. C. 1 and C. 2 represents
subclusters of cluster C in dendrogram. Colors represent different collection origins: dark red: Adana, dark green: Antalya, fuchsia: Aydın, soft medium blue: Balıkesir, light cyan blue: Bilecik, salmon: Burdur, light purple: Çanakkale, cobalt blue: Denizli, khaki: Erzincan, navy dark blue: Isparta, lime green: İçel(Mersin), soft teal green-blue: İzmir, light brown: Kahramanmaraş, dark purple: Karaman, dark brown: Kastamonu, orange: Kütahya, sky blue: Manisa, red: Muğla, and grey: Sivas. Sections were represented by signs $\beta$ : Anatolicon, $\chi$ : Brevifilamentum, $\phi:$ Majorana aand $\gamma$ : Origanum. Star indicates monophyletic grouping of individuals from the same taxa.

### 3.4.3.1.4.Dendrogram analysis of OON accessions

Because OON was the most common taxon in the germplasm collection, a separate dendrogram was drawn to examine the relationships among these accessions (Figure 11). In only a few cases (marked with stars in the figure), OON accessions from the same province clustered together. In the most notable case, five OON accessions from Muğla formed a nearly exclusive cluster with a Çanakkale accession. OON13 and OON14 from Tire, İzmir also grouped together with another Aegean specimen (from Aydin). Two accessions from İçel were also most closely related to each other in the dendrogram. The map in Figure 3.12 shows the proximity and locations of the aforementioned accessions. Despite these cases of regional clustering, in general, position in the dendrogram was not related to province or region for OON.


[^0]
### 3.4.3.2. PCoA analysis

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) was performed for the 119 accession units using the SRAP marker genotypic data (Figure 3.13). The eigen values for the PCoA were $11.12,7.40$ and $5.61 \%$ of variance for the first three axes, respectively. In section Anatolicon, the eight OSI individuals were dispersed over all four quadrants while OHY1 was placed closer to the OSI individuals located in the ( $\mathrm{X},-\mathrm{Y}$ ) section of the graph (Figure 3.13). For section Brevifilamentum, there were only two individuals: OHA1 and OLE1 which were not closely placed in the graph. Section Majorana was respresented by the most specimens which were located throughout the graph. Within this section, the OON specimens were mostly concentrated in (X, -Y) spatial organization in PCoA plot. ODU species was also spread over the PCoA graph but mostly placed in the $(-Y)$ section of the graph. Seven OSYBE individuals from the same section were distributed in all areas of the PCoA. Samples from section Origanum were distributed in three of the four quadrants of the graph. OVVU1, OVVU2 and OVVU4 created their own subcluster in the (-X, Y) quadrant. OVH accessions OVH6, OVH7 and OVH8 group in the (X, Y) region of the PCoA plot; while OVH2, OVH4 and OVH5 individuals were spread in the plot.


Figure 3.13. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) graph colored according to species names among AARI oregano collection. Colors represent different collection origins: dark red: Adana, dark green: Antalya, fuchsia: Aydın, soft medium blue: Balıkesir, light cyan blue: Bilecik, salmon: Burdur, light purple: Çanakkale, cobalt blue: Denizli, khaki: Erzincan, navy dark blue: Isparta, lime green: İçel(Mersin), soft teal green-blue: İzmir, light brown: Kahramanmaraş, dark purple: Karaman, dark brown: Kastamonu, orange: Kütahya, sky blue: Manisa, red: Muğla, and grey: Sivas.

A PCoA graph was also plotted for the predominant taxon of the germplasm, OON. The eigenvalues for the first three axes were $21.87,8.12$ and $7.07 \%$, respectively (Figure 3.14). Most OON specimens were concentrated in two quadrants of the PCoA graph (-X, Y) and (-X, -Y). In contrast, OON31 (İzmir), OON2 (Antalya), OON23 (Manisa), OON36 (Balıkesir), OON18 (İçel), OON35 (Çamakkale), OON49 (Muğla), OON45 (Antalya), and OON46 (İçel) specimens were distinctly spread over (X, Y) and (X, -Y) sections of the graph. Accessions OON45 (Antalya), OON46 (İçel), OON49 (Muğla), OON18 (Aydın), and OON35 (Çanakkale) were the most distinct specimens in the PCoA plot. According to the PCoA plot, OONs from provinces Muğla and Antalya were dispersed over all coordinates with some concentration in the $(-X,-Y)$ and $(-X, Y)$ quadrants. Five of the OON specimens (OON3, OON5, OON8, OON9, and OON28) collected from Muğla province were found in close proximity in the $(-X,-Y)$ section of the plot. Antalya, Çanakkale and İzmir had samples over all four quadrants. Aydın and Muğla specimens were located in three quadrants. OONs from Balıkesir were located in quadrants $(-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ and $(\mathrm{X},-\mathrm{Y})$. Manisa specimens were located in $(-\mathrm{X},-\mathrm{Y})$ and $(\mathrm{X},-\mathrm{Y})$ sections of the quadrants. Specimens from Burdur, Denizli and Isparta were found in singular quadrants as follows: (-X, Y), (-X, -Y), and (X, Y). OON42 from unknown geographic origin was located in the $(-\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ section ofthe plot.


Figure 3.14. PCoA plot built for the geographical display of OON taxa predominant in the AARI germplasm. Colors represent different collection origins: dark red: Adana, dark green: Antalya, fuchsia: Aydın, soft medium blue: Balıkesir, light cyan blue: Bilecik, salmon: Burdur, light purple: Çanakkale, cobalt blue: Denizli, khaki: Erzincan, navy dark blue: Isparta, lime green: İçel(Mersin), soft teal green-blue: İzmir, light brown: Kahramanmaraş, dark purple: Karaman, dark brown: Kastamonu, orange: Kütahya, sky blue: Manisa, red: Muğla, and grey: Sivas.

### 3.4.3.3. Population structure

The population structure of the AARI collection which is composed of 130 individuals from four sections (Anatolicon - ANA, Brevifilamentum - BRE, Majorana MAJ and Origanum - ORG) was determined for four different optimal $K$ s and two genetic identity thresholds $(0.60,0.70)$. $K=2$ was selected because it gave the most significant ( $\Delta K$ ) value (Figure 3.15; Figure 3.16). $K=4$ was used because it represents the number of sections sampled. $K=5$ was tested because some of the unknown samples could belong to other sections in the genus. $K=8$ was examined because there are a total of eight sections of Origanum found in Türkiye and the unknown specimens could potentially fall into any of these sections. In addition, $K=8$ gave a high log likelihood value (Figure 3.17). According to the comparison of different threshold values, 0.70 was chosen because it provided a more stringent separation of the subpopulations.

In overall consideration for each tested number of subpopulations, individuals from ANA, BRE, MAJ, and $O R G$ sections fell into the same sub populations (Table 3.18). However, as the number of $K$ increased, the UNK samples were increasingly classified as admixed and then into new subpopulations. This may reflect the hybrid constitution of the unknown specimens or that the unknown samples belong to other species in the eight sections.

Structure Harvester analysis, b) Delta $K(\Delta K)$ values were fitting best to $K=5$ and especially, $K=2$.

운 뜽 융 융 웅


Figure 3.16. Population structure graph for optimal number of $K$ determined with Structure Harvester ( $K=2$ ). SPI: subpopulation I, SPII:
subpopulation II, SPIII: subpopulation III.


[^1]Table 3.16. Percentages of the number of individuals per section belong to certainsubpopulations. The total number of accessions was
130 in 16 different taxa. $\Sigma \#$ : total number, \%: percentage, ANA: Anatolicon, BRE: Brevifilamentum, MAJ: Majorana, ORG: Origanum, UNK: unknown, Nt: number of taxa, Ni: number of individuals, ADX: Admixed, SPI: subpopulation I, SPII: subpopulation II, SPIII: subpopulation III, SPIV: subpopulation IV, SPV: subpopulation V, SPVI: subpopulation VI, SPII: subpopulation VII, and SPVIII: subpopulation VIII.

| $\boldsymbol{K}=\mathbf{2}$ |  |  | SPI | SPII |  | ADX |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Section | $N i$ | Taxon | Ni | $\%$ | Ni | $\%$ | Ni |$)$


| $\sum$ of $O R G$ | 14 | $O R G$ | 4 | 29 | 6 | 42 | 4 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { No section } & 30 & \text { UNK } & 5 & 17 & 19 & 63 & 6 & 20\end{array}$ | $\sum$ of $U N K$ | 30 | UNK | 5 | 17 | 19 | 63 | 6 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

(Cont. on the next page)
Table 3.16. (cont.)

| $K=4$ |  |  | SPI |  | SPII |  | SPIII |  | SPIV |  | ADX |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Ni | Taxon | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% |
| ANA | 9 | OSI | - | - | - | - | 1 | 11 | 3 | 33 | 5 | 56 |
|  | 1 | OHY | 1 | 100 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\sum$ of ANA |  | ANA | 1 | 10 | - | - | 1 | 10 | 3 | 30 | 5 | 50 |
| BRE | 1 | OHA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 1 | OLE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| $\sum$ of BRE |  | BRE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 100 |
| MAJ | 14 | ODU | 5 | 36 | - | - | 2 | 14 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 29 |
|  | 53 | OON | 2 | 4 | 16 | 30 | - | - | 10 | 19 | 25 | 47 |
|  | 7 | OSYBE | 2 | 29 | - | - | 2 | 29 | - | - | 3 | 42 |
| $\sum$ of MAJ |  | MAJ | 9 | 12 | 16 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 32 | 43 |
| ORG | 1 | OVG | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 8 | OVH | 1 | 13 | - | - | 3 | 37 | 3 | 37 | 1 | 13 |
|  | 1 | OVVI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 4 | OVVU | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25 | 2 | 50 |
| $\sum$ of $O R G$ |  | ORG | 2 | 14 | - | - | 3 | 21 | 4 | 36 | 5 | 29 |
| No section info: UNK | 30 | UNK | 2 | 7 | - | - | 7 | 23 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 57 |
| $\sum$ of UNK |  | UNK | 2 | 7 | - | - |  | 23 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 57 |

Table 3.16. (cont.)

| $K=5$ |  |  | SPI |  | SPII |  | SPIII |  | SPIV |  | SPV |  | ADX |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Ni | Taxon | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% |
| ANA | 9 | OSI | 1 | 11 | 3 | 33 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 56 |
|  | 1 | OHY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| $\sum$ of $A N A$ |  | ANA | 1 | 10 | 3 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 60 |
| BRE | 1 | OHA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 1 | OLE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| $\sum$ of BRE |  | BRE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 100 |
| MAJ | 14 | ODU | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 | - | - | 2 | 14 | 4 | 27 | 3 | 29 |
|  | 53 | OON | - | - | 9 | 17 | 11 | 21 | 5 | 9 | - | - | 28 | 53 |
|  | 7 | OSYBE | 2 | 29 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 14 | 4 | 57 |
| $\sum$ of MAJ |  | MAJ | 3 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 34 | 46 |
| ORG | 1 | OVG | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 8 | OVH | 3 | 37 | 3 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 25 |
|  | 1 | OVVI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 4 | OVVU | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25 | - | - | 2 | 50 |
| $\sum$ of ORG |  | ORG | 4 | 29 | 3 | 21 | - | - | 2 | 14 | - | - | 6 | 43 |
| No section info: UNK | 30 | UNK | 6 | 20 | 4 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 19 | 63 |
| $\sum$ of $U N K$ |  | UNK | 6 | 20 | 4 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 19 | 63 |

Table 3.16. (cont.)

| $K=8$ |  |  |  |  | SPII |  | SPIII |  | SPIV |  | SPV |  |  | SPVI |  | SPVII |  | SPVIII |  | ADX |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section | Ni | Taxon | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | N | \% |  | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% | Ni | \% |
| ANA | 9 | OSI | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 33 | - |  |  | 1 | 11 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 56 |
|  | 1 | OHY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| $\sum$ of ANA | 10 | ANA | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 30 | - | - |  |  | 10 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 60 |
| BRE | 1 | OHA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 1 | OLE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
| $\sum$ of BRE | 2 | BRE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 100 |
| MAJ | 14 | ODU | - | - | - | - | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 | - |  |  | 1 | 7 | - | - | 4 | 29 | 5 | 36 |
|  | 53 | OON | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 6 |  | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | 31 | 58 |
|  | 7 | OSYBE | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 2 | 29 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 71 |
| $\sum$ of MAJ |  | MAJ | 2 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 4 |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 |  | 55 |
| ORG | 1 | OVG | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 100 |
|  | 8 | OVH | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 37 | - | - |  | 3 | 38 | 1 | 13 | - | - | 1 | 12 |
|  | 1 | OVVI | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | $1$ | $100$ |
|  | 4 | OVVU | - | - | - | - | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 75 |
| $\sum$ of $O R G$ |  | ORG | - | - | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 | - | - |  | 3 | 21 | 1 | 7 | - | - | 6 | 43 |
| No section info: UNK | 30 | UNK | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 20 | - | - | - | - |  | 47 |
| $\sum$ of UNK |  | UNK | - | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 1 |  | 6 | 20 | - | - | - | - |  | 47 |

$\sum N i=130 \quad \sum N t=16$

### 3.4.3.3.1. $K=2$

When the accessions were examined according to $K=2$, both subpopulations contained accessions from every section as well as unknown samples with the majority (61\%) in subpopulation II (SPII) (Figure 3.15, Table 3.16). In addition, 17\% of the accessions were identified as admixed meaning that their genetic ancestry did not fit into either subpopulation at the $70 \%$ threshold chosen for all analyses. For $\mathrm{K}=2$, both He and $F_{S T}$ values were calculated to estimate the expected heterozygosity and gene flow for each subpopulation, respectively (Table 3.18). SPI had $10 \%$ lower He and less gene flow among subpopulation members than SPII. This could be due to the fact that SPII had more than twice as many samples as SPI.

Within section $A N A, 40 \%$ of the accessions fell into SPII with $30 \%$ in subpopulation I (SPI) and $30 \%$ as admixed (Table 3.17). The two accessions from $B R E$ were found in different subpopulations which is not surprising given that they were from different taxa: OHA and OLE. Similar to $A N A$, accessions from $M A J$ mainly fell into SPII (65\%) followed by SPI (22\%) and the admixed category (13\%) (Table 3.11, Table 3.17). $O R G$ accessions also fell into three groups with $42 \%$ in SPII and $29 \%$ each in SPI and the admixed category. The accessions of unknown taxon had a similar pattern of population structure with $63 \%$ in SPII, $17 \%$ in SPI and $20 \%$ in the admixed group. The data were examined to see if all of the samples from a given taxon fell into the same subpopulation. At $K=2$, there was no such clustering observed (Table 3.18).

### 3.4.3.3.2. $K=4$

When the accessions were examined according to $K=4$, the majority of individuals were found to be categorized as admixed (47\%) with the four subpopulations in nearly equal proportions (11 to 18\%) (Table 3.16). Specimens from the four sections were found together in each subpopulations with the exception of SPII which contained only individuals of section MAJ. The highest gene flow was found in SPI with an $F_{S T}$ value of $18 \%$. This subpopulation also had the highest $\mathrm{He}(30 \%)$ as expected for individuals with high gene flow (Table 3.18). On the contrary, the highest $F_{S T}$ was found as $55 \%$ for SPIII, the group with the lowest expected heterozygosity.

Within section $A N A, 50 \%$ of the individuals had admixed genetic structure. In section BRE, the single individuals of OHA and OLE were both admixed. Specimens from section $M A J$ were found to be dispersed over all SPs and the admixed group of individuals as might be expected due to the predominance of samples from this section in the study. The majority of individuals from $M A J$ was admixed (43\%). SPII contained $22 \%$ of the MAJ accessions which were distinct from all other sections in this subpopulation. Specimens of section ORG were mostly found in SPIV (36\%) and 29\% of the specimens were categorized as admixed. Unknown accessions mainly belonged to the admixed category (57\%) and to SPIII (23\%).

At the taxon level, OSI and OHY from ANA were placed in completely different subpopulations. Within section MAJ, OON was the most highly represented taxon and had $47 \%$ of specimens as admixed. Some of the OON accessions formed their own subpopulation in SPII (30\%). Moreover, none of the OON specimens fell into SPIII. Accessions from ODU taxon were mostly concentrated on SPI (36\%) and found to be in all subpopulations except SPII. In $O R G$, half of the specimens of taxon OVVU were admixed and the rest contributed equally to SPI and SPIV. Specimens of taxon OVH were mainly grouped together in SPIII and SPIV in equal proportions (37\%). Single OVG and OVVI specimens had admixed structure.

### 3.4.3.3.3. $K=5$

$K=5$ was investigated as the unknown specimens could have been members of a single taxon of the eight sections. The specimens were mostly admixed (53\%) for $K=5$ (Table 3.16). Two of the five subpopulations were exlusively $M A J$ while the remaining three had a mixture of specimens from $M A J, A N A$ and $O R G$ as well as UNK samples. SPIII which consisted of only MAJ accession from the OON taxon and $8 \%$ of the total number of samples had the lowest $F_{S T}$ value (28\%) and the highest He (29\%) reflecting the high gene flow among the different OON accessions.

Specimens from ANA were found only in SPI, SPII and the admixed group. The two BRE samples were admixed. MAJ was the only section with individuals spread over all subpopulations. In fact, two of the subpopulations (SPIII and SPIV) were exclusively $M A J$. ORG specimens fell into three subpopulations and also in the admixed group. UNK accessions had a similar pattern as the ORG specimens.

Within section $A N A$, the OHY accession was admixed ( $100 \%$ ), while the OSI samples fell into two subpopulations, SPI and SPII (Table 3.10). The remaining ANA accessions were admixed (56\%). Both single BRE specimens, OHA and OLE, had admixed structure. For section $M A J$, the highest proportion (53\%) of OON samples were admixed. The rest of the OON samples fell into three subpopulations with $21 \%$ in the OON-exclusive SPIII. No OON samples were found in SPI and SPV. ODU and OON specimens were grouped together in SPII and SPIV, while ODU and OSYBE individuals were grouped together in SPI and SPV. Moreover, ODU specimens were mostly located in SPV (27\%), while OSYBE specimens were mostly concentrated in SPI (29\%). The individuals belonging to section $O R G$ were $43 \%$ admixed. The rest of the samples fell into mainly SPI with both OVH and OVVU individuals in this subpopulation. The unknown specimens were found to be $63 \%$ admixed followed by SPI (20\%) and SPII (13\%).

### 3.4.3.3.4. $K=8$

For $K=8$, the number of admixed individuals was the same as it was for $K=5$ (53\%)(Table 3.16). Of the eight subpopulations, SPIV was the largest with $16 \%$ of the specimens followed by SPVI with $10 \%$. The remaining SPs had $6 \%$ or fewer of the total number of accessions indicating that they were minor groups. One reason for testing $\mathrm{K}=8$ was to see if the UNK samples grouped exclusively in one or more subpopulations. This did not occur, instead, the UNK samples remained grouped with specimens from known sections. Interestingly, the use of $\mathrm{K}=8$ caused 19 of the $M A J$ accessions to become divided into four separate exclusive subpopulations. The lowest gene flow and highest expected hertozygosity were observed in SPVIII which contained individuals from MAJ, $O R G$ and UNK taxa. The highest $F_{S T}$ value was observed as $64 \%$ for SPV which was exclusively MAJ (Table 3.18).

Specimens from section ANA were mostly admixed (60\%) and the remaining accessions (from OSI) were located in SPIV (33\%) and SPVI (10\%) (Table 3.16). The taxa belonging to section BRE (OHA and OLE) was both admixed. A total of $55 \%$ of individuals in section $M A J$ were categorized as admixed, while the remaining individuals were spread over all subpopulations. Specimens of section $O R G$ were divided into four
main subpopulations (SPIII, SPIV, SPVI, and SPVII) in addition $43 \%$ admixed accessions. Approximately half of UNK specimens (47\%) had admixed structure.

Within section MAJ, OON accessions were isolated from other taxa of the section in SPI, SPII, SPV, and SPVII. The highest proportion of OON individuals were in SPIV with $15 \%$ membership. In SPIII and SPIV, ODU and OON taxa were clustered together while ODU and OSYBE accessions were grouped together in SPVI. In ORG, taxa OVG and OVVI were defined as admixed. Specimens from OVH and OVVU were not clustered and fell into different subpopulations. Specimens from taxon OVH were divided into three subpopulations. In contrast, OVVU accessions only fell into SPIII ( $25 \%$ ). The unknown accessions which were not admixed, mainly accumulated in SPVI.
Table 3.17.Summary of subpopulation and dendrogram cluster membership for all studied accessions. Inferred subpopulations for $K=2$, 4 , 5 and 8 are given for thresholds 0.6 and 0.7 . Cluster location from dissimilarity analysis (Cluster $\mathrm{A}^{* *}, \mathrm{~B}$, and C ) is given in the
last column. "-" refers to samples that were excluded in dissimilarity analyses due to their high number of missing data for the analyses (more than 50\%). "ADX" indicates: "admixed". Accessions are grouped according to taxon followed by their species and sorted according to sampling location. GR: Geographical region of Türkiye. \%MV: missing value. A*/A in GR: Aegean region, BS: Black Sea region, CA: Central Anatolia region, EA: Eastern Anatolia region, M: Marmara region, MT: Mediterranean region. $A^{* *}$ : Cluster A.

| Section | Species Name | Darwin Code | Sampling <br> Location | GR | $K=2$ |  | K=4 |  | $K=5$ |  | $K=8$ |  | Darwin (Cluster) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 |  |
| ANA | Origanum hypericifolium O. Schwarz et P.H. Davis | OHY1 | Denizli | $\mathrm{A}^{*}$ | SPII | SPII | SPI | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A** |
|  | Origanum sipyleum L. | OSI7 | Denizli |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OSI4 | Kütahya |  | SPII | ADX | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
|  |  | OSI8 | Kütahya |  | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPI | SPI | SPVI | SPVI | A |
|  |  | OSI9 | Kütahya |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | B |
|  |  | OSI5 | Manisa |  | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OSI6 | Manisa |  | SPII | SPII | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
|  |  |  | Manisa |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV |  |
|  |  | OSI3 | Çorum | BS | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | - |
|  |  | OSI2 | Balıkesir | M | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPI | ADX | B |
| BRE | Origanum haussknechtii Boiss. | OHA1 | Sivas | CA | SPI | SPI | SPIV | ADX | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  | Origanum leptocladum Boiss. | OLE1 | Karaman |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
| MAJ | Origanum dubium L. | ODU11 | İzmir | A | SPII | SPII | SPI | ADX | SPV | SPV | SPVIII | SPVIII | A |
|  |  | ODU7 | Muğla |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | C |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { ODU3 } \\ \text { ODU6 } \end{array}$ | Kastamonu <br> Kastamonu | BS | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPI } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPII } \\ & \text { SPI } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADX } \\ & \text { SPIV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADX } \\ & \text { SPIV } \end{aligned}$ | SPIV <br> SPII | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPIV } \\ & \text { SPII } \end{aligned}$ | SPIII <br> SPIV | SPIII SPIV | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ |

Table 3.17. (cont.)

| MAJ | Origanum dubium L. | odu1 ODU10 ODU2 ODU4 ODU5 ODU8 ODU9 ODU12 ODU13 ODU14 | Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Antalya <br> Burdur <br> İçel <br> İçl | MT | SPII SPII SPII SPII SPII SPI SPII SPI SPII SPII | SPII <br> SPII <br> SPII <br> SPII <br> SPII <br> SPI <br> SPII <br> SPI <br> SPII <br> SPII | SPI SPI SPI SPI SPI SPIV SPI SPIV SPIII SPIII | SPI <br> ADX <br> SPI <br> SPI <br> SPI <br> SPIV <br> SPI <br> SPIV <br> SPIII <br> SPIII | ADX ADX SPV SPIV SPV SPII SPV SPII SPI SPI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ADX } \\ & \text { ADX } \\ & \text { SPV } \\ & \text { SPIV } \\ & \text { SPV } \\ & \text { SPII } \\ & \text { SPV } \\ & \text { SPII } \\ & \text { ADX } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ADX <br> ADX <br> SPVIII <br> SPIII <br> SPVIII <br> SPIV <br> SPVIII <br> SPIV <br> SPVI <br> ADX | ADX <br> ADX <br> SPVIII <br> ADX <br> SPVIII <br> SPIV <br> SPVIII <br> SPIV <br> SPVI <br> ADX | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Origanum onites L. | OON17 | Aydn |  | SPI | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON18 | Aydin |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | B |
|  |  | OON19 | Aydin |  | SPII | ADX | SPII | ADX | SPIII | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON30 | Aydın |  | SPI | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON34 | Aydin |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPII | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON39 | Aydın |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPII | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON20 | Denizli |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX |  |
|  |  | OON21 | Denizli |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPII | SPII | A |
|  |  | OON13 | İzmir |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON14 | İzmir |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON15 | İzmir | A | SPII | ADX | SPII | ADX | SPIII | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON16 | İzmir |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | SPIV | ADX | SPIII | ADX | C |
|  |  | OON27 | İzmir |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | SPIV | SPIV | SPIII | ADX | C |
|  |  | OON31 | İzmir |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON22 | Manisa |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | SPIV | ADX | SPIII | ADX | C |
|  |  | OON23 | Manisa |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | ADX | SPII | ADX | SPIV | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON44 | Manisa |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV |  |
|  |  | OON10 | Muğla |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | SPIV | SPIV | SPIII | SPIII | C |
|  |  | OON28 | Muğla |  | SPII | SPII | SPI | ADX | SPIV | SPIV | SPIII | SPIII | C |
|  |  | OON3 | Muğla |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPII | SPII | A |
|  |  | OON32 | Muğla |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |

Table 3.17. (cont.)

| MAJ | Origamum onites L. | OON33 <br> OON4 <br> OON48 <br> OON49 <br> OON5 <br> OON6 <br> OON7 <br> OON8 <br> OON9 | Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla <br> Muğla |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPI } \\ \text { SPII } \\ \text { ADX } \\ \text { ADX } \\ \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPII } \end{array}$ | SPII <br> SPII <br> SPII <br> SPI <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> SPII <br> SPII | SPII <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> SPIV <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> ASII <br> SPII | SPII SPII ADX SPIV SPII ADX ADX SPII SPII | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { SPIII } \\ \text { SPIII } \\ \text { ADX } \\ \text { SPII } \\ \text { SPIII } \\ \text { ADX } \\ \text { ADX } \\ \text { SPIII } \end{array}$ | SPIII <br> SPIII <br> ADX <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> SPIII | SPII <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> APIV <br> SPII <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> SPII <br> SPII | ADX <br> SPII <br> ADX <br> SPIV <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> ADX <br> SPII | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OON36 | Balıesir |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | B |
|  |  | OON37 | Balıesir |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON38 | Balıkesir |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON52 | Balıkesir | M | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPV | SPV | A |
|  |  | OON24 | Canakkale | M | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | SPIV | SPIV | SPIII | SPIII | C |
|  |  | OON25 | Canakkale |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | SPIII | SPII | SPII | A |
|  |  | OON26 | Canakkale |  | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON35 | Canakkale |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | - |
|  |  | OON1 | Antalya |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPVIII | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON11 | Antalya |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | ADX | SPII | ADX | C |
|  |  | OON12 | Antalya |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPII | SPIII | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON2 | Antalya |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | B |
|  |  | OON29 | Antalya |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON45 | Antalya |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | - |
|  |  | OON50 | Antalya | MT | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPV | SPV | A |
|  |  | OON51 | Antalya |  | SPII | SPII | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPV | SPV | A |
|  |  | OON41 | Burdur |  | SPII | SPII | SPII | ADX | SPIII | ADX | SPI | SPI | B |
|  |  | OON40 | Isparta |  | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | ADX | SPI | ADX | B |
|  |  | OON43 | İçel |  | SPII | SPII | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPIII | ADX | A |
|  |  | OON46 | İçel |  | SPI | SPI | SPIV | SPIV | SPII | SPII | SPIV | SPIV | B |
|  |  | OON47 | İçel |  | SPII | SPII | SPI | SPI | SPV | ADX | SPVII | SPVII | A |
|  |  | OON53 | İçel |  | SPII | SPII | SPIII | ADX | SPI | ADX | SPVI | ADX | A |

Table 3.17. (cont.)


Table 3.18.Populations structure results for the germplasm for $K=2,4,5$ and 8 . Sections belonging to each subpopulation and the admixed group are indicated. Expected heterozygosity $(\mathrm{He})$ and gene flow $\left(F_{S T}\right)$ values are also included.

| $K=2$ Best number of $K$ suitable for the germplasm according to Structure Harvester database |  |  |  |  |  |  | $K=8$ The number of oregano sections that naturally grow in Turkey |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP | Ns | Sections | INi | \% | He | $F_{S T}$ values | SP | Ns | Sections | ENi | \% | He | $F_{S T}$ values |
| SPI | 4 | ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 29 | 22 | 0.20 | 0.43 | SPI | 1 | MAJ | 2 | 2 | 0.17 | 0.56 |
| SPII | 4 | ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 79 | 61 | 0.30 | 0.13 | SPII | 1 | MAJ | 5 | 4 | 0.22 | 0.40 |
| Admixed | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 22 | 17 | - | - | SPIII | 1 | MAJ | 4 | 3 | 0.20 | 0.44 |
| K=4 Four main taxa of sections in collection (ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG) |  |  |  |  |  |  | SPIV | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 21 | 16 | 0.15 | 0.59 |
| SP | Ns | Sections | $\sum N i$ | \% | He | $F_{S T}$ values | SPV | 1 | MAJ | 8 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.64 |
| SPI | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 14 | 11 | 0.30 | 0.18 | SPVI | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 13 | 10 | 0.19 | 0.49 |
| SPII | 1 | MAJ | 16 | 12 | 0.22 | 0.39 | SPVII |  | MAJ, ORG | 2 | 2 | 0.23 | 0.37 |
| SPIII | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 15 | 12 | 0.16 | 0.55 | SPVIII | 2 | MAJ, ORG, UNK | 6 | 4 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| SPIV | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 24 | 18 | 0.21 | 0.41 | Admixed | 4 | ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 69 | 53 | - | - |
| Admixed | 4 | ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 61 | 47 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $K=5$ Considering unknown specimens as a member of any of the four sections or a member of a fifth section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SP | Ns | Sections | $\sum N i$ | \% | He | $F_{S T}$ values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPI | 3 | ANA, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 13 | 10 | 0.21 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPII | 3 | ANA,MAJ, ORG, UNK | 23 | 18 | 0.21 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPIII | 1 | MAJ | 11 | 8 | 0.29 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPIV |  | MAJ |  | 5 |  | 0.38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPV | 2 | MAJ, ORG, UNK | 7 | 5 | 0.16 | 0.57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Admixed | 4 | ANA, BRE, MAJ, ORG, UNK | 69 | 53 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 3.4.3.4. Comparison of population structure and dissimilarity clustering

The dendrogram clustering pattern was compared to the subpopulation grouping for $K=2$ and $K=4$ (Table 3.19). For $K=2$, SPI individuals were only found in cluster B whereas SPII individuals were located in all three clusters (Figure 3.18). The admixed individuals were also dispersed over all three clusters with the most samples in cluster B (Figure 3.18). The PCoA graph was also colored according to $K=2$ (Figure 3.21). According to this plot, admixed individuals in SPIII were placed between the clusters of SPI and SPII which fell in separate halves of the graph: SPII samples were located in the +X part of the graph while SPI samples were in the -X part of the graph. SPI samples were more dispersed while SPII accessions were more concentrated.

For $K=4$, SPI and SPIII corresponded to cluster A. SPII and the admixed accessions were spread over all three clusters (Figure 3.19 and Figure 3.20). SPIV accessions formed a nearly exclusive subcluster within cluster B. When admixed accessions were excluded from the dendrogram analysis (Figure 3.20), the agreement between the population structure and NJ clustering analysis is very evident. The PCoA graph also showed a strong correspondence with the population structure results (Figure 3.22). SPI and SPII specimens were located in the lower right quadrant of the plot quite close together but not overlapping. SPIII samples were predominantly placed in the upper right quadrant closest to SPI individuals. SPIV samples were highly dispersed in the upper left quadrant and very distinct from the rest of the subpopulations. The admixed specimens were also spread out in the plot and located between all four subpopulation clusters.

Table 3.19.Comparison of subpopulation membership (\%) among total number of individuals ( $\sum N i$ ) per cluster and for unknown (UNK) species for each number of $K ; 2,4,5$ and 8 for each Cluster (A, B, and C) in dissimilarity analyses.

| SP | $\boldsymbol{K}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|l\|} \mathbf{C l u s t e r} \\ \mathbf{A} \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Cluster <br> B <br> $\sum \mathrm{Ni}=43$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Cluster } \\ \mathbf{C} \end{array}$ | Not examined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\sum \mathrm{Ni}=11$ |  |
|  |  | Ni \% | Ni \% | Ni \% | Ni | \% |
| SPI |  | - - | $18 \quad 42$ | - - |  |  |
| SPII | 2 | $64 \quad 96$ | $7 \quad 16$ | $8 \quad 89$ | 11 | 100 |
| Admixed |  | 34 | $18 \quad 42$ | 11 |  | - |
| Total \% |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |
| SPI |  | $14 \quad 21$ | - - | - - | - | - |
| SPII |  | $12 \quad 18$ | 37 | 111 | - | - |
| SPIII | 4 | $15 \quad 22$ | - - | - - | - | - |
| SPIV |  | - - | $13 \quad 30$ |  | 11 | 100 |
| Admixed |  | $26 \quad 39$ | $27 \quad 63$ | $8 \quad 89$ |  | - |
| Total \% |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 10 |


three main clusters: Cluster A, B and C. Colors indicate the subpopulation to which accessions belonged according to
population structure analysis: Lime green: Sub population II, red: Sub population I, and black: Admixed individuals.

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |

[^2]
Figure 3.20. NJ Dendrogram colored according to assumed $K=4$ for oregano populations except the admixed individuals (threshold: 0.70 ).
The dendrogram consisted of three main clusters: Cluster A, B and C. Colors indicate the subpopulation to which accessions
belonged according to population structure analysis: Red: SPI, Green: SPII, Blue: SPIII, and Yellow: SPIV.

Figure 3.21. PCoA graph colored according to population structure for $K=2$ (threshold: 0.7 ). Colors indicating structure subpopulations as
red is SPI (cluster I), green is SPII (cluster II), and black referring to admixed individuals.
Figure 3.22. PCoA graph colored according to population structure for $K=4$ (threshold: 0.7 ). Colors indicating structure subpopulations as
red is SPI (cluster I), green is SPII (cluster II), blue is SPIII, yellow is SPIV and black referring to admixed individuals

### 3.5. Discussion

Metabolic pathways and their abundant products are important for medicinal and aromatic plants to defend and adapt themselves to various environmental conditions. The diversity of bioactive compounds within the genus Origanum has the most chemotyperich variation compared to the closely related Thymus, Thymbra, and Satureja genera in family, Lamiaceae. In Türkiye, the most frequently traded "oregano" species are OON, OVH, OVVU, OMI, Thymus capitatus, Thymus spicata, Corydothymus capitatus, Satureja hortensis, Satureja cuneifolia (Gürbüz et al., 2011). There was an approximate four fold increase in oregano production in the last two decades which creates potential for Türkiye as an important exporter as indicated in IX. Türkiye Agriculture Engineers Technical Congress Declaration Book (Source: Ur18). Despite the undeniable importance of oregano species, critical warnings are not being held by people, creating danger for the conservation of this genus in its own habitat. In addition, there are several other factors that must be considered in the protection of oregano genetic resources in isolated locations such as ongoing hybridization events leading to continuous homoploid speciation. The cultivation and clonal production of some widely distributed oregano species such as $O$. vulgare subsp. hirtum, $O$. onites, and $O$. syriacum is widely performed and is important for the selection and improvement of better-quality clones containing various economically important bioactive compounds (Arslan, 2016; Tan, 2022). However, at the same time it is crucial that endemic oregano species are not overlooked. The highly endemic nature of oregano results in chemotypic and genetic richness and creates the necessity to promote and conserve more oreganos via domestication, cultivation and even breeding applications. Thus, there is a need for the management of sustainable oregano biodiversity depending on in situ and ex situ conservation actions as well as responsible use of Türkiye's native flora.

Gene banks often measure the morphological characters of their specimens; however, it is also important to characterize oregano species molecularly to understand their complex taxonomic relationships. Molecular markers reveal the genetic structure of species diversity and may help to identify certain specimens within a gene pool by associating metabolome diversity with genetic factors. Selected accessions can then be used as parents for breeding or clonal production programs. In Türkiye, there are two main gene banks (Aegean Agriculture and Research Institute - AARI and Ankara

University) that conserve, cultivate, and investigate MAPs. According to Karık et al. (2016), preparing collections, conservation acts and characterizations of medicinal and aromatic plants in AARI has been applied since 1979. These actions determined as crucial for gathering high quality yields independent of nature. There is already determined two cultivars of oregano (Taysi 2002 and Ceylan 2002; both OON) with high quality and yield properties, yet one more ( $O$. onites) oregano line was suggested to be assumed as a new trading cultivar (Karık et al., 2016; Lukas and Novak, 2020). The vegetative or generative operations already applied to oregano in AARI Institute due to requirement of both settled and rich genetic resources; however, oregano as being a crosspollinating species, require specialized planting lands for vegetatively produced oreganos while generatively produced oreganos can serve as a good source of allelic variation for breeding programs (Karık et al., 2007; Karık et al., 2016). Due to the complexity in their reproductive biology, conventional breeding is insufficient for a precise estimation and development of novel economically important oregano cultivars; so, there is a need for a quicker and alternative method for breeding practices (Tan, 2022). Investigation of individual oregano seeds and clones interdisciplinary including the molecular genetic level will help to develop new oregano species easier via Marker Assisted Selection (MAS). Some of the oregano materials from the AARI gene bank were investigated at the DNA level by Ayanoğlu et al. (2006). Moreover, other karyological and molecular studies have been conducted to investigate the oregano genome worldwide (Ayanoğlu et al., 2006; Novak et al., 2008; Katsiotis, 2009; Marieschi et al., 2010; Amar and Wahab, 2013; Karaca et al., 2013; Ince et al., 2014; Lukas et al., 2013; Aboukhalid et al., 2017; Jedrzejczyk, 2018; Taşcıoğlu et al., 2018; Taş, 2019; Arabacı et al., 2021; Karagöz et al., 2022; Antaloudaki et al., 2022; Tan, 2022). Molecular characterization is important for a better understanding of the level of genetic diversity and speciation dynamics within genus Origanum. In this chapter, the genetic diversity in the Origanum collection conserved in AARI Gene Bank was investigated.

In this study, 130 Origanum specimens from the AARI collection were examined. These specimens belong to eleven oregano taxa including four endemic species (OHY, OSI, OHA and OLE) from sections Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Majorana, and Origanum. Samples with unknown information were also included.

### 3.5.1. SRAP markers in Origanum

Plant growth, development, and viability is affected by the ability of the plant to adjust to various environmental constraints such as climate, altitude, sea level, flooding events, fertilizers, or predators each season and throughout their life span. Changes in the environment caused by natural or by human forced may cause plants to have diversified or specialized morphological (phenotypic) or biochemical (chemotypic) features to adapt themselves individually or collectively (as a population) over time. However, these characters are prone to change and are mostly variably at the transcriptome level. Therefore, DNA markers are more reliable sources of variation and taxonomic identification. Every plant genome has chromosomes which contain packaged DNA molecules which are very well conserved and may not be affected by slight environmental changes. As a result, DNA-based molecular markers have been developed and have become an important method to characterize and investigate plant species. Molecular markers are reliable and advantageous due to their reproduciblity, polymorphism, cost friendliness, and applicability to whole genomes.

In the genus Origanum, different types of molecular markers have been used to investigate single oregano taxa or admixed populations. In this dissertation, SRAP markers were used to screen species in the genus Origanum for the first time. In the work described in chapter 2, 46 specimens from 22 Origanum species representing eight sections were screened with 25 SRAP primer combinations (Tascioglu et al. 2018). A total of 325 polymorphic alleles were detected for the germplasm with 3 to 20 alleles per SRAP marker. The highest genetic diversity ( $G D$ ) value, 0.35 , was obtained with primer pair me3-em4 and the lowest, 0.14 , was seen for me3-em11. The average $G D$ across all markers was 0.27 . For the AARI collection, the highest number of alleles was observed for me3-em2 marker with a $G D$ value of 0.42 and 11 alleles. On the contrary, the lowest $G D$ value was measured for marker me4-em1 marker with a $G D$ of 0.22 and 10 alleles. Thus, the same primer pairs were not equally polymorphic in both sets of materials as might be expected given that the materials for each study were quite distinct. For example, germplasm spanning eight sections was examined in Chapter 2 while only four sections were included in the AARI collection. In the study of Tanhaş (2019), 18 oregano taxa were investigated with SRAP markers and the mean GD value was calculated as Polymorphism Information Content (PIC). The PIC value obtained was similar to that of
the AARI material, 0.28. In the study of Amar and Wahab (2013), an oregano population consisting of OVVU (ORG), OVH (ORG), OSYSI (MAJ), and OMA (MAJ) taxa was screened with ISSR and SRAP markers. As a result, the PIC value (threshold=1) was 0.97 with high polymorphism value. This value translated to a value of 0.48 according to our calculation method. In the study of Alexseeva et al. (2021), an OVH population consisting of 239 individuals from Bulgaria was investigated with 11 SSR and eight SRAP markers. The SRAP markers were suggested as more informative than microsatellites. Genetic differentiation was observed at low and high ranges $\left(F_{S T}=0.0047\right.$ - 0.11) and ten genetic clusters within the OVH population were identified. However, with the use of biochemical diversity calculations, the number of populations was reduced to six chemotypic subpopulations. This finding reflects the importance of interdisciplinary characterization of Origanum specimens.

In this work, the selected SRAP markers generated $100 \%$ polymorphic alleles for oregano and were very informative for the evaluation of genetic diversity for both AARI and herbarium oregano germplasm. Overall, previous research as well as our work indicate that SRAP markers are effective for the study of genetic diversity in Origanum.

In the AARI material, within section diversity was high ( $96 \%$ ) when compared to among population diversity ( $4 \%$ ). This high value of within population diversity was also observed at the species level ( $95 \%$ ). These findings are supported by SRAP analyses conducted by Zagorcheva et al. (2020) who found $97 \%$ within population diversity for two lavender populations from the same subfamily, Nepetoideae. On the contrary, Sarfaraz et al. (2021) investigated thyme species with SRAP markers and the within population diversity was observed as $63 \%$ for their population which contained eleven taxa. This might be due to differences in their speciation processes. Oregano prefers homoploid hybridization and therefore mostly undergoes sympatric speciation while thyme undergoes allopatric speciation via natural selection (Molins et al. 2011). As a result, the within population diversity among oregano species is higher when compared to its ancestral family, thyme.

### 3.5.2. Hybridization in Origanum and genetic diversity in sections $A N A$, BRE, MAJ, and ORG

The genus Origanum is monophyletic but hypothesized to have originated from hybridization events among the polyphyletic genus Satureja and the paraphyletic genus Thymus (Ietswaart, 1980; Brauchler, 2010; Celep et al., 2021; Antaloudaki et al., 2022). Thus, it was concluded by Ietswaart that Origanum is closely related to these two genera. In addition, it is closely related to the monophyletic genus Micromeria (Ietswaart, 1980; Brauchler, 2010). According to Brauchler et al. (2010), oregano specimens from six section (AMA, BRE, CAMPA, CHI, ELON, and ORG) are paraphyletic with Thymus species according to plastid marker sequence analysis but not for nuclear marker analysis. According to Brauchler, this finding may indicate that plastid introgression rather than common ancestry may explain their grouping pattern. However, the authors also admit that more work needs to be done in this area. This example emphasizes the need for further research on Origanum's ancestry as well as the relationships between Origanum sections.

Türkiye is known as the gene center and origin for oregano species with eight of ten sections found in the country. In Türkiye, there are also a total of 13 intermediate hybrid species that have been reported to be naturally present (Dirmenci et al., 2021a). According to Table 1.3 (Ietswaart, 1980; Dirmenci et al., 2021a), sections ORG, MAJ and $A M A$ have the most cross-sectional hybridizations with five instances each. These are followed by $A N A, B R E$ with four instances each and PRO with three crosshybridizations. $C H I$ and $L O N G$ have only had cross-sectional hybridizations with a single section. The highest number of viable intermediate hybrids was reported between section MAJ and two main sections, ANA and ORG, in nature (Dirmenci et al., 2021a). The three reported intermediate hybrids between $A N A$ and $M A J$ (OLI x OSYBE; OSI x OON; OVET x OON) suggest that there might be specimens in the herbarium and AARI collections which are named as OSI, ODU, OSYBE or OON but are actually the result of hybridization. This hypothesis is supported by the high $N m$ values between sections $A N A$ and $M A J$ (Ietswaart, 1980; Taşcıoğlu et al., 2018; Dirmenci et al., 2021a).

New hybrid oregano species are frequently being identified and reported in other regions of the world as well as Türkiye. The generation and diversification of new species are also suggested to be a consequence of species-specific mating systems and the
reproductive strategies of individual plants (Barret, 2010; Van Looy et al., 2011). Ietswaart stated that the sections CHI, ELON, MAJ, ORG, and PRO have gynodioecy that can affect the speciation dynamics of oregano. Gynodioecious plants have both bisexual and female only (male sterile) flowers. Thus, such species may be more open to crosshybridization which should be reflected in gene flow values. For example, in nature, taxon OVH from sect. ORG was the most abundantly reported parental species among hybrids (Dirmenci et al., 2021a). OVH was followed in frequency as a parental line of hybrids by OSYBE and OON from sect. MAJ. OSI from sect. ANA and OLA from sect. $P R O$ have also participated in the generation of hybrids in nature. In the results presented in Chapter 2, the highest levels of gene flow were within and between species in sections $M A J, O R G$ and $P R O$, all of which are gynodioecious. In contrast, lower levels of gene flow were observed between the strictly bisexual species in sect $B R E$ (OHU and ORO) with other species.

According to Ietswaart, sections $O R G, M A J$ and $A N A$ are the ancestral species which led to the speciation and divergence of other oregano species (Ietswaart, 1980). The frequent occurrence of natural hybrids and high gene flow between species from these sections supports this claim. In the AARI collection, the OVH specimens had the highest gene flow with OVVU $(O R G)$ and OSI (ANA), while OSI had the highest gene flow with OVVU and OVH (both $O R G$ ). These results are in agreement with what is observed in natural hybrids. Moreover, the highest number of migrants was observed between taxa OSI - OVH suggesting that some of the OSI or OVH individuals in the AARI germplasm might be intermediate hybrids. O. x aytacii (OSI x OVH) is such an intermediate hybrid which has phenotypic features that are predominantly similar to OSI in nature. This makes the hybrid difficult to identify morphologically as it can be mistaken for either parent. Molecular genetic data can aid in the identification of such hybrids and also help detect materials which have been misclassified based on morphology. Although OLA (PRO) and OSYBE (MAJ) were reported as having a viable hybrid in nature (O. x haradjanii, Hatay, 1952), the genetic material from the herbarium collection (Chapter 2) showed low gene flow between these two species (PhiPT: 0.23, Nm: 0.84). It is important to examine gene flow between species and to identify potential hybrids as hybridization is a source of genetic diversity and contributes to bioactive compound richness.

### 3.5.2.1. Section Anatolicon (ANA)

In the AARI collection, section $A N A$ had ten accessions from two Origanum taxa, $O$. sipyleum (OSI) and $O$. hypericifolium (OHY). The overall diversity value ( $h$ ) for the section was $48 \%$. ANA was equally identical ( $96 \%$ ) to all other sections: $B R E, M A J$, and $O R G$. The highest gene flow from section $A N A$ was to section $O R G$. These results are in agreement with the hybridation patterns for these two sections in nature and throughout evolutionary time.

Within the section, OSI with nine accessions had the highest genetic identity (0.95) to OVVU from section ORG. The highest number of migrants (Nm) for OSI was observed as 24.75 with OVH, while the Nm value between OSI and OVVU was observed as zero. This might be due to the high genetic similarity between OSI and OVVU (0.95). The high genetic identity between these two taxa from sections $A N A$ and $O R G$ might be due to the fact that OVH and OVVU are the most widely distributed and abundant taxa in Türkiye.

### 3.5.2.2. Section Brevifilamentum (BRE)

The AARI material contained two specimens from BRE, OHA and OLE. Genetic diversity ( $h$ ) of these samples was $48 \%$. The genetic identity of section $B R E$ was equal for all other sections (0.96). In the study of Karagöz et al. (2022), $N G I$ was observed as 0.62 for $O$. acutidens (OAC) accessions that were collected from five different provinces in the same section, $B R E$. However, because of the limited number of samples in the AARI material, we cannot make a fair comparison with these previous results. Individual gene flow within OHA and OLE could not be calculated due to single individuals per taxon.

### 3.5.2.3. Section Majorana (MAJ)

The AARI collection contained 74 specimens from OON, ODU, and OSYBE in section MAJ. Similar to the other sections, MAJ had $96 \%$ genetic identity with each of the other sections. Gene flow for $M A J$ was highest with $B R E$. At the species level, the
genetic identity values were nearly the same for all pairs of taxa (93 to 94\%). In our work, OON had the lowest gene flow with OVH $(O R G)\left(F_{S T}=0.10\right)$. However, according to their NGI values, these taxa are almost identical (0.94). In the study of Tanhaş (2019), OON (MAJ) was determined to be the closest to OVH from sect. ORG supporting our observation. In the study of Lukas et al. (2013), gene flow was observed as higher between Sicilian ODU and Turkish OON ( $F_{S T}=0.28-0.33$ ) when compared to Greek OON and ODU $\left(F_{S T}=0.47-0.56\right)$ which they suggest reflects the geographical overlap of ODU and Turkish OON. Our data agree with these results as the Turkish accessions of OON and ODU had high gene flow. OON was suggested to be the ancestral lineage for generation of ODU and OSY (spread over three different locations: Northwestern, South, Eastern Mediterranean), while OSY was assumed to be the ancestor for OMA (which is distributed mostly in Cyprus, not Türkiye). In agreement with this, the highest gene flow for the AARI MAJ material was observed between ODU and OSYBE (syn. OSY).

### 3.5.2.4.Section Origanum (ORG)

The AARI material contained 14 specimens from section $O R G$ including species OVG, OVH, OVVI, and OVVU. Section ORG had $96 \%$ genetic identity with the other sections. Genetic diversity in the section was $48 \%$. At the species level, genetic identity of the $O R G$ species varied from 93 to $95 \%$ with the other species in the collection. In our work, only two species had more than one accession, OVH and OVVU. The genetic identity for these species ranged from 0.93 to 0.95 for each taxon. Across taxa, OVH had the highest gene flow with OSI from section $A N A$. The highest gene flow within section $O R G$ was observed for the species pairs: OVVU - ODU and OVVU - OVH ( $F_{S T}=0$ ). There are no observations of OVVU as a parent of natural oregano hybrid species according to Table 1.3 (Dirmenci et al., 2021). However, according to observations by Ietswaart (1980), there were hybridizations between OVVU with OSI, OMA, and OVH, in addition to artificial hybrids with ODU (Ietswaart, 1980). In the AARI collection, no allele migration was evident between OVVU (ORG) and ODU (MAJ), OSI (ANA), or OVH $(O R G)$. On the contrary, OVVU specimens collected from nature in the herbarium collection described in Chapter 2 had relatively higher numbers of migrants with OSY (OSYBE - MAJ) and OVH (ORG). This may indicate that OVVU actually does hybridize
with other species in nature without resulting in a hybrid with intermediate morphological characters.

### 3.5.2.5. The effect of geographical distribution on oregano diversity

Over time, cross-hybridization among individuals led to gene flow among populations and species. At the same time, reproductive barriers caused by geographic isolation can limit the tendency for cross-taxon hybridization. According to Karagöz et al. (2020), many types of geographical and ecological circumstances have great influence on gene flow among cross-pollinating Origanum. Türkiye has a $783,562 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ surface area with $74.6 \%$ of land covered by mainly seven categories of mountains and landforms across various heights above sea level (Duran, 2013; Dal and Gönençgil, 2018). The mountains in the coastal regions are exposed to various climatic conditions and their surface structures and height affect the transfer of the climate towards the inner geographic regions. Especially, the mountains lying perpendicular to the Aegean coast allows the coastal climate to affect the inner regions. In contrast, mountains that are parallel to coastal regions inhibit the dominancy of the coastal climate on inner regions. This is true in the Black Sea, Mediterranean and Central Anatolian regions (Apaydin et al., 2011). These types of geographical indices force plants to evolve and adapt to different altitudes above sea level. In the study of Kokkini et al. (1994), the researchers associated glandular/non glandular trichome morphology of OVVU with geographical location from eastern to central and northern Greece. Evolutionary migration of $O$. compactum (OCO) between Northern Morocco and Southern Spain was described (Aboukhalid et al., 2017) and it was concluded that the mountains had a major role in hindering the migration of this species. In addition, according to Van Looy et al. (2009), extreme and regular flooding events changes geological structures and resulted in genetic diversity of $O$. vulgare over evolutionary time. These types of specializations to climate and geo-structural variability may increase biodiversity richness at the same time that geographical isolation limits hybridization.

The distribution of $O$. vulgare accessions from sect. $O R G$ was associated with phytogeographical location (Celep et al., 2021). For example, OVVIR and OVVU were found to be growing in the Euro-Siberian region, while OVG was suggested to be occurring in the Irano-Turano region. In addition, OVH was found originally in the

Mediterranean region in Türkiye (Celep et al., 2021). The occurrence of species diversity between OVH and OVVU in $O R G$ is hypothesized to be due to their adaptation to different altitudes creating chemical diversity, specialized flower features, and restricted geographical localization in nature (Mertzanidis et al., 2022). According to this study, OVH is called as "white oregano" and OVVU was called as "black oregano" due to the white and purple colors of their flowers. OVH was found at lower altitudes while OVVU was found at higher altitudes above sea level. Their findings suggested that OVH had higher biochemical diversity when compared to OVVU. In contrast, the lower diversification of OVVU might be the result of genetic adaptation to poorer lands. In our work, there was no information about the altitude of collection locations of samples OVH and OVVU. However, it was observed that some of the OVH specimens formed a tight cluster while OVVU samples were more dispersed. This does not agree with the findings of Mertzanidis et al. (2022). However, it is important to note that OVVU accessions were from four different provinces in three regions (Marmara, Mediterranean, and Aegean; all coastal regions) while the clustered OVH specimens were all from Kahramanmaraş. Thus, the geographic distribution of these accessions corresponds with their distribution in the genetic diversity analysis. Moreover, the distinct genetic diversity between OVH and OVVU was also supported by observation of zero Nm value; which might also reflect their geographical isolation generates genetic barriers for hybridization between these taxa from the same section, $O R G$.

The effect of restricted distribution of species in genus Origanum was also evident in the OLA specimens from the herbarium collection. These accessions formed their own subcluster in PCoA and structure analyses. This may be due to the fact that all of the samples were collected from two adjacent provinces in the Mediterranean region. Thus, geographical isolation from the other species would prevent wide cross-hybidization. The accessions that were most closely related to the OLA specimens were from the Mediterranean region and representec sections $M A J$ and $O R G$. This agrees with the report that $P R O$ can form hybrids with sect. MAJ (Dirmenci et al., 2021). Hybridization with sect. $O R G$ might be due to geographical proximity allowing them to hybridize without a geographical or molecular barrier.

Both geographic and genetic barriers can inhibit the generation of viable hybrids due and result in relatively pure plantlets. In the study of Lukas et al. (2013), it was concluded that there was gene flow from ODU to OON, while OON has also a relatively "pure" population in certain geographical locations. In the AARI material, exclusive
genetic clustering was observed for a few of the species suggesting that they might be relatively pure lines. For example, seven OON accessions clustered apart from all but one other known accession in dendrogram analysis (Figure 3.9). These specimens could be considered purer than the OON samples that were genetically similar to other species. There were also instances of two or more specimens of OVH, ODU and OON from the same provinces that formed exclusive clusters.

### 3.5.2.6. Possible taxonomic origins of unknown specimens

The unknown specimens from the AARI collection that were screened with SRAP markers were mostly found in dendrogram subcluster A. 1 with 20 accessions followed by seven accessions in cluster B, and a single UNK accession placed in subcluster C.2. The most likely identities for UNK specimens are given in Table 3.20 and are based on their closest neigboring taxa (Table 3.20). UNK3 was closest to OSI accessions suggesting that it belongs to this species. UNK11, UNK12, UNK14, UNK15, UNK16, UNK18, UNK20, and UNK22 grouped together and were most closely related three OON accessions which suggests they might be OON or a closely related species. UNK27, UNK29 and UNK30 were also most closely related to OON. UNK1 was equally distant to OON and ODU which might reflect its shared genetic identity with both accessions as a hybrid specimen or a single genotype having gene flow in both directions. As Lukas et al. suggested, there is gene flow from ODU towards OON (Lukas et al., 2013), a situation that might result in individuals like UNK1. UNK2, UNK4 and UNK5 were most like OVVU accessions. UNK6 was equally distant to OVH and OON suggesting that it could be a native hybrid, $O$. intercedens (OON x OVH, 1961), or either OVH or OON. In addition, a small group of UNK individuals were clustered together with a single OON accession which was found in a cluster with accessions from several different taxa: OSYBE and ODU (MAJ), OSI (ANA), and OVH (ORG) reflecting an admixed genetic structure. Thus, these unknown specimens cannot be categorized as any specific taxon. UNK13 and UNK25 were most genetically similar OVH accessions suggesting them to be a member of this taxon. UNK24 was equally related to both OVH and ODU suggesting an intermediate hybrid between them, while UNK26 was closest to ODU representing its most probable identity is ODU. Accessions UNK7, UNK8, UNK9, and UNK10 clustered together with the single OVG specimen from section $O R G$ suggesting that these unknown
accessions can be OVG or closely related to OVG. Both UNK21 and UNK23 were most closely related to OSYBE accessions reflecting a high probability that these specimens are OSYBE. Although the dendrogram analysis can provide clues as to the identity of the unknown samples, they should be classified as a given taxon based on an interdisciplinary effort that combines both molecular and morphological studies. For example, DNA sequencing or barcoding could be combined with an analysis of characters that are indicative of each species and their hybrids.

Table 3.20.Known neigboring taxa of UNK specimens in unweighted NJ dendrogram constructed based on Dice coefficient for AARI oregano collection.

|  | Known neighboring taxa | Section |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNK1 | OON*, ODU* | *: MAJ |
| UNK2 | OVVU*, OSYBE** | *: ORG, **: MAJ |
| UNK3 | OSI*, OLE**, OON*** | *: ANA, **: BRE, ***: MAJ |
| UNK4 | OVVU*, OSYBE** | *: ORG, **: MAJ |
| UNK5 | OVVU*, OSI**, OVH*, OSYBE*** | *: ORG, **: ANA, ***: MAJ |
| UNK6 | OVH*, OON**, OLE ${ }^{* * *, ~ O S I * * * * ~}$ | *: ORG, ${ }^{* *}$ : MAJ, ${ }^{* * *: B R E, ~}{ }^{* * * *: ~}$ |
| UNK7 | OVG* | *: ORG |
| UNK8 | OVG* | *: ORG |
| UNK9 | OVG* | *: ORG |
| UNK10 | OVG* | *: ORG |
| UNK11 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK12 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK13 | OVH*, OON**, OSI*** | *: ORG, **: MAJ, ***: ANA |
| UNK14 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK15 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK16 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK17 | - | - |
| UNK18 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK19 | OVH*, OON**, OSI*** | *: ORG, ${ }^{* *}$ : MAJ, ***: ANA |
| UNK20 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK21 | OSI*, OSYBE** | *: ANA, **: MAJ |
| UNK22 | OON | *: MAJ |
| UNK23 | OSI*, OSYBE** | *: ANA, **: MAJ |
| UNK24 | ODU*, OVH** | *: MAJ, **: ORG |
| UNK25 | ODU*, OVH** | *: MAJ, **: ORG |
| UNK26 | ODU*, OVH** | *: MAJ, **: ORG |
| UNK27 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK28 | - | - |
| UNK29 | OON* | *: MAJ |
| UNK30 | OON* | *: MAJ |

### 3.6. Conclusions and Further Recommendations

Utilizing molecular genetic tools allows a clearer understanding of speciation and determination of taxonomic variation within the genus Origanum and other plant species.

This dissertation validates the effectiveness of molecular genetic research to understand Origanum taxonomy and speciation. In this dissertation, the usefulness of SRAP markers to evaluate genetic relationships among Turkish oregano collections (Narin Sadıkoğlu oregano herbarium and gene bank germplasm) was shown. The coverage of each collection at the genus level was uneven indicating the need for enhancement of the national AARI germplasm collection to include specimens from all eight sections.

This research also indicates the undeniable importance of morphological classification in the assessment of oregano diversity and in the identification of unkown specimens. Thus, there is an urge to improve the conservation and improvement strategies for oregano by employing more comprehensive and complementary genotypic, phenotypic and chemotypic analyses. Moreover, chemotypic and phenotypic analyses must be performed in different flowering periods, seasons and years because these traits can be variable under different climate conditions. Such an interdisciplinary and comprehensive approach will allow better exploitation of the potential genetic richness of endemic oregano species in Türkiye. Moreover, the best bioactive compounds and traits can be selected efficiently and propagated for commercial use. This will reduce uncontrolled harvesting from nature and help protect Türkiye's endemic flora.

This research indicates that there are overlapping hybridization patterns among different Origanum taxa which creates high gene flow within and between species. This work also suggests that the gynodiecious nature in some oreganos and restriction in some geographical locations can affect the hybridization tendencies among native and ex situ conserved oregano specimens. Thus ex situ cultivation practices should consider these tendencies and take measures to prevent uncontrolled cross-hybridization if the goal is to conserve genetic materials as they were when collected from nature.

While the work presented in this dissertation highlights the usefulness of molecular markers in studying medicinal and aromatic plants, more research must be conducted on these valuable species. Sequencing of Origanum genomes will open the door to barcoding which will allow validation and protection of Türkiye's oregano germplasm. It will also expedite forward and reverse genetic approaches for oregano improvement and help guide conservation and cultivation of taxa from the genus Origanum.
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## APPENDICES

## APPENDIX A

## Appendix A. Suggestion for a global abbreviation of nomenclature in the genus Origanum L.

In this dissertation, the use of three to five letter abbreviations of species names for oregano was successful. In the literature, oregano species have been abbreviated in different styles such as Ori, oni, dubium, Or, OR, and OH. This nomenclature creates confusion between name of species and molecular marker abbreviations. In this work, the species were abbreviated with capital letters according to the first letter of the genus name followed by the first one to two letters of the species name, and, if necessary, the addition of one or two letters of the subspecies name. For example, $O$. onites was abbreviated with three letters: $\underline{O}$. onites, OON. OVVU was used as an abbreviation for O. vulgare subsp. vulgare. In the case of $O$. syriacum subsp. bevanii, it was abbreviated as OSYBE. For $\underline{O}$. vulgare subsp. gracile and $\underline{O}$. vulgare subsp. glandulosum; the abbreviations OVG and OVGL or OVGLAN are suggested for global recognition of oregano species names. To avoid any conflict or confusion, species with similar epithets were abbreviated differently. For instance, $\underline{O}$ micranthum, $\underline{O}$ microphyllum, and $\underline{O}$ minutiflorum have similar names. In this dissertation, it is recommended to use the abbreviation: OMICRA, OMICRO, and OMI, for these species.In addition, the use of numbers at the end of abbreviation will allow differentiation of individual specimens of material from the same species such as "OON1" or "OSYBE2". In addition, the use of an initial letter " $c$ " or " $w$ " is helpful to discriminate cultivated or wild accessions as Lukas et al. (2013) first applied to their germplasm. These initials can be used as lower-case initials preceding the abbreviation of species names such as "cOON" or "wOON". Moreover, the ten sections in the genus Origanum can be abbreviated in three to five capital letters. Thus, the sections Amaracus, Anatolicon, Brevifilamentum, Longitubus,

Chilocalyx, Majorana, Campanulaticalyx, Elongatispica, Origanum, and Prolaticorolla were abbreviated as AMA, ANA, BRE, LONGI, CHI, MAJ, CAMPA, ELON, ORG, and $P R O$, respectively, in this dissertation. An italic representation is recommended to allow easier discrimination of section names from abbreviated species names. Section Origanum was abbreviated as "ORG" to avoid any conflict at the abbreviation of the genus Origanum. We believe that a standardized abbreviated nomenclature for the genus will reduce confusion in studies that use global perspectives to examine multiple Origanum species with either molecular, morphological or biochemical traits.
Membership of AARI specimens for inferred subpopulations in structure analyses for $K=2,4,5$, and 8 was given in numerical values
Table B.1. The numerical values for inferred sub populations identities in population structure analyses for $K=2,4,5$ and 8 . in Table B.1.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RNo } \\ & \text { (TR_____) } \end{aligned}$ | Code | SP 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | SP I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { IV } \end{aligned}$ | SPI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { IIII } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { IV } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \mathbf{V} \end{aligned}$ | SPI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { II } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { III } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP } \\ & \text { IV } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{V}}{\mathbf{S P}}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{V I}}{\mathbf{S P}}$ | $\underset{\text { VII }}{\text { SP }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sp } \\ \text { NIII } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $K=2$ |  | $K=4$ |  |  |  | $K=5$ |  |  |  |  | $K=8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TR 53149 | ODU1 | 01 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 49 | 0.47 | 0. 01 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.37 |
| TR 53153 | ODU2 | 0.02 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.89 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.83 |
| TR 53209 | ODU3 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.57 | 0.04 | 0.38 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.01 | . 01 | 0.0 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| TR 53194 | OON1 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.24 | 0.6 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.2 | 0.0 | . 01 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.61 |
| TR 53162 | ODU4 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.88 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.77 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.69 | 00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.06 |
| TR 53169 | ODU5 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.78 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.83 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.88 |
| TR 53244 | ODU6 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.83 | 0.06 | 0.78 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 03 | 0.01 |
| TR 54467 | OON2 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 0.83 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.8 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| TR 54471 | OON3 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| R 54473 | OON | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.88 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.13 |

Table B.1. (cont.)

Table B.1. (cont.)

| TR 57124 | OS | 0.94 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.86 | 0.05 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.87 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 445 | OON | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.02 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 0.02 | . 09 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| TR 54596 | OON34 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.61 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 06 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.23 |
| TR 54549 | OON35 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0. | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.01 | . 01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| TR 54552 | OON36 | 0.94 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.79 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| 5455 | OON37 | 0.26 | 0.74 | 0.03 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 0.13 | . 03 | 0.10 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.52 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 01 |
| 55 | OON38 | 0.02 | 0 | 0. | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0. | 0.0 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.41 |
| TR 54562 | OON39 | 0.05 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.86 | 02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 0. | 0.01 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.01 | . 03 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.05 |
| TR 54595 | OON40 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.6 | . 0 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.01 | , 1 | 0.05 | 0.02 |
| TR 54594 | OON41 | 0.12 | 0.88 | 0.07 | 0.69 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.66 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.88 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| TR 54579 | OON42 | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.17 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.23 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0. | 0.01 | 0.01 | 01 |
| 54441 | OVVU1 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. | 0. | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.69 | 0. | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| TR | ODU8 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.84 | . 01 | 0.8 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| TR 60214 | OLE1 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.09 | . 0 | 0.3 | 0.49 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.32 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.02 | . 0 | 0.24 | 0. 02 |
| TR 60424 | OSYBE | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.03 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.01 |
| TR 60427 | OSI2 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.08 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.3 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.16 |
| TR 60516 | OSI3 | 0.9 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.01 |
| TR 6056 | OH | 0.7 | . 25 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.03 | 0.68 | 0.03 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0. | 0.12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. | 0. | 0.02 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| TR 61058 | ODU9 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.77 | 0.19 | 0.03 | . 01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | . 0 | 0.86 |
| TR 61104 | OHY1 | 0. | 0.9 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.59 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| TR 69502 | OON43 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.72 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.0 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.1 | 0.07 |
| TR 73007 | ODU10 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.62 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.37 |
| TR 73014 | OVVI1 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 0.5 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.11 | 0.46 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| TR 73548 | OVVU2 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.05 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.0 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| TR 73563 | OSI4 | 0.31 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.46 |
| TR 76974 | OSYBE2 | 0.10 | 0.91 | 0.70 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.61 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.07 |
| TR 76979 | OSYBE3 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.66 | 0.03 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.31 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| TR 76983 | OSI5 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.55 | 0.08 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.48 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.21 |
| TR 76984 | OON44 | 0.90 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.81 | 0.08 | 0.80 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.82 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 |


| TR 76985 | OSI6 | 0.0 | 0.97 | 0.69 | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0. | 0.16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TR 76988 | OON45 | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | . 1 |
| TR 76990 | OSYBE4 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.46 | 0.02 | . 02 |
|  | UNK2 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.60 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.42 |
|  | UNK3 | 0.29 | 0.71 | 0.36 | 0.06 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.35 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.11 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| TR | OVVU3 | 0.0 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | . 92 |
| TR 76999 | OVH1 | 0.8 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.77 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 0.02 | . 33 | 0.02 |
|  | UNK4 | 0.27 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.76 |
|  | UNK5 | 0.66 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.58 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.04 |
| 7767 | OVH2 | 0.5 | 0.50 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.26 | 0.45 | 0.18 | 0.36 | 0.02 | 0.42 | 0.03 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| TR 77675 | OVH3 | 0.9 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 0.89 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.87 | 0.0 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| TR 77676 | OVH4 | 0.88 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| TR 82335 | OVG1 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.36 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.25 | 0.22 |
| TR 82855 | OSI7 | 0.19 | 0.81 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.01 |
| TR 80181 | ODU11 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.19 | 0.01 | 0.74 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.79 |
| TR 80182 | OVVU4 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK6 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK7 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.05 | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.51 | 0.10 | 0.48 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.47 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
|  | UNK8 | 0.70 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.63 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.58 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.15 |
|  | UNK9 | 0.64 | 0.36 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
|  | UNK10 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.03 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.45 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| TR 77668 | OON46 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| TR 77669 | OON47 | 0.17 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.04 |
| TR 77672 | OVH5 | 0.04 | 0.96 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.70 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.06 |
| TR 77674 | OSYBE5 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.65 |
| TR 54475 | OON48 | 0.22 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.53 |
| TR 54488 | OON49 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK11 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.63 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.55 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| TR 54491 | OON50 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.74 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |

Table B.1. (cont.)

| TR 54499 | OON51 | 0.07 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.44 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.85 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | UNK12 | 0.24 | 0.76 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.52 | 0.12 | 0.53 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.95 | 0.01 | 0.01 | . 00 |
| TR 54556 | OON52 | 0.09 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 0.41 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0.52 | 0.02 | 0.43 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.95 | . 01 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| TR 63126 | ODU12 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.93 | 0.04 | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 01 |
|  | UNK13 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.92 | 0.06 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | UNK14 | 0.33 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.66 | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.83 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK15 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.03 | 0.25 | 0.71 | 0.01 | 0.69 | 0.01 | 0.24 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK16 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| - | UNK17 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.82 | 0.15 | 0.82 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.76 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | UNK18 | 0.11 | 0.89 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.05 | 0.29 | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
|  | UNK19 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.77 | 0.20 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK20 | 0.01 | 0.99 | 0.35 | 0.03 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.21 |
|  | UNK21 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 0.03 | 0.83 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.0 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.82 | , | 01 |
|  | UNK22 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.93 | 0.02 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.54 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK23 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.13 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK24 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
|  | UNK25 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.90 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| TR 71538 | OSI8 | 0.09 | 0.91 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.01 | 0.95 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 01 |
| TR 76973 | OSYBE6 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| TR 76975 | OVH6 | 0.20 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.91 | 0.04 | 0.81 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.84 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| TR 76976 | OVH7 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.83 | 0.01 | 0.81 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.84 | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| TR 76977 | OVH8 | 0.06 | 0.94 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.91 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| TR 76978 | OSYBE7 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.96 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| TR 64495 | ODU13 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.78 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.73 | 0.05 | 0.01 |
| - | UNK26 | 0.10 | 0.90 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.66 | 0.06 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.13 |
| TR 64641 | ODU14 | 0.19 | 0.81 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.84 | 0.09 | 0.85 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| - | UNK27 | 0.03 | 0.97 | 0.51 | 0.01 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.07 | 0.16 |
|  | UNK28 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.94 | 0.03 | 0.93 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
|  | UNK29 | 0.02 | 0.98 | 0.40 | 0.03 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.58 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.02 |

Table B.1. (cont.)

|  |  | UNK30 | 0.05 | 0.95 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 0.07
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[^0]:    Figure 3.12. Representative map of OON accessions that were clustered exclusively from same provinces: Muğla, İçel and İzmir. (I can
    do the same for main dendrogram - same geo ori clusters and same taxa clusters from different regions per cluster A, B and
    C).

[^1]:    Figure 3.17. Population structure graphs for $K=4,5$, and 8: Structure results for $K=$ a) 4 , b) 5 , and c) 8 . SPI: subpopulation I, SPII:
    subpopulation II, SPIII: subpopulation III, SPIV: subpopulation IV, SPV: subpopulation V, SPVI: subpopulation VI, SPVII:
    subpopulation VII, and SPVIII: subpopulation VIII. 0.6 and 0.7 indicates accepted threshold value for grouping individuals into subpopulations.

[^2]:    Figure 3.19. NJ Dendrogram colored according to
    three main clusters: Cluster A, B and C. Colors indicate the subpopulation to which accessions belonged according to
    population structure analysis: Red: SPI, Green: SPII, Blue: SPIII, Yellow: SPIV, and black: Admixed individuals.

